Air Quality Assessment

Old Mill Street, Manchester

Reference: 11190r2

Client: Zentra New Islington Limited

Date: 19th August 2026



Report Issue

Report Title: Air Quality Assessment - Old Mill Street, Manchester

Report Reference: 11190

Field	Report Version			
	1	2	3	4
Prepared by	Emily Macey	Emily Macey		
Position	Senior Air Quality Consultant	Senior Air Quality Consultant		
Reviewed by	Jethro Redmore	Jethro Redmore		
Position	Director	Director		
Date of Issue	14th August 2026	19th August 2026		
Comments	-	Updated legislation		

Serendipity Labs, Building 7, Exchange Quay, Salford, M5 3EP

info@red-env.co.uk | 0161 706 0075 | www.red-env.co.uk

This report has been prepared by Redmore Environmental Ltd in accordance with the agreed terms and conditions of appointment. Redmore Environmental Ltd cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.

Executive Summary

Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Zentra New Islington Limited to undertake an Air Quality Assessment in support of a residential development on land off Old Mill Street, Manchester.

An Air Quality Assessment was undertaken to:

- Assess potential impacts associated with fugitive dust emissions during the construction phase of the proposed development;
- Assess potential impacts associated with road transport emissions during the operational phase of the proposed development;
- Assess risk of future resident exposure to any existing air quality issues; and,
- Identify any requirement for relevant mitigation measures.

Potential construction phase impacts from fugitive dust emissions were assessed as a result of earthworks, construction and trackout activities. It is considered that the use of the identified site-specific control measures would provide suitable mitigation for a development of this size and nature and reduce potential impacts to an acceptable level.

Potential impacts during the operational phase of the proposals may occur due to road traffic exhaust emissions associated with vehicles travelling to and from the site. These were assessed using standard screening criteria. Due to the nature of the development, road traffic exhaust impacts were not predicted to be significant.

The potential for exposure of future residents to elevated pollution levels was assessed based on the findings of a desk top study. This indicated that pollutant concentrations are likely to be below the relevant criteria at the development location. As such, the site is considered suitable for the proposed residential use from an air quality perspective.

Based on the assessment results, air quality factors are not considered a constraint to planning consent for the proposals.

Table of Contents

1.0	**INTRODUCTION**	**1**
1.1	Instruction	1
1.2	Site Location and Context	1
1.3	Assessment Scope	1
2.0	**LEGISLATION AND POLICY**	**2**
2.1	Legislation	2
2.2	Local Air Quality Management	4
2.3	Dust	4
2.4	National Planning Policy	5
2.5	National Planning Practice Guidance	6
2.6	Regional Planning Policy	6
2.7	Local Planning Policy	8
2.8	Greater Manchester Clean Air Plan	9
3.0	**METHODOLOGY**	**11**
3.1	Introduction	11
3.2	Construction Phase Assessment	11
	Step 1 - Screen the Need for an Assessment	12
	Step 2 - Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts	12
	Step 3 - Site-specific Mitigation	17
	Step 4 - Determine Significant Effects	17
3.3	Operational Phase Assessment	17
	Potential Development Impacts	17
	Potential Future Exposure	18
4.0	**BASELINE**	**19**
4.1	Introduction	19
4.2	Local Air Quality Management	19
4.3	Air Quality Monitoring	19
4.4	Background Pollutant Concentrations	20
4.5	Sensitive Receptors	20
5.0	**CONSTRUCTION PHASE ASSESSMENT**	**22**
5.1	Introduction	22
5.2	Step 1 - Screen the Need for an Assessment	22
5.3	Step 2a - Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude	22
	Earthworks	22

	Construction	22
	Trackout	23
5.4	Step 2b - Define the Sensitivity of the Area	23
	Dust Soiling	23
	Human Health	23
5.5	Step 2c - Define the Risk of Dust Impacts	23
5.6	Step 3 - Site-specific Mitigation	24
5.7	Step 4 - Determine Significant Effects	26
6.0	**OPERATIONAL PHASE ASSESSMENT**	**27**
6.1	Introduction	27
6.2	Potential Development Impacts	27
6.3	Potential Future Exposure	27
6.4	Interim Planning Guidance for $PM_{2.5}$	28
7.0	**MITIGATION**	**30**
8.0	**CONCLUSION**	**32**
9.0	**ABBREVIATIONS**	**33**

Appendix

Appendix 1 - Curriculum Vitae

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Instruction

1.1.1 Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned Zentra New Islington Limited to undertake an Air Quality Assessment in support of a residential development on land off Old Mill Street, Manchester.

1.2 Site Location and Context

1.2.1 The site is located on land off Old Mill Street, Manchester, at approximate National Grid Reference (NGR): 385489, 398451. The relevant Local Authority (LA) is Manchester City Council (MCC). Reference should be made to Figure 1 for a map of the site and surrounding area.

1.2.2 The proposals comprise erection of a residential apartment building comprising 41 dwellings (Use Class C3), together with landscaping and associated works.

1.3 Assessment Scope

1.3.1 The proposals have the potential to cause air quality impacts at sensitive locations. As such, an Air Quality Assessment was undertaken to:

- Assess potential impacts associated with fugitive dust emissions during the construction phase of the development;
- Assess potential impacts associated with road transport emissions during the operational phase of the development;
- Assess risk of future resident exposure to any existing air quality issues; and,
- Identify any requirement for relevant mitigation measures.

1.3.2 This is detailed in the following report.

2.0 LEGISLATION AND POLICY

2.1 Legislation

2.1.1 The Air Quality Standards Regulations (2010) and subsequent amendments include Air Quality Limit Values (AQLVs) for the following pollutants:

- Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2);
- Sulphur dioxide;
- Lead;
- Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10µm (PM_{10});
- Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5µm ($PM_{2.5}$);
- Benzene; and,
- Carbon monoxide.

2.1.2 Air Quality Target Values were also provided for several additional pollutants. It should be noted that the AQLV for $PM_{2.5}$ stated in the Air Quality Standards Regulations (2010) was amended in the Environment (Miscellaneous Amendments) (EU Exit) Regulations (2020).

2.1.3 The Air Quality Strategy (AQS) was produced by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and published on 28th April 2023[1]. The document contains standards, objectives and measures for improving ambient air quality, including a number of Air Quality Objectives (AQOs). These are maximum ambient pollutant concentrations that are not to be exceeded either without exception or with a permitted number of exceedences over a specified timescale. These are generally in line with the AQLVs, although the requirements for the determination of compliance vary.

2.1.4 The Environmental Improvement Plan 2025[2] was published in December 2025, providing long term and Interim Targets in order to reduce population exposure to $PM_{2.5}$. The Concentration Target for 2040 was adopted in the Environmental Targets (Fine Particulate Matter) (England) Regulations (2023).

[1] AQS: Framework for Local Authority Delivery, DEFRA, 2023.

[2] Environmental Improvement Plan 2025, DEFRA, 2025.

2.1.5 Table 1 presents the AQOs, Interim Target and Concentration Target for pollutants considered within this assessment.

Table 1 Air Quality Objectives/ Interim Target/ Concentration Target

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective/ Interim Target/ Concentration Target	
	Concentration (µg/m³)	Averaging Period
NO_2	40	Annual mean
	200	1-hour mean, not to be exceeded on more than 18 occasions per annum
PM_{10}	40	Annual mean
	50	24-hour mean, not to be exceeded on more than 35 occasions per annum
$PM_{2.5}$	10(a)	Annual mean
	10(b)	Annual mean

Note: (a) Interim Target to be achieved by 2030.
(b) Concentration Target to be achieved by 2040.

2.1.6 Table 2 summarises the advice provided in DEFRA guidance[3] on where the AQOs for pollutants considered within this report apply.

Table 2 Examples of Where the Air Quality Objectives Apply

Averaging Period	Objective Should Apply At	Objective Should Not Apply At
Annual mean	All locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed Building façades of residential properties, schools, hospitals, care homes etc.	Building façades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access Hotels, unless people live there as their permanent residence Gardens of residential properties Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building façade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term

[3] Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance (TG22), DEFRA, 2022.

Averaging Period	Objective Should Apply At	Objective Should Not Apply At
24-hour mean	All locations where the annual mean objective would apply, together with hotels Gardens of residential properties	Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building façade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term
1-hour mean	All locations where the annual mean and 24 and 8-hour mean objectives apply. Kerbside sites (for example, pavements of busy shopping streets) Those parts of car parks, bus stations and railway stations etc which are not fully enclosed, where members of the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or more Any outdoor locations where members of the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or longer	Kerbside sites where the public would not be expected to have regular access

2.2 Local Air Quality Management

2.2.1 LAs are required to periodically review and assess air quality within their area of jurisdiction under the system of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). This review and assessment of air quality involves comparing present and likely future pollutant concentrations against the AQOs. If it is predicted that levels at locations of relevant exposure, as summarised in Table 2, are likely to be exceeded, the LA is required to declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). For each AQMA the LA is required to produce an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP), the objective of which is to reduce pollutant concentrations in pursuit of the AQOs.

2.3 Dust

2.3.1 The main requirements with respect to dust control from industrial or trade premises not regulated under the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations (2016) and subsequent amendments, such as construction sites, is that provided in Section 79 of Part III of the Environmental Protection Act (1990). The Act defines nuisance as:

> "any dust, steam, smell or other effluvia arising on industrial, trade or business premises and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance."

2.3.2 Enforcement of the Act, in regard to nuisance, is currently under the jurisdiction of the local Environmental Health Department, whose officers are deemed to provide an independent evaluation of nuisance. If the LA is satisfied that a statutory nuisance exists, or is likely to occur or happen again, it must serve an Abatement Notice under Part III of the Environmental Protection Act (1990). The only defence is to show that the process to which the nuisance has been attributed and its operation are being controlled according to best practicable means.

2.4 National Planning Policy

2.4.1 The revised National Planning Policy Framework[4] (NPPF) was published in August 2026. The document sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.

2.4.2 The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievements of sustainable development. In order to ensure this, the NPPF recognises three overarching objectives including the following of relevance to air quality:

> "c) an environmental objective - to support efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy; and to protect and enhance our natural, built and historic environment, including making effective use of land, improving biodiversity, using natural resources prudently, and minimising waste and pollution."

2.4.3 The NPPF provides the following plan-making policies of relevance to air quality:

- P1: Planning for clean and safe places, paragraph 1b; and,
- P3: Living conditions and pollution, paragraph 1 and 2.

2.4.4 The implications of the NPPF have been considered throughout this assessment.

[4] NPPF, Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2026.

2.5 National Planning Practice Guidance

2.5.1 The National Planning Practice Guidance[5] (NPPG) web-based resource was launched by the Department for Communities and Local Government to support the NPPF and make it more accessible. The air quality pages are summarised under the following headings:

1. What air quality considerations does planning need to address?
2. What is the role of plan-making with regard to air quality?
3. Are air quality concerns relevant to neighbourhood planning?
4. What information is available about air quality?
5. When could air quality be relevant to the planning development management process?
6. What specific issues may need to be considered when assessing air quality impacts?
7. How detailed does an air quality assessment need to be?
8. How can an impact on air quality be mitigated?

2.5.2 These were reviewed and the relevant guidance considered as necessary throughout the undertaking of this assessment.

2.6 Regional Planning Policy

2.6.1 The 'Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document'[6] was produced by the Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA) and became part of the statutory development plan for each of the relevant LAs on 21st March 2024. Review of the document indicated the following policy of relevance to this assessment:

> "Policy JP-S5: Clean Air
>
> A comprehensive range of measures will be taken to support improvements in air quality, focusing particularly on locations where people live, where children learn and play, where there are impacts on the green infrastructure network and where air quality targets are not being met, including:

5 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/air-quality--3.

6 Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document, GMCA, 2024.

1. Locating and designing development, and focusing transport investment, so as to reduce reliance on forms of transport that generate air pollution;
2. Determining planning applications having regard to the most recent development and planning control guidance published jointly by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) and Environmental Protection UK (EPUK), and the most recent IAQM Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction, or relevant successor guidance, including the requirement for developers to submit construction management plans as appropriate;
3. Requiring applications for developments that could have an adverse impact on air quality to submit relevant air pollution data so that adverse impacts on air quality can be fully assessed and development only permitted where they are acceptable and/or suitable mitigation can be provided;
4. Restricting developments that would generate significant point source pollution such as some types of industrial activity and energy generation;
5. Significantly expanding the existing commercial network of electric vehicle charging points, both for public and private use, including as part of new developments;
6. Implementing the Clean Air Plan and associated measures;
7. Facilitating the more sustainable distribution of goods within the urban area, including through accommodating urban consolidation centres and urban distribution centres that use ultra-low-emission vehicles, and local delivery facilities to reduce repeat delivery attempts;
8. Designing streets to avoid trapping air pollution at ground level, including through the appropriate location and scale of buildings and trees;
9. Controlling traffic and parking within and around schools, early years sites and other locations that are particularly sensitive to air quality;
10. Promoting actions that help remove pollutants from the air, such as enhancing the green infrastructure network and using innovative building materials that capture air pollutants; and
11. Development should be located in areas that maximise the use of sustainable travel modes and be designed to minimise exposure to high levels of air pollution, particularly for vulnerable users."

"Policy JP-P1 Sustainable Places

We aim to become one of the most liveable city regions in the world, consisting of a series of beautiful, healthy and varied places, each having the following key attributes that all development, wherever appropriate, should be consistent with:

[…]

15. Comfortable and inviting, with indoor and outdoor environments:
A. Offering a high level of amenity that minimises exposure to pollution; and
B. Addressing microclimate issues such as sunlight, indoor air quality, overheating, shade, wind and shelter

[…]"

2.6.2 The above policies have been considered as necessary throughout the undertaking of this assessment.

2.7 Local Planning Policy

2.7.1 The Manchester Local Plan consists of a series of planning documents of which the Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD)[7] is central. This was adopted by MCC on 11th July 2012.

2.7.2 Review of the Core Strategy DPD indicated the following policies of relevance to the assessment:

"Policy EN 16 Air Quality

The Council will seek to improve the air quality within Manchester, and particularly within Air Quality Management Areas, located along Manchester's principal traffic routes and at Manchester Airport. Developers will be expected to take measures to minimise and mitigate the local effect of emissions from traffic generated by the development, as well as emissions created by the use of the development itself, including from Combined Heat and Power and biomass plant.

[7] Core Strategy DPD, MCC, 2012.

When assessing the appropriateness of locations for new development the Council will consider the effects on air quality, alongside other plan objectives. This includes cumulative effects, particularly in Air Quality Management Areas."

"Policy DM 1 Development Management

All development should have regard to the following specific issues for which more detailed guidance may be given within a supplementary planning document:

[…]

Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation. This could also include proposals which would be sensitive to existing environmental conditions, such as noise."

2.7.3 MCC has also produced 'Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance'[8] which provides guidance on assessing the impact of proposed development on air quality.

2.7.4 The above policies and guidance were taken into consideration throughout the undertaking of the assessment.

2.8 Greater Manchester Clean Air Plan

2.8.1 The UK Government has instructed a number of LAs to take rapid action to reduce harmful roadside levels of NO_2 within their areas of jurisdiction in the quickest possible timeframe. The GMCA and Transport for Greater Manchester (TfGM) have collaborated to develop a Clean Air Plan (CAP) to ensure Greater Manchester achieves compliance with the relevant AQOs for NO_2 by 2026 at the latest. The initial CAP proposal included implementation of a Class C charging Clean Air Zone. The GMCA has subsequently updated the CAP proposals[9] to comprise an investment-led, non-charging approach. This

[8] Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance, MCC, 2022.

[9] Case for a New GM Clean Air Plan, GMCA and TfGM, 2022.

was approved by the UK Government on 23rd January 2025. The implications of the CAP were taken into account during the undertaking of this assessment.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The proposed development has the potential to cause air quality impacts during the construction and operational phases, as well as expose future residents to elevated pollution levels. These factors have been assessed in accordance with the following methodology, which was agreed with Laura Miller, Environmental Protection at MCC, on 11th August 2026.

3.2 Construction Phase Assessment

3.2.1 There is the potential for fugitive dust emissions to occur as a result of construction phase activities. These have been assessed in accordance with the methodology outlined within the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) document 'Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2'[10].

3.2.2 Activities on the proposed construction site have been divided into three types to reflect their different potential impacts. These are:

- Earthworks;
- Construction; and,
- Trackout.

3.2.3 The potential for dust emissions was assessed for each activity that is likely to take place and considered three separate dust effects:

- Annoyance due to dust soiling;
- Harm to ecological receptors; and,
- The risk of health effects due to a significant increase in exposure to PM_{10}.

3.2.4 The assessment steps are detailed below.

[10] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

Step 1 - Screen the Need for an Assessment

3.2.5 Step 1 screens the requirement for a more detailed assessment. Should human receptors be identified within 250m from the boundary or 50m from the construction vehicle route up to 250m from the site entrance, then the assessment proceeds to Step 2. Additionally, should ecological receptors be identified within 50m of the site or the construction vehicle route up to 250m from the site entrance, then the assessment also proceeds to Step 2.

3.2.6 Should sensitive receptors not be present within the relevant distances then **negligible** impacts would be expected and further assessment is not necessary.

Step 2 - Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts

3.2.7 Step 2 assesses the risk of potential dust impacts. A site is allocated a risk category based on two factors:

- The scale and nature of the works, which determines the magnitude of dust arising as: small, medium or large (Step 2A); and,
- The sensitivity of the area to dust impacts, which can be defined as low, medium or high sensitivity (Step 2B).

3.2.8 The two factors are combined in Step 2C to determine the risk of dust impacts without mitigation applied.

3.2.9 Step 2A defines the potential magnitude of dust emission through the construction phase. The relevant criteria are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 Construction Dust - Magnitude of Emission

Magnitude	Activity	Criteria
Large	Earthworks	• Total site area greater than 110,000m^2 • Potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size) • More than 10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time • Formation of bunds greater than 6m in height

Magnitude	Activity	Criteria
	Construction	• Total building volume greater than 75,000m^3 • On site concrete batching • Sandblasting
	Trackout	• More than 50 Heavy Duty Vehicle (HDV) trips per day • Potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content) • Unpaved road length greater than 100m
Medium	Earthworks	• Total site area 18,000m^2 to 110,000m^2 • Moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt) • 5 to 10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time • Formation of bunds 3m to 6m in height
	Construction	• Total building volume 12,000m^3 to 75,000m^3 • Potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete) • On site concrete batching
	Trackout	• 20 to 50 HDV trips per day • Moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content) • Unpaved road length 50m to 100m
Small	Earthworks	• Total site area less than 18,000m^2 • Soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand) • Less than 5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time • Formation of bunds less than 3m in height
	Construction	• Total building volume less than 12,000m^3 • Construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber)
	Trackout	• Less than 20 HDV trips per day • Surface material with low potential for dust release • Unpaved road length less than 50m

3.2.10 Step 2B defined the sensitivity of the area around the development to potential dust impacts. The sensitivities of specific receptors are summarised in Table 4.

Table 4 Construction Dust - Sensitivities of People and Ecological Receptors

Receptor Sensitivity	Examples	
	Human Receptors	Ecological Receptors
High	• Users expect high levels of amenity • High aesthetic or value property • People expected to be present continuously for extended periods of time • Locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the AQO for PM_{10}. e.g. residential properties, hospitals, schools and residential care homes	• Internationally or nationally designated site e.g. Special Area of Conservation
Medium	• Users would expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity • Aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished by soiling • People or property wouldn't reasonably be expected to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land e.g. parks and places of work	• Nationally designated site e.g. Sites of Special Scientific Interest
Low	• Enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected • Property would not be expected to be diminished in appearance • Transient exposure, where people would only be expected to be present for limited periods. e.g. public footpaths, playing fields, shopping streets, farmland, short term car parks and roads	• Locally designated site e.g. Local Nature Reserve

3.2.11 The criteria for determining the sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects on people and property is summarised in Table 5.

Table 5 Construction Dust - Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
		Less than 20	Less than 50	Less than 100	Less than 250
High	More than 100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10 - 100	High	Medium	Low	Low

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
		Less than 20	Less than 50	Less than 100	Less than 250
	1 - 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	More than 1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	More than 1	Low	Low	Low	Low

3.2.12 Table 6 outlines the criteria for determining the sensitivity of the area to human health impacts.

Table 6 Construction Dust - Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Background Annual Mean PM_{10} Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
			Less than 20	Less than 50	Less than 100	Less than 250
High	Greater than 32µg/m^3	More than 100	High	High	High	Medium
		10 - 100	High	High	Medium	Low
		1 - 10	High	Medium	Low	Low
	28 - 32µg/m^3	More than 100	High	High	Medium	Low
		10 - 100	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1 - 10	High	Medium	Low	Low
	24 - 28µg/m^3	More than 100	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10 - 100	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1 - 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	Less than 24µg/m^3	More than 100	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10 - 100	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1 - 10	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	Greater than 32µg/m^3	More than 10	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1 - 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28 - 32µg/m^3	More than 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1 - 10	Low	Low	Low	Low

Receptor Sensitivity	Background Annual Mean PM_{10} Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
			Less than 20	Less than 50	Less than 100	Less than 250
	24 - 28µg/m^3	More than 10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1 -10	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Less than 24µg/m^3	More than 10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1 - 10	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	1 or more	Low	Low	Low	Low

3.2.13 Table 7 outlines the criteria for determining the sensitivity of the area to ecological impacts.

Table 7 Construction Dust - Sensitivity of the Area to Ecological Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from the Source (m)	
	Less than 20	Less than 50
High	High	Medium
Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low

3.2.14 Step 2C combines the dust emission magnitude with the sensitivity of the area to determine the risk of unmitigated impacts.

3.2.15 Table 8 outlines the risk category from earthworks, construction and trackout activities.

Table 8 Construction Dust - Dust Risk Category from Earthworks, Construction and Trackout Activities

Receptor Sensitivity	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High	Medium	Low
Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low	Negligible

Step 3 - Site-specific Mitigation

3.2.16 Step 3 requires the identification of site-specific mitigation measures within the IAQM guidance[11] to reduce potential dust impacts based upon the relevant risk categories identified in Step 2. For sites with **negligible** risk, mitigation measures beyond those required by legislation are not required. However, additional controls may be applied as part of good practice.

Step 4 - Determine Significant Effects

3.2.17 Once the risk of dust impacts has been determined and the appropriate mitigation measures identified, the final step is to determine the significance of any residual impacts. For almost all construction activity, the aim should be to control effects through the use of effective mitigation. Experience shows that this is normally possible. Hence the residual effect will normally be **not significant**.

3.2.18 The determination of significance relies on professional judgement and reasoning should be provided as far as practicable. The IAQM guidance[12] suggests the provision of details of the assessor's qualifications and experience. These are provided in Appendix 1.

3.3 Operational Phase Assessment

Potential Development Impacts

3.3.1 The development has the potential to increase concentrations of NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ as a result of road traffic exhaust emissions associated with vehicles travelling to and from the site during the operational phase. An assessment was therefore undertaken using the criteria contained within the IAQM 'Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality'[13] guidance to determine the potential for trips generated by the development to affect local air quality.

[11] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

[12] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

[13] Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, IAQM, 2017.

3.3.2 The following criteria are provided to help establish when an assessment of potential impacts on the local area is likely to be considered necessary:

A. If any of the following apply:

- 10 or more residential units or a site area of more than 0.5ha; or,
- More than 1,000m^2 of floor space for all other uses or a site area greater than 1ha.

B. Coupled with any of the following:

- The development has more than 10 parking spaces; or,
- The development will have a centralised energy facility or other centralised combustion process.

3.3.3 Should these criteria not be met, then the IAQM guidance[14] considers air quality impacts associated with a scheme to be **not significant** and no further assessment is required.

Potential Future Exposure

3.3.4 The proposed development comprises residential land use. This is considered a location of relevant exposure for long and short term AQOs in accordance with DEFRA guidance[15], as shown in Table 2. Existing air quality conditions at the site were therefore assessed through consideration of AQMA designations, local monitoring results and background concentrations. The findings were subsequently used to determine the potential for exceedences of the relevant AQO and Concentration Target at the development location.

14 Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, IAQM, 2017.

15 Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance (TG22), DEFRA, 2022.

4.0 BASELINE

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 Existing air quality conditions in the vicinity of the proposed development site were identified in order to provide a baseline for assessment. These are detailed in the following Sections.

4.2 Local Air Quality Management

4.2.1 As required by the Environment Act (1995), as amended by the Environment Act (2021), MCC has undertaken Review and Assessment of air quality within its area of jurisdiction. This process has indicated that annual mean concentrations of NO_2 are above the AQO within the city. As such, a number of roads within Manchester have been included within the GMCA AQMA. This is described as follows:

> "This is the part of the Greater Manchester Combined Authority AQMA located within the Manchester City Council area. "

4.2.1 The development is partly located within the GMCA AQMA. As such, there is the potential for vehicles travelling to and from the site to increase pollution levels in this sensitive area, as well as the exposure of future users to elevated pollution concentrations. This has been considered throughout the assessment.

4.2.2 MCC has concluded that concentrations of all other pollutants considered within the AQS are currently below the relevant AQOs. As such, no further AQMAs have been designated.

4.3 Air Quality Monitoring

4.3.1 Monitoring of pollutant levels is undertaken by MCC throughout its area of jurisdiction. Recent NO_2 concentrations recorded in the vicinity of the development, as provided in the GMCA's '2025 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)'[16], are shown in Table 9.

16 2025 Air Quality ASR, GMCA, 2026.

Table 9 Monitoring Results

Monitoring Site		Monitored NO_2 Concentration (µg/m³)		
		2023	2024	2025
MA71NO	Great Ancoats Street	30.7	29.0	26.2

4.3.2 As shown in Table 9, annual mean NO_2 concentrations were below the AQO of 40µg/m³ at the MA71NO - Great Ancoats Street monitor in recent years. Reference should be made to Figure 2 for a map of the survey position.

4.3.3 MCC does not undertake PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring within the vicinity of the site.

4.4 Background Pollutant Concentrations

4.4.1 Predictions of background pollutant concentrations on a 1km by 1km grid basis have been produced by DEFRA for the entire of the UK to assist LAs in their Review and Assessment of air quality. The proposed development site is located in grid square NGR: 385500, 398500. Data for this location was downloaded from the DEFRA website[17] for the purpose of the assessment and is summarised in Table 10.

Table 10 Background Pollutant Concentration Predictions

Pollutant	Predicted 2026 Background Annual Mean Pollutant Concentration (µg/m³)
NO_2	17.52
PM_{10}	13.30
$PM_{2.5}$	7.83

4.4.2 As shown in Table 10, predicted background NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are below the relevant AQOs and Concentration Target at the development site.

4.5 Sensitive Receptors

4.5.1 A sensitive receptor is defined as any location which may be affected by changes in air quality as a result of a development. Receptors sensitive to potential dust impacts during

[17] http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2021.

earthworks and construction were identified from a desk-top study of the area up to 250m from the development boundary. These are summarised in Table 11.

Table 11 Earthworks and Construction Dust Sensitive Receptors

Distance from Site Boundary (m)	Approximate Number of Human Receptors			Approximate Number of Ecological Receptors
	Low Sensitivity Receptors	Medium Sensitivity Receptors	High Sensitivity Receptors	
Up to 20	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	0
Up to 50	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	0
Up to 100	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	-
Up to 250	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	-

4.5.2 Receptors sensitive to potential dust impacts from trackout were identified from a desk-top study of the area up to 50m from the road network within 250m of the site access. These are summarised in Table 12.

Table 12 Trackout Dust Sensitive Receptors

Distance from Site Access Route (m)	Approximate Number of Human Receptors			Approximate Number of Ecological Receptors
	Low Sensitivity Receptors	Medium Sensitivity Receptors	High Sensitivity Receptors	
Up to 20	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	0
Up to 50	More than 1	More than 10	More than 100	0

4.5.3 There are no ecological receptors within 50m of the development boundary or the access route within 250m of the site entrance. As such, ecological impacts have not been assessed further within this report.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION PHASE ASSESSMENT

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 There is the potential for air quality impacts as a result of the construction of the proposed development. These are assessed in the following Sections.

5.2 Step 1 - Screen the Need for an Assessment

5.2.1 The undertaking of activities such as excavation, ground works, cutting, construction and storage of materials has the potential to result in fugitive dust emissions throughout the construction phase. Vehicle movements on the local road network also have the potential to result in the re-suspension of dust from highway surfaces.

5.2.2 The potential for impacts at sensitive locations depends significantly on local meteorology during the undertaking of dust generating activities, with the most significant effects likely to occur during dry and windy conditions.

5.2.3 The desk-study undertaken to inform the baseline identified a number of sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. As such, a detailed assessment of potential dust impacts was required.

5.3 Step 2a - Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude

Earthworks

5.3.1 Earthworks will primarily involve excavating material, haulage, tipping and stockpiling, as well as site levelling and landscaping. The area of the site is less than 18,000m^2. In accordance with the criteria outlined in Table 3, the magnitude of potential dust emissions from earthworks is therefore **small.**

Construction

5.3.2 Due to the size of the development, the total building volume is estimated to be between 12,000m^3 and 75,000m^3. In accordance with the criteria outlined in Table 3, the magnitude of potential dust emissions from construction is therefore **medium.**

Trackout

5.3.3 Based on the site area, it is anticipated that the unpaved road length will be less than 50m. In accordance with the criteria outlined in Table 3, the magnitude of potential dust emissions from trackout is therefore **small.**

5.4 Step 2b - Define the Sensitivity of the Area

Dust Soiling

5.4.1 The sensitivity of the area with respect to dust soiling from earthworks and construction was defined based on the number of receptors shown in Table 11 using the criteria summarised in Table 5. This indicated a sensitivity classification of **high**.

5.4.2 The sensitivity of the area with respect to dust soiling from trackout was defined based on the number of receptors shown in Table 12 using the criteria summarised in Table 5. This indicated a sensitivity classification of **high**.

Human Health

5.4.3 Table 10 shows the 2026 annual mean PM_{10} background concentration at the site is 13.30µg/m^3. Using the criteria in Table 6 and the number of receptors shown in Table 11, the sensitivity of the area with respect to human health from earthworks and construction was classified as **medium**.

5.4.4 Using the criteria in Table 6 and the number of receptors shown in Table 12, the sensitivity of the area with respect to human health from trackout was classified as **medium**.

5.5 Step 2c - Define the Risk of Dust Impacts

5.5.1 The derived dust emission magnitude for each activity has been combined with the sensitivity of the area to determine the risk of unmitigated impacts in line with the methodology set out in Table 8. A summary of the risk from each dust generating activity is provided in Table 13.

Table 13 Summary of Potential Unmitigated Dust Risks

Potential Impact	Activity	Step 2A - Dust Emission Magnitude	Step 2B - Sensitivity of the Area	Step 2C - Risk
Dust Soiling	Earthworks	Small	High	Low
	Construction	Medium	High	Medium
	Trackout	Small	High	Low
Human Health	Earthworks	Small	Medium	Low
	Construction	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Trackout	Small	Medium	Low

5.5.2 As indicated in Table 13, the potential risk of dust soiling and human health impacts is **medium** from construction and **low** from earthworks and trackout.

5.5.3 It should be noted that the potential for impacts depends significantly on the distance between the dust generating activity and receptor location. Risk was predicted based on a worst-case scenario of works being undertaken at the site boundary closest to each sensitive area. Therefore, actual risk is likely to be lower than that predicted during the majority of the construction phase.

5.6 Step 3 - Site-specific Mitigation

5.6.1 The IAQM guidance[18] provides potential mitigation measures to reduce impacts as a result of fugitive dust emissions during the construction phase. These have been adapted for the development site as summarised in Table 14. These may be reviewed prior to the commencement of construction works and will be incorporated into a Construction Environmental Management Plan.

[18] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

Table 14 Fugitive Dust Emission Mitigation Measures

Issue	Control Measure
Communications	• Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site • Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager • Display the head or regional office contact information • Develop and implement a Dust Management Plan or similar, which may include measures to control other emissions, approved by the LA
Site management	• Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken • Make the complaints log available to the LA upon request • Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and/or air emissions, either on- or off- site, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the log book
Monitoring	• Carry out regular site inspections, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the LA upon request • Increase the frequency of site inspections when activities with a high potential to produce dust are being carried out and during prolonged dry or windy conditions
Site preparation	• Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as is possible • Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary that are at least as high as any stockpiles on site • Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and they are active for an extensive period • Avoid site runoff of water or mud • Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods • Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used • Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping
Operating vehicle/ machinery and sustainable travel	• Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary - no idling vehicles • Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable

Issue	Control Measure
Operations	• Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques • Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust suppression, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate • Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips • Minimise drop heights and use fine water sprays wherever appropriate • Ensure equipment is available to clean any dry spillages, and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable using wet cleaning methods
Waste management	• Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials
Construction	• Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out

5.7 Step 4 - Determine Significant Effects

5.7.1 Assuming the relevant mitigation measures outlined in Table 14 are implemented, the residual impact from all dust generating activities is predicted to be **not significant**, in accordance with the IAQM guidance[19].

[19] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

6.0 OPERATIONAL PHASE ASSESSMENT

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 There is the potential for air quality impacts as a result of the operation of the proposed development. These are assessed in the following Sections.

6.2 Potential Development Impacts

6.2.1 The development has the potential to increase concentrations of NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ as a result of road traffic exhaust emissions associated with vehicles travelling to and from the site during the operational phase. The proposals have therefore been assessed against the IAQM[20] screening criteria detailed in Section 3.3. The development does not include any car parking spaces. As such, potential air quality impacts associated with the operational phase road vehicle exhaust emissions are predicted to be **not significant**.

6.3 Potential Future Exposure

6.3.1 Residential land use is considered sensitive to elevated long-term and short-term pollutant concentrations. As such, the proposed development has the potential to introduce future residents to elevated pollution levels. Existing conditions within the vicinity of the site are therefore considered further below.

6.3.2 The site is partly located within the GMCA AQMA, which has been declared due to exceedences of the annual mean AQO for NO_2. However, there may be locations where elevated concentrations are not experienced within the designation. This can be seen through review of GMCA's 'Greater Manchester LAQM and CAP NO_2 Diffusion Tube Results 2011-2025'[21] which indicates compliance with the relevant AQO at 144 out of 162 monitoring locations within MCC's area of jurisdiction in 2025. As such, although AQO exceedences are considered possible at the site based on the AQMA, they are not certain.

[20] Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, IAQM, 2017.

[21] Greater Manchester LAQM and CAP NO_2 Diffusion Tube Results 2011-2025, GMCA, 2026.

6.3.3 As detailed in Table 9, MCC undertook monitoring of annual mean NO_2 concentrations at one location within the vicinity of the site during 2025. The MA71NO monitor recorded a concentration of 26.2µg/m^3 in 2025 which is below the AQO of 40µg/m^3. The survey site is approximately 340m west of the proposals and is adjacent to the A665 Great Ancoats Street within the GMCA AQMA. Given that the proposed development is located on Old Mill Street, an unclassified minor road, it is likely that concentrations at the site will be lower than those recorded at MA71NO. As such, exceedences of the AQO are considered unlikely at the development location.

6.3.4 As shown in Table 10, predicted background NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at the site were below the relevant AQOs and Concentration Target during 2026.

6.3.5 Based on the assessment results, future residents are not predicted to be exposed to exceedences of the AQOs and Concentration Target. The site is therefore considered suitable for the proposed end use from an air quality perspective.

6.4 Interim Planning Guidance for $PM_{2.5}$

6.4.1 Interim Planning Guidance[22] on the consideration of the $PM_{2.5}$ targets identified in the Environment Act (2021) in planning decisions has been produced by DEFRA. This requires evidence that the key sources of air pollution within a development have been identified and appropriate action to minimise $PM_{2.5}$ and its precursors as far as is reasonably practicable be provided in support of planning applications. To assist the process, two questions and associated considerations are provided. These are summarised in Table 15, along with the development response.

22 https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/pm25targets/planning.

Table 15 Interim Planning Guidance Questions

Question	Response
How has exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ been considered when selecting the development site? Factors to consider include: • Site proximity to people (particularly large populations and/or vulnerable groups, e.g. schools, hospitals, care homes, areas of deprivation) and the impact of the development on these • Site proximity to pollution sources and the impact of these on users of the development • Exposure and emissions during both construction and in-use	The site is located in a predominantly residential area within Ancoats. As such, the scheme is in close proximity to a large population. However, impacts associated with road vehicle exhaust emissions generated by the development are predicted to be **not significant**, as summarised in Section 6.2 The site is distanced from major road pollution sources with the A665 Great Ancoats street located approximately 325m west of the boundary. Future residents are therefore unlikely to be exposed to elevated pollutant concentrations As outlined in Table 14, a number of mitigation measures will be used throughout the construction phase in order to reduce fugitive dust emissions as far as practicable. This will control potential exposure at off-site locations
What actions and/or mitigations have been considered to reduce $PM_{2.5}$ exposure for development users and nearby receptors (houses, hospitals, schools etc.) and to reduce emissions of $PM_{2.5}$ and its precursors? Factors to consider include: • Site layout • The development's design • Technology used in the construction or installed for use in the development • Construction and future use of the development	A number of mitigation measures have been incorporated into the design of the scheme in order to reduce $PM_{2.5}$ emissions. These include the following: • A bike store at ground floor level to encourage the use of sustainable modes of travel • The development does not include any car parking spaces. This will encourage future site users to use alternative modes of travel • Provision of a Travel Plan to encourage low emission modes of transportation Further to the above, in order to reduce emissions during the construction phase, a number of mitigation measures will be used to minimise dust generation from associated activities

6.4.2 Based on the responses provided in Table 15 and the assessment results, as outlined in Sections 5.0 and 6.0, it is considered that the development has identified key sources of air pollution and taken appropriate action to minimise emissions of $PM_{2.5}$.

7.0 MITIGATION

7.1.1 A number of mitigation measures have been included within the development to encourage the use of sustainable transport modes, manage vehicle flow and reduce pollution around the site. These are in accordance with MCC's 'Air Quality and Planning Technical Guidance'[23], which is based upon the Good Practice Design Principles outlined in the IAQM document 'Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality'[24]. Table 16 describes the good practice principles along with how each has been addressed with regards to the proposals.

Table 16 Good Practice Design Principles for Operational Phase Air Quality Impacts

Phase	Principle	Action
Design Phase	New developments should not contravene the Council's Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP)[25], or render any of the measures unworkable	It is confirmed that the proposals will not contravene MCC's AQAP, or render any of the measures unworkable
	Wherever possible, new developments should not create a new "street canyon", or a building configuration that inhibits effective pollution dispersion	The development will not create a new street canyon or a building configuration that inhibits effective dispersion
	Delivering sustainable development should be the key theme of any application	The development is located in a sustainable city centre location in close proximity to various sustainable public transport options
Operational Phase	The provision of at least 1 Electric Vehicle (EV) "rapid charge" point per 10 residential dwellings and/or 1,000m^2 of commercial floor space. Where on-site parking is provided for residential dwellings, EV charging points for each parking space should be made	There is no car parking proposed as part of the scheme

23 Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance, MCC, 2022.

24 Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, IAQM, 2017.

25 Greater Manchester AQAP 2016-2021, GMCA, 2016.

Phase	Principle	Action
	Where development generates significant additional traffic, provision of a detailed Travel Plan (with provision to measure its implementation and effect) which sets out measures to encourage sustainable means of transport (public, cycling and walking) via subsidised or free-ticketing, improved links to bus stops, improved infrastructure and layouts to improve accessibility and safety	There is no car parking proposed as part of the scheme The development is located in a sustainable city centre location in close proximity to various sustainable public transport options A Travel Plan is being produced for the scheme
	All gas-fired boilers to meet a minimum standard of <40mgNO_x/kWh. All gas-fired CHP plant to meet a minimum emissions standard of: • Spark ignition engine: 250mgNO_x/Nm^3; • Compression ignition engine: 400mgNO_x/Nm^3; • Gas turbine: 50mgNO_x/Nm^3. A presumption should be to use natural gas-fired installations. Where biomass is proposed within an urban area it is to meet minimum emissions standards of: • Solid biomass boiler: 275mgNO_x/Nm^3 and 25mgPM/Nm^3	Any gas-fired boilers to be included in the development will be provisioned in accordance with the relevant requirements

7.1.2 It is considered the above methods would further reduce potential impacts associated with the scheme. Specific mitigation suited to the proposals may be stipulated as a planning condition if deemed necessary by the LA.

8.0 CONCLUSION

8.1.1 Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Zentra New Islington Limited to undertake an Air Quality Assessment in support of a residential development on land off Old Mill Street, Manchester.

8.1.2 The development has the potential to cause air quality impacts at sensitive locations during the construction and operational phases, as well as exposure of future residents to any existing air quality issues at the site. As such, an Air Quality Assessment was undertaken in order to determine baseline conditions and consider potential effects as a result of the proposals.

8.1.3 During the construction phase of the development there is the potential for air quality impacts from fugitive dust emissions from the site. These were assessed in accordance with the IAQM methodology[26]. Site-specific dust control measures were subsequently determined based on the identified risk ratings. Subject to implementation, residual air quality impacts from dust generated by earthworks, construction and trackout activities are predicted to be **not significant**.

8.1.4 Potential impacts during the operational phase of the proposed development may occur due to road traffic exhaust emissions associated with vehicles travelling to and from the site. These were assessed against the screening criteria provided within the IAQM guidance[27]. Due to the nature of the development, road traffic exhaust impacts were predicted to be **not significant**.

8.1.5 The potential for exposure of future residents to elevated pollution levels was assessed based on AQMA designations, local monitoring results and background concentrations. The assessment indicated that concentrations of NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are likely to be below the relevant AQOs and Concentration Target across the site. As such, the development is considered suitable for the proposed use from an air quality perspective.

8.1.6 Based on the assessment results, air quality factors are not considered a constraint to planning consent for the development.


[26] Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction V2.2, IAQM, 2024.

[27] Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, IAQM, 2017.

9.0 ABBREVIATIONS

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan
AQLV	Air Quality Limit Value
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQO	Air Quality Objective
AQS	Air Quality Strategy
ASR	Annual Status Report
CAP	Clean Air Plan
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DPD	Development Plan Document
GMCA	Greater Manchester Combined Authority
HDV	Heavy Duty Vehicle
IAQM	Institute of Air Quality Management
LA	Local Authority
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
LDV	Light Duty Vehicle
MCC	Manchester City Council
NGR	National Grid Reference
NO_2	Nitrogen dioxide
NO_X	Oxides of nitrogen
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance
PM_{10}	Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10µm
$PM_{2.5}$	Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5µm
TfGM	Transport for Greater Manchester

Figures



Legend

Site Boundary

Title
Figure 1 - Site Location Plan


Project
Air Quality Assessment
Old Mill Street, Manchester

Project Reference
11190

Client
Zentra New Islington Limited

Contains Ordnance Survey Data
© Crown Copyright and Database Act 2022

Redmore environmental
www.red-env.co.uk | 0161 7060075



Legend

Site Boundary

Monitor

Title
Figure 2 - Monitoring Location

Project
Air Quality Assessment
Old Mill Street, Manchester

Project Reference
11190

Client
Zentra New Islington Limited

Contains Ordnance Survey Data
© Crown Copyright and Database Act 2022

Redmore environmental
www.red-env.co.uk | 0161 7060075

Appendix 1 - Curricula Vitae

JETHRO REDMORE

Director

BEng (Hons), MSc, MIAQM, MIEnvSc, PIEMA, CEnv

KEY EXPERIENCE:

Jethro is a Chartered Environmentalist and Director of Redmore Environmental with specialist experience in the air quality and odour sectors. His key capabilities include:

- Production and management of Air Quality, Dust and Odour Assessments for a wide-range of clients from the retail, residential, infrastructure, commercial and industrial sectors.
- Production and co-ordination of Environmental Permit applications for a variety of industrial sectors.
- Detailed dispersion modelling of road vehicle and industrial emissions using ADMS-Roads, ADMS-6, AERMOD-PRIME and BREEZE-ROADS. Studies have included impact assessment of ground level pollutant and odour concentrations and assessment of suitability of development sites for proposed end-use.
- Project management and co-ordination of Environmental Impact Assessments and scoping reports for developments throughout the UK.
- Provision of expert witness services at Planning Inquiries.
- Design and project management of pollutant monitoring campaigns.
- Co-ordination and management of large-scale multi-disciplinary projects and submissions.
- Provision of expert advice to local government and international environmental bodies, as well as involvement in production of industry guidance.

SELECT PROJECTS SUMMARY:

Industrial

Shanks Waste Management - Odour Assessments of two waste management facilities to support Environmental Permit Applications.

Tatweer Petroleum - dispersion modelling of Bahrain oil field.

Doha South Sewage Treatment Works - AQA for works extension in Qatar.

IRIS Environmental Appraisal Report Reviews, Isle of Man Government - odour assessment reviews.

Lankem, Greater Manchester - Environmental Permit Application for chemical manufacturing plant.

Newport Docks Bulk Drying, Pelleting and CHP Facility - air quality EIA for gas CHP.

Springshades, Leicester - Environmental Permit Variation Application for textile manufacturing plant.

Valspar, Chester - Odour Assessment and production of Odour Management Plan for a paint manufacturing plant in response to neighbour complaints.

Agrivert - dispersion modelling of odour and CHP emissions from numerous AD plants.

James Cropper Paper Mill, Cumbria - air quality EIA, Environmental Permit Variation and Human Health Risk Assessment for new biomass boiler adjacent to SSSI.

Rigg Approach, Leyton - Air Quality Assessment in support of waste transfer site.

Lynchford Lane Waste Transfer Station - biomass facility energy recovery plant.

Barnes Wallis Heat and Power, Cobham - biomass facility adjacent to AQMA.

Residential

Wood St Mill, Bury - residential development adjacent to scrap metal yard.

Hyams Lane, Holbrook - Odour Assessment to support residential development adjacent to sewage works.

North Wharf Gardens, London - peer review of EIA undertaken for large residential development.

Loxford Road, Alford - Air Quality EIA for residential development, included consideration of impacts from associated package sewage works

Elephant and Castle Leisure Centre - baseline AQA for redevelopment.

Carr Lodge, Doncaster - EIA for large residential development.

Queensland Road, Highbury - residential scheme including CHP.

Bicester Ecotown - dispersion modelling of energy centre.

Castleford Growth Delivery Plan - baseline air quality constraints assessment for town redevelopment.

York St, Bury - residential development adjacent to AQMA.

Temple Point Leeds - residential development adjacent to M1.

Commercial and Retail

Etihad Stadium - Air Quality EIA for the extension to the capacity of the Etihad Stadium, Manchester.

Wakefield College - redevelopment of city centre campus in AQMA.

Manchester Airport Cargo Shed - commercial development.

Manchester Airport Apron Extension - EIA including aircraft emission modelling.

National Youth Theatre, Islington - redevelopment to provide new arts space and accommodation.

EMILY MACEY

Senior Air Quality Consultant

BSc (Hons), MSc, MIEnvSc, MIAQM

Tel: 0161 706 0075 | Email: emily.macey@red-env.co.uk

KEY EXPERIENCE:

Emily is a Senior Environmental Consultant with specialist experience in the air quality sector. Her key capabilities include:

- Production of Air Quality Assessments in accordance with Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) methodologies for a range of residential, commercial and industrial sectors.
- Detailed dispersion modelling of road vehicle exhaust emissions using ADMS-Roads. Studies have included assessment of road traffic exhaust emissions on sensitive receptors and exposure of new residents to poor air quality.
- Advanced canyon modelling to evaluate the impact of altered urban topography on air quality in built up areas.
- Assessment of construction dust impacts from a range of development sizes.
- Definition of baseline air quality and identification of sensitive areas across the UK.
- Production of air quality mitigation strategies specifically tailored to address issues at individual sites.
- Air quality monitoring at industrial sites to quantify pollutant concentrations
- Odour surveys to assess amenity and suitability of sites for potential future development for residential use.

SELECT PROJECTS SUMMARY:

Bowlers Yard, Manchester

Air Quality Assessment in support of an eleven storey residential development to provide circa 65 units on land known as Bowlers Yard, Manchester. The site was located in an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and concerns were raised regarding the exposure of future occupants to poor air quality due to road traffic emissions. Detailed dispersion modelling was undertaken using ADMS-roads to assess $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and NO_2 concentrations across the site. Results indicated that pollution levels were below the air quality objectives across the development.

Freemasons Arms Hotel, Heywood

Air Quality Assessment to support a residential-led development in an AQMA. Detailed dispersion modelling was undertaken with the inclusion of advanced canyon modelling to evaluate the impact of the urban topography within the locality on the dispersion of traffic related pollutants. Predicted concentrations of NO_2 were found to exceed air quality criteria at the building façade fronting Market Place at first floor level. As such, mitigation was specified for the affected units to ensure future residents would not be exposed to poor air quality.

Griffin Road, London

Air Quality Assessment in support of a residential development located in an AQMA. Detailed dispersion modelling was undertaken using ADMS-roads to assess PM_{10} and NO_2 concentrations across the site. Results indicated that pollution levels were classified as APEC - A in accordance with the London Councils Air Quality and Planning Guidance.

High Street, Dudley

Odour Impact Assessment in support of a proposed residential-led development. Due to the location of the site, being above an existing hot food takeaway, odour surveys were required to assess the level of odour across the development. A risk assessment was also undertaken in accordance with the relevant odour guidance. An appropriate ventilation system was identified on the basis of the assessment results.

East Common Lane, Selby

Air Quality Assessment in support of an industrial development on land associated with Access 63 Business Park, East Common Lane Selby. Due to the size of the development it was possible that traffic generated from the scheme may cause negative impacts on sensitive receptors nearby. NO_2 and PM_{10} concentrations were quantified at specific receptor points to ensure there would be no significant increases in pollution levels. Results revealed negligible impacts at all locations.

Wharton Road, Winsford

Air Quality Assessment in support of a residential development of circa 138 units on land off Wharton Road, Winsford. Using sensitive receptors, located in areas where increased road traffic may affect NO_2 concentrations, a comparison was made between overall concentrations with and without the development in place. Results revealed pollutant concentrations were below the relevant standards across the site and impacts associated with the development were not significant.