# TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE (BS5837: 2012)

TREE SOLUTIONS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Site** | MARION LAUDER HOUSE, MANCHESTER | **Surveyor** | ALISTAIR HENDERSON |
| **Client** | CARE CONCEPTS | **Assessment Dates** | 10-Jun-26 |
| **Brief** | ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | **Viewing Conditions** | CLEAR |
| * Tree not on topo, indicatively plotted | # Tree located off site with no access to survey | **Job Reference** | 26/AIA/MAN/56 |



| Tree/Group/ Woodland Number | Name | Age | Height (m) | Crown clear | North | South | East | West | Diameter (mm) | Vitality | Comments | E.R.C | Management | Category | RPA (m) | RPA ($m^2$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | Swedish Whitebeam | M | 10 | 1S | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 480 | G | Cavity on stem to south<br>Dieback in upper crown | 20 | Remove for development & replace | B2 | 5.8 | 105 |
| T2 | Cherry | EM | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 2 | 90, 100, 120 (180) | G | Multi-stem tree<br>Provides visual amenity to residents | 20 | No works | B2 | 2 | 15 |
| T3 | Purple Maple | EM | 12 | 1W | 4 | 4.5 | 4 | 4 | 440 | G | Large prominent tree<br>Will become standalone feature tree when T3 removed, mitigating the loss | 20+ | No works | B2 | 5 | 88 |
| T4 | Cherry | M | 13 | 1W | 4.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 4 | 530 | G | No defects noted | 20 | Remove for development & replace | B2 | 6.4 | 127 |
| T5 | Rowan | EM | 7 | 1.5W | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 160 | G | Well established tree | 20 | Remove for development & replace | B2 | 2 | 11.5 |
| T6 | Sycamore | EM | 15 | 2W | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 590 | G | Small cavity on stem to west at 300mm<br>Ivy impeding inspection<br>Overgrown self set specimen | 20 | Remove for development & replace | B2 | 7 | 157 |
| T7 | Sycamore | M | 15 | 3W | 5 | 3.5 | 6 | 7 | 480, 450 (658) | G | As T6 | 20 | Remove for development & replace | B2 | 8 | 195 |
| T8 | Hybrid Poplar | Y | 10 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 90 | G | Small insignificant tree | 10 | No works | C2 | 1 | 3.7 |
| T9 | Hybrid Poplar | EM | 15 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 350 | G | Ivy clad impeding inspection | 20 | No works | B2 | 4.2 | 55 |
| T10 | Sycamore | Y | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 | G | Small naturally colonised tree<br>No existing or future value/viability | 0 | Remove for development & replace | C1 | 1.2 | 4.5 |
| T11 | Cherry | SM | | | | | | | | MD | In advanced decline 80% dead | | Remove | U | N/A | N/A |
| G1 | Cherry x 2 | EM | 3.5 | 0 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 90 | G | Small trees no defects noted | 10 | No works | C3 | 1 | 3.7 |
| G2 | Sycamore | EM | 13 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2.5 | 300 | G | Naturally regenerated trees along boundary fence<br>Offer some screening to road | 20 | No works | B2 | 3.6 | 41 |
| G3 | Sycamore, Elder, Apple | SM/EM | 13 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 200 | G | Self-set tree offering some boundary screening | 10 | No works | C2 | 2.4 | 18 |
| G4 | Sycamore, Goat Willow, Leyland Cypress x1 | EM | 13 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 300 | G | Linear group of dense boundary tree cover | 20 | No works | B2 | 3.6 | 41 |
| G5 | Willow, Maple, Pear | SM | 12 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 200 | G | Good quality trees within amenity area | 20 | No works | B2 | 2.5 | 18 |

**HEADINGS & ABBREVIATIONS**

| | |
|---|---|
| **TREE NO.** | REFERENCE NUMBER. REFER TO PLAN OR NUMBERED TAGS WHERE APPLICABLE (T = TREE, G = GROUP, H = HEDGE) |
| **SPECIES:** | COMMON NAME (LATIN NAMES AVAILABLE ON REQUEST) |
| **AGE RANGE/LIFE STAGE:** | Y = YOUNG, SM = SEMI MATURE, EM = EARLY MATURE, M = MATURE, PM = POST MATURE |
| **HEIGHT:** | ESTIMATED AND RECORDED IN METRES. APPROXIMATELY 1 IN 10 TREES ARE Measured USING A CLINOMETER AND THE REMAINDER ESTIMATED AGAINST THE MEASURED TREES |
| **CROWN SPREAD:** | MAXIMUM CROWN RADIUS MEASURED TO THE FOUR CARDINAL COMPASS POINTS FOR SINGLE SPECIMENS ONLY (MEASUREMENT FOR TREE GROUPS - MAXIMUM RADIUS OF THE GROUP) |
| **CROWN CLEARANCE & DIRECTION OF GROWTH:** | HEIGHT IN METERS OF CROWN CLEARANCE ABOVE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL (TO INFORM ON GROUND CLEARANCE, CROWN/STEM RATIO AND SHADING) |
| **STEM DIA/MULTI-STEM DIA:** | STEM DIAMETER - MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 1.5 METRES ABOVE GROUND LEVEL OR A COMBINATION OF STEMS FOR MULTI-STEMMED TREES |
| **VITALITY:** | A MEASURE OF PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION. D = DEAD, MD = MORIBUND, P = POOR, M = MODERATE, G = GOOD |
| **E.R.C. = ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION:** | RELATIVE USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS |
| **BS 5837CATEGORY & SUB-CATEGORY GRADING** | A = HIGH QUALITY AND VALUE, B = MODERATE QUALITY AND VALUE, C = LOW QUALITY AND VALUE, U = UNSUITABLE FOR RETENTION (SUB-CATEGORY REFERS TO ARBORICULTURAL., LANDSCAPE AND CULTURAL/CONSERVATION VALUES) |
| **BS 5837 RADIUS & BS 5837 RPA:** | PROTECTIVE DISTANCE - RADIUS FROM THE CENTRE OF THE STEM TO THE LINE OF TREE PROTECTION (CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE - CEZ) AND PROTECTIVE BARRIER ROOT PROTECTION AREA - BS 5837 (2012) ANNEX D (THE RECOMMENDATIONS STATE THAT THE RPA SHOULD BE CAPPED AT 707 $M^2$) NOTE – ALL CALCULATIONS ROUNDED TO NEAREST DECIMAL |

Table 1 **Cascade chart for tree quality assessment**

| Category and definition | Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate) | | | Identification on plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Trees unsuitable for retention** (see Note) | | | | |
| **Category U**<br>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years | • Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)<br>• Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline<br>• Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality<br>*NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see **4.5.7**.* | | | See Table 2 |
| | **1 Mainly arboricultural qualities** | **2 Mainly landscape qualities** | **3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation** | |
| **Trees to be considered for retention** | | | | |
| **Category A**<br>**Trees of high quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years | Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue) | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture) | See Table 2 |
| **Category B**<br>**Trees of moderate quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years | Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality | Trees with material conservation or other cultural value | See Table 2 |
| **Category C**<br>**Trees of low quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits | Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value | See Table 2 |

© The British Standards Institution 2012 