[redacted]

PLANNING AND
BUILDING CONTROL
09 JUL 2025

3-7-2025

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you to object in the strongest possible terms to the application 03392/FO/2007/S2 to allow processing for 24 hours Monday to Sunday at the recycling Site in [redacted] Longley Lane Sharston. When the weather is warm the smell is overpowering, its as if rubbish has been dumped in your garden. To allow work to continue through the night will mean the noise will interfere with Sleep, and our quality of life will be greatly diminished. I trust that good sense will prevail and this application will be refused.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]

Outlook

Objection

From [redacted]
Date Tue 08/07/2025 08:36
To David Lawless [redacted]

[You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

I live on dingle grove and I strongly object to the new planning application for Suez. The noice the smell and the flies impacts mine and my family's life.

Kindest regards [redacted]
Sent from my iPhone

[redacted]

9 July 2025

Mr David Lawless
Planning Department
Manchester City Council
Level 6 Town Hall Extension
Manchester M60 2LA

CC:

- Cllr Graham Greenhalgh – Cheadle West & Gatley – [redacted]
- Cllr Ian Hunter – Cheadle West & [redacted]
- Cllr Huma Khan – Cheadle West & Gatley – [redacted]
- Tom Morrison MP – Cheadle - [redacted]
- The Rt Hon Angela Rayner - Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and Deputy Prime Minister - [redacted]

RE: OBJECTION TO PLANNING APPLICATION 143194/JO/2025 – SUEZ RECYCLING AND RECOVERY UK LTD, LONGLEY LANE SITE

Dear Mr Lawless,

I am writing to strongly object to Planning Application 143194/JO/2025, submitted by Miss Hannah Elliott on behalf of SUEZ Recycling and Recovery UK Ltd, which proposes an extension of operational hours at the Longley Lane facility.

This proposal is wholly unacceptable and poses a serious threat to the well-being of residents in Gatley and surrounding areas.

Before I outline our community's long-standing concerns, I must also express my deep disappointment that I only received the consultation letter at the start of July, despite it being dated 19th June. This is an unreasonably long delay for delivery and gives the impression that the timing was deliberately orchestrated to minimise public response. It is highly inappropriate to issue formal consultation notices with such narrow timeframes and poor distribution, especially for a matter with such serious implications for residents' health and quality of life.

For over five years, our neighbourhood has endured persistent nuisance issues from this site. These include:

- **Offensive odours** emanating from the facility, regularly affecting the air quality in our gardens and homes.

- **Noise disturbances**, already occuring after 10pm, which have repeatedly interrupted sleep and affected mental well-being.

We have raised these concerns numerous times in meetings with local councillors and the previous MP for Cheadle, Mary Robinson. We have also met on several occasions with representatives of the facility itself. Despite this ongoing dialogue, no satisfactory or lasting resolution has been achieved.

Additionally, I have personally lodged over 15 complaints to the Environment Agency over the past few years regarding the smell and late-night noise. These complaints reflect a larger pattern of systemic failure to control pollution and mitigate impact on the local population.

Legal and Policy Objections:

This planning application contradicts both local and national planning guidance:

- **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), Paragraph 185**: It is clear that the proposed extension would worsen "the effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment." The site already causes harm — increasing its hours will only exacerbate this.
- **Environmental Protection Act 1990**: The issues of odour and late-night noise constitute statutory nuisances under Part III. The council and site operator have been aware of these issues for years yet continue to ignore their obligations under this legislation.

The current operation already places an unfair burden on local residents. The proposed extension would magnify these issues and demonstrate a failure to prioritise public health, well-being, and environmental responsibility.

Community Position:

This is not a lone objection. Many of my neighbours are submitting letters of opposition, and one of them has collected a petition with over 170 signatures rejecting this proposal.

As a neighbourhood, we are united in our opposition to this application.

Requests:

In light of the long-standing and unresolved issues caused by the Longley Lane site, I respectfully demand the following:

1. **Immediate rejection or suspension** of the current planning application (143194/JO/2025).
2. A **public meeting** convened by Manchester City Council with:
    - Local residents
    - Our local councillors
    - The MP for Cheadle (covering Gatley)
    - A representative from the Environment Agency
    - A representative from SUEZ UK Ltd

This is the only responsible way forward — the community deserves transparency and a meaningful opportunity to be heard.

I request an individual and written response to this letter. I also request that this letter be formally considered as part of the consultation process for this application.

Please be aware that I have CC'd our local councillors, the MP for Cheadle and Gatley, and the Secretary of State.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Outlook

---

25 dingle grove

From [redacted]
Date Wed 09/07/2025 22:12
To David Lawless [redacted]

[You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

I'd like to report more odour from the suez plant. This is getting ridiculous to be honest. Have a fly issue. An odour issue and a noise issue.

[redacted] to a recycling plant is massively affecting my health and mental health.

Please advise as to what on earth is going on, please

Kindly regards [redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

Outlook

re Application number 143194/JO/2025

From [redacted]
Date Wed 09/07/2025 21:08
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Dear Mr Lawless

I am writing to let you know that I fully object to all the proposed changes to the operating hours of the Suez site on Longley Lane.
I live on [redacted] and already there are regular days where bad odours come from the site on a regular basis, yesterday and today for example.
When trying to contact the duty manager to report this all calls go to an answer machine.
I can only see this being more of a problem with 24 hour processing.
Noise is also an issue with what sounds like metal containers being clanked and banged during the night. Not sure if this is the skips being moved or the train pulling into or out of the siding.
Trucks entering and leaving 24 hours will also generate noise and air pollution as well as the extra times that cars dropping off waste will be queuing with there engines running.
I can only see the proposed changes being of a detrimental effect to the well being of my family and the families of the surrounding area.
I hope this will encourage you to reject the changes fully.
Yours sincerely
[redacted]

[redacted]

Outlook

Objection to Planning Application 083920/FO/2007/S2 – SUEZ Longley Lane

From [redacted]
Date Wed 09/07/2025 21:48
To David Lawless [redacted]
Cc [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Dear Mr. Lawless,

I am writing to formally register my objection to planning application 083920/FO/2007/S2 regarding SUEZ's proposal for 24/7 material processing operations at Longley Lane.

I am a resident of [redacted] My objection is based on ongoing and worsening issues of foul odour, noise pollution, and fly infestations directly linked to the facility.

We have experienced persistent strong odours and disruptive noise, particularly during the late evening. Around 10:00 PM, we often hear loud bangs that resemble large, empty skips being dropped — producing a deep, jarring "boom" that disturbs the peace of our home and surrounding properties.

In addition, our house is continually inundated with bluebottle flies, which we believe are linked to the operations at Longley Lane. Controlling them has become a constant struggle and a serious concern for hygiene and wellbeing. The issue appears to stem from the waste processing building closest to residential homes, where large doors are often left open, allowing odours and pests to spread into our neighbourhood.

I would welcome you to visit Dingle Grove in person to experience the extent of the odour and its impact on daily life for yourself.

While we understand the importance of waste management, it’s important to recognise that we, as a country, are now recycling and reusing more than ever before. Many people are actively reducing waste by selling unwanted items on platforms like Facebook Marketplace, eBay, Vinted, shopping second-hand in their communities, repairing goods, and supporting manufacturers who are cutting down on packaging waste. The pressure placed on local waste sites should reflect these changing habits and values.

It’s also worth noting that Longley Lane is the only SUEZ facility situated so close to residential housing. This makes the impact of 24/7 operations particularly severe and unfair to those of us living nearby.

Given the above, I strongly oppose the proposal to extend operating hours and respectfully urge you to fully consider the concerns of residents before making any planning decisions.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Outlook

Planning Application Objections - Longley Lane Tip, Northenden Manchester

From [redacted]
Date Tue 29/07/2025 20:00
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Hi David

I would like to submit my objection against the planning application for the above business.

I live on [redacted], the traffic on Longley Lane, Altrincham Road and Gatley Road are all so heavy and the roads already get congested. If there are any incidents on the motorways, we suffer the impact in Gatley as motorists come off the motorways trying to get to their destination. The roads can be grid locked it is already a nightmare!!!!

We have all noticed an increase in flies due to the hot weather and residents have reported rats in their gardens in the cul de sac. Extending the tip opening and processing hours will only add to the issues we all currently have at the moment.

I am aware residents living on Dingle and Lorna Grove have also complained numerous times to the tip management team regarding the smells and noises coming from the tip during the day and at night without any success.

Kind regards

[redacted]

Outlook

Reference 143194/JO/2025

From [redacted]
Date Thu 31/07/2025 07:16
To David Lawless [redacted]

[You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Good morning

I am writing in reference to the planning application above and to raise objections as a local resident to the increased operational hours.

I live on [redacted] and am already affected by the noise and odour.

The traffic through our village/suburb is already significant and increasing operational hours will have a further detrimental impact. Traffic ceases to move at peak times and additional operational, supplier and employee vehicles will increase this issue.

There has been no response to previous public consultation and very few local residents have been notified of the proposal. I received no notification at all.

I firmly object to the proposal.

Thanks
[redacted]

Outlook

FW: Objection to Appn Ref No. 143194/JO/2025 -Suez Ts & Hwrc Longley Lane Sharston M22 4RQ

From [redacted]
Date Wed 30/07/2025 17:40
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Dear David,

We would like to register our objection to the following proposal:

> Variation of condition 9 (hours) attached to planning permission 083920/FO/2007/S2 to allow operational hours of the site to change to 06:00 to 22:00 Monday to Sunday (including bank holidays) for material reception and 24 hours Monday to Sunday for materials processing.

While we appreciate the need for operational flexibility, we have serious concerns regarding the impact of these extended hours on the surrounding community.

Our objection is based on the following material planning grounds:

- Noise and Odour/Air Quality: Extending operations into early mornings, late evenings, and overnight will likely result in significant disruption, particularly from vehicle movements, machinery, and associated processing activities. This poses a threat to residential amenity, especially for nearby homes. We are aware that complaints about current noise and odours have not been adequately addressed (or acknowledged) by the site operators. No reports have been submitted demonstrating the impact of the proposed operations on air quality.
  We note a Sound Impact Assessment has been submitted. Does this adequately address the following:
  - **Night-Time Impact Analysis -** assessment of the potential impact of 24-hour operations on nearby residential properties, particularly during sensitive night-time hours when ambient noise levels are lower and residents are more likely to be disturbed.
  - **Limitation of Baseline Data -** adequate detail on baseline noise levels across different times of day and week, making it difficult to gauge the true change in noise exposure resulting from extended hours.
  - **Cumulative Impact Consideration -** accounting for cumulative noise from other nearby industrial or transport sources, which could exacerbate the disturbance.
  - **Mitigation Measures -** consideration of noise mitigation strategies, such as acoustic barriers, operational restrictions, or equipment upgrades, that could reduce the impact on surrounding properties.

    - **Community Sensitivity Analysis -** a nuanced understanding of the local context, including the proximity of noise-sensitive receptors like homes, schools, or care facilities.
    - **Section 7.0 Conclusion** – acceptability in a residential neighbourhood that '*the sound impact assessment shows that adverse impacts are unlikely to occur as a result of the proposals.'* No justification or clarification of an 'unlikely' occurrence of adverse impacts is provided.
- Loss of Residential Amenity: The proposed 24-hour processing schedule risks undermining the quiet enjoyment of neighbouring properties, especially during traditionally restful periods such as evenings, weekends, and bank holidays.

- Traffic and Highway Safety Implications: Increased vehicle movements during unsociable hours could exacerbate traffic issues and pose safety risks, particularly if local roads are not designed for heavy goods traffic at night. No traffic assessment has been submitted.

- Precedent and Cumulative Impact: Approving this variation may set a precedent for further intensification of use, potentially leading to cumulative impacts that have not been adequately assessed.

We are also concerned that notification of affected residents has not been fully considered. It is our understanding that applications of this nature, where proposals may result in noise, odour, vibration, dust or other forms of nuisance affecting neighbouring properties, typically warrant wider public interest and should therefore trigger an extended neighbour consultation process.

Thank you for considering our input.

Yours sincerely,



Outlook

reference number 143194/JO/2025

From [redacted]
Date Fri 01/08/2025 10:01
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

David,

I'm writing to you to voice some serious concerns about a proposed extension to the operating hours of the Longley Lane Suez recycling facility that I learned about on Wednesday.

I live at [redacted], which lies 700 meters as the crow flies to the South East of the facility.

My first concern, and quite an important one, is that yesterday was the first I, or any of my immediate neighbours on Northenden Road, have heard about the proposed changes. Even though a date has apparently passed to be able to voice concerns. The 10th July, from what we were told on Wednesday. It feels like this has been sneakily passed by us to prevent any sort of resistance. And I can certainly see why as the effects of the proposal on Gatley will be significant.

We were also told of an event that happened on Wednesday, in Gatley, to discuss the facility's proposals. This is something else we were unaware of as it was organised on social media, and not via a flier to all affected local houses.

I met with some of our immediate neighbours last night, from numbers 18, 22, 24 and 28 and they all have the exact same concerns. Interestingly, we have all, at one point or another, called the site to complain about either the noise, or smell, and have all felt that our complaints have simply been ignored or not registered. Apparently, a representative of Suez, who was at the meting yesterday, said there have been minimal complaints. I would love to know how they record them as we have all called many times when the noise or smell have been particularly bad. Their policy seems to be more about rug sweeping than listening to their neighbours, or being open about the problem they create.

Collectively, our concerns are as follows.

Throughout the year, on anything other than the coldest days, if the wind is South easterly, our homes experience the odour the site creates. It's particularly pungent on warm days, and we all find it hard to be able to use our gardens for recreation. No enjoying the sun, etc. You can't cook a barbeque as the smell puts you off food. This is more so during the current operating hours of the site, and less so outside of those hours.

In addition to the smell that the site generates, there is a constant clatter of heavy machinery throughout the operating hours. This noise pollution also adds to our awareness that the site is in operation. My daughters bedrooms are both at the rear of the building and when they were younger, and went to bed a little earlier, we couldn't have the windows open as it would prevent them sleeping. They don't have this issue under the current hours of operation.

The A560 suffers greatly from heavy vehicles. During covid, heavy tippers working for an aggregate company near to Longley lane increased their usage of the A560 (Northenden Road/Gatley Road/Altrincham Road) which resulted in a number of us having to repoint our homes, or deal with suddenly emerging cracks. Our homes are a matter of feet from the road and every heavy vehicle, even buses, sends noticeable vibrations through the houses. This was addressed with the aggregate company and they no longer use the A560 unless other routes are blocked for whatever reason. The improvement was immediate and saved thousands of pounds in further repairs.

This letter represents the views of the following people who have asked to be added:

[redacted]

Please do not hesitate to get in touch for further details.

Kind regards
[redacted]

[redacted]

Outlook

Planning Ref: 143194/JO/2025

From [redacted]
Date Thu 31/07/2025 19:36
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Re the above planning application by Suez for the Longley Lane Waste Disposal site,

Following a meeting which I attended in Gatley on Monday, 28th July, I would like to raise an objection against this planning application. I understand that the cut off date for objections was 10th July but I knew nothing about the application until this meeting. I live on [redacted], and we are affected by smells from the site.

Between 2011 and 2017 I represented Gatley residents in monthly meetings with the previous disposal company, Viridor, and during that period the relationship with the locals and the decrease in problems steadily improved. Due to the fall off in complaints the meetings were eventually closed down for these reasons.

Since Suez took over, the odour problem has steadily increased again and has been ignored by them. The telephone number which residents have been given is sometimes unavailable or unanswered. On occasions when a complaint is succesful no action is seen to occur. We assume that as the site operation increases these odours will get worse.

The other effect of moving to a 24/7 operation is the noise, especially overnight. This would be unacceptable being so close to built up housing areas.

The movement of vehicles may be an issue but not so bad if all heavy haulage uses Leestone Road for access, although sometimes the bleeping of "vehicles reversing" on site is a nuisance.

I hope that these complaints will be taken into account versus the application.

Yours sincerely.

[redacted]

Outlook

Planning application ref 143194/JO/2025

From [redacted]
Date Wed 06/08/2025 12:12
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Dear Sir
I am writing to register my objection to the above planning application made by Suez regarding their site at Longley Lane for the following reasons:-
1. The increase in the number of HGV's and the resultant pollution therefrom which will inevitably occur.
2. The increase in the amount of noise and also odour emanating from the site if 24-hour processing is allowed.
3. Suez have not been truthful in stating that they have only received one complaint regarding noise since 2023.
A number of residents have made (or attempted to make) several complaints since 2023 which have never been resolved.
Suez' own procedures state that when a complaint is received it should be logged, a log number allocated and a response made within 28 days - this is currently not happening.
In recent months the staff at Longley Lane have not been answering calls made on the designated number for complaints so messages have had to be left on an answering machine - none of those calls has been replied to. Consequently those complaints have obviously not been logged so Suez can claim that they have received no complaints this year.
Suez and their staff at Longley Lane have shown no respect for the residents of Gatley and Northenden affected by their current level of operation at the site - and that does not bode well for us in the future if this application is approved.

Yours sincerely
[redacted]

Outlook

Planning no 143194/jo/2025 sharston tip

From [redacted]
Date Mon 11/08/2025 15:07
To David Lawless [redacted]

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Dear sir

We have lived in Gatley for nearly 9 years and for the last4/5 years we have had to put up with the disgusting smells and noise from Sharston tip.
We have had many meetings with various people but despite many complaints it has still carried in.

Could you imagine how we felt when we received the letter regarding extending the working hours we strongly object to their request and hope that you will not allow this to happen.
Regards

[redacted]

Yahoo Mail: Search, organise, conquerand w

Outlook

Sharston Waste Disposal Facility, Planning Application

From [redacted]
Date Wed 06/08/2025 09:49
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

I am a resident at [redacted], about 300yds from the Sharston Tip. I understand that an application to extend the operating hours of the tip, in particular night time working is under cosideration.
I currently am disturbed by machines operating during the day and by vehicles passing my house on the way to and from the facility. I strongly object to the current proposals on the grounds that night time working will disturb me when sleeping.I have lived in the same property for 55 years and there has been increased noise ,traffic and odour ever since the Waste disposal facility was built.
I should also point out that I have had no notification That a planning application had been made despite the proximity of my house to the tip.
Please put my concerns to the Committee considering this application, which I hope they will refuse.

[redacted]

Outlook

Planning number 143194/JO/2025 Sharston tip 24/7

From [redacted]
Date Wed 20/08/2025 08:14
To David Lawless [redacted]

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

ALERT This message originated outside of the Manchester City Council network. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any links or opening any attachments. Please remember your Cyber Training and report anything suspicious.

Good morning
I would like to object to the proposed planning of opening Sharston tip 24 hours a day. I live on [redacted] very near the tip. This will increase noise and traffic all night from trucks etc. plus the smell, which we can get will be unbearable.

[redacted]

PLANNING AND BUILDING CONTROL
09 JUL 2025

Ref :- Suez application for extension of working hours # 143194/JO/2025
FAO Mr David Lawless.

PLANNING AND BUILDING CONTROL
09 JUL 2025

We are writing to you in connection with the proposal by Suez to increase site processing time to operate 24 hours per day 365 days per year and in addition to extend deliveries to 06.00- 22.00 at their facility on Longley Lane, Sharston M22 4RQ

In their proposal there are a number of very severe "errors"
Firstly, they state there has only been one complaint in the last 3 years regarding noise. This is totally wrong. In fact, there were more than 50 complaints regarding odour and more than 15 complaints concerning noise in 2023 alone. The number of complaints and the lack of any progress to resolve issues by Suez was taken up by our then MP, Mrs Mary Robinson, who met with the residents on Lorna Grove and Dingle Grove along with senior representatives of Suez at which a number action plans were put in place. Very few of these plans were carried through by Suez.

In their Quality Manual concerning complaints it states that Suez must give a written response within 28 days. Nobody has ever received any response or follow up.

In 2024, we (the local neighbourhood residents) attempted to set up a meeting to discuss the ongoing problems with both odour and noise. No meeting was forthcoming and if anything the situation has got worse. On many occasions it has been impossible to spend an evening in our garden due to odour. The noise issue isn't just the sound but we can feel the "thud". The other aspect of noise is the heavy lorries entering the site which also generates a considerable amount of background noise. During pleasant evenings it is not possible to sit in the garden as the smell is intolerable and if we have our grandchildren to stay they refuse to go in the garden on days when the smell is bad.

Suez said they had employed a third party company to monitor odours. At one of very many meetings we had with Suez they had agreed to have an "open book" and share all the findings with the local residents. Despite requesting this on numerous occasions we did not receive the report.

They submitted information to the Environment Agency concerning the level of complaints and once again they did not share this information with us despite agreeing to do so on many occasions.

One cause of odour was identified in 2024 - this being the large containers coming onto the site and especially when they were parked overnight. This practice was supposed to have stopped but it is noted it still continues. One of the consequences of this means we and other residents cannot sleep with bedroom windows open due to the dreadful odour. During the day we are often forced to keep exterior doors closed to keep the smell out.

Over the last three years we have had at least 14 meetings at the site and a number of meetings at our house to complain and discuss and to try to find a way forward. Many promises were made by Suez but very few followed through. There are very many emails & SMS messages between Suez and myself on the various complaints and topics that I have mentioned. These can be made available but at this stage I am attempting to avoid data overload and just to provide you with a dashboard overview of the many problems we are facing with Suez. We are more than happy to have a face-to-face meeting to go into detail on the various complaints / issues.

We already have issues with light pollution from the site, which during the winter months illuminates our bedrooms. If the site is operating 24/7 then this will be a major issue for us.

In conclusion, the situation today is intolerable and restricts the lives of local residents to enjoy their homes and their gardens. To potentially expand the throughput of the Suez site is just not acceptable with the consequential increase in odour and noise. We have been seeking to have the throughput and working time reduced and certainly not extended.

[redacted]

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5\6\25
			5\6\25
			5/6/25
			5/6/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.
This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.
We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			1/7/2025
			1/7/2025
			5-07-25
			6.07.25
			6.7.25
			8.7.25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/07/25
			07/07/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			6/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25.
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5.7.25
			5-7-25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5 7 25.
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5-7-25
			5.7.25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			06/07/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7,25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			6/7/25.
			〃
			〃
			〃
			〃
			6.7.25
			6 7.25.
			6/7/25
			〃
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			7/7/25
			7/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5|7|25.
			5|7|25
			5/7/23
			5/7/25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5|7|25
			5|7|25
			5/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6|7|25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6|7|25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			3/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4.7.25.
			4/07/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			3/7/25
			3-7-25
			3-7-25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			03/07/25
			03/07/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3.7.25
			3.7.25
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.
This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.
We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	4/7/2025
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25.
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5-7-25
			5.7.25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/6/25
			5/6/25
			5/6/25
			5/6/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25.
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			3/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			4/7/2025
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			3/7/25.
			3-7-25
			3-7-25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25.
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			3/7/25
			03/07/25
			03/07/25
			3/7/25.
			3/7/25
			3.7.25.
			3.7.25
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025
			3/7/2025

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.
This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.
We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			3/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25.
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25
			4/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/23
			5/7/25
			5-7-25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.625
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			6/7/25.
			"
			"
			"
			- " -
			6.7.25
			6.7.25.
			6/7/25
			"
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			06/07/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25.
			5/7/25
			N
			5/7/25
			3/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5.7.25.
			5.7.25
			5.7.25
			5-7-25
			5.7.25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			5/7/25
			6/7/25

Planning Application – Suez Recycling & Recovery - Longley Lane.

Objection to the planning # 143194/JO/2025

Increasing hours of material reception and processing at the facility from 06.00 – 18.00 Monday to Friday & 07.00 – 13.00 on Saturdays, proposed changing to 06.00 – 22.00 every day including bank holidays and operating machinery 24 hours/day every day.

This will mean an increase in heavy traffic, an increase in noise and an increase in the odour / pollution being emitted from the site.

We, the following undersigned, do strongly object to the proposal to expand the opening hours / days for the Suez facility on Longley Lane due to the ongoing severe problems with odour, noise & traffic which have been fully documented over the past 4 years.

Name	Address	Signature	Date
[redacted]			1/7/2025
			1/7/2025
			5-07-25
			6.07.25
			6.7.25
			6.7.25
			6/7/25
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25.
			6/7/25
			6/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/7/25
			7/07/25
			07/07/25