Mansart Court – Olive Shapley Ave- Didsbury - M20 6QB – Tree Survey – 19th May 2025

Tree Number	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Physiological and structural observations	Work recommendations	SULE	Priority
T1	Weeping Willow	5	300	5	A poor tree with no visible defects.	Remove deadwood	20+	3
T2	Lawson Cypress	10	300	5	A reasonable tree with no visible defects.	No work	30+	----
T3	Laburnum	5	100 100	3	A poor tree with no visible defects.	No work	20+	----
T4	Elder	4	<100	3	A poor tree with no visible defects.	No work	20+	----
T5	Tree Cotoneaster	5	Multi	4	An overgrown shrub prone to splitting out when it gets too big.	Consider coppicing to 450mm	20+	3
T6	Magnolia	5	100	3	A reasonable tree with no visible defects.	No work	30+	----
T7	Rowan	5	100	3	A reasonable tree with no visible defects.	No work	20+	----
T8	Cherry	4	100	3	A reasonable tree with no visible defects.	No work	<20	----
T9	Cherry	5	150	4	A poor tree in decline - monitor	No work	<10	----
T10	Lawson Cypress	8	200	2	A reasonable tree with no visible defects.	See general notes	20+	2
T11	Lawson Cypress	7	150	3	A poor tree with no visible defects.	See general notes	20+	2
G1	Lawson Cypress	----	----	----	13 trees planted in a hedge formation but never maintained as a hedge. All individual trees were surveyed, and no visible defects were noted.	See general notes	20+	2
G2	Lawson Cypress	----	----	----	9 trees planted in a hedge formation but never maintained as a hedge. All individual trees were surveyed, and no visible defects were noted.	See general notes	20+	2

Mansart Court – Olive Shapley Ave- Didsbury - M20 6QB – Tree Survey – 19th May 2025

G3	Lawson Cypress	----	----	----	8 trees planted in a hedge formation but never maintained as a hedge. All individual trees were surveyed, and no visible defects were noted.	See general notes	20+	2
G4	Laurel	----	----	----	A group of Laurels	See general notes	20+	2
G5	Laurel	----	----	----	A group of Laurels	See general notes	20+	2

General notes – Trees 10 and 11 and Groups 2,3,4,5 are all starting to encroach onto TFGM – Metrolink infrastructure. It cannot reasonably expected for the management company to organise works to cut these trees back as this would be a health and safety risk. It would be assumed that TFGM would be responsible for the cutting back of these trees as and when required. This assumption should be raised with TFGM and confirmation that they are responsible sought and confirmed.

The trees and groups have all been individually inspected and no visible defects or causes for concern were noted.

In may also be prudent for the management company to reduce the trees in (Groups 2,3 and T10,T11) to approx. 6m and maintain at that level every year or two at that height.

DBH – Diameter at Breast Height

SULE – Safe Useful Life Expectancy

Priority 1 – Tree or part of tree is in very poor condition and is a significant risk to person or property and should be dealt with as soon as practicably possible. Work may also be legislatively required for example obstructing the highway.

Priority 2 – Something that has fewer consequences than P1, for example a small dead tree that may not kill someone but could cause minor injury or damage property – works within 3-6 months

Priority 3 – Normally only in the interests of amenity good management and normally at your leisure.