

Hindle, Chris

From: Simon Webster <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 17 November 2025 21:44
To: Holding, Michael
Cc: Johnathan Whittle; Abbott, Stephen; Radcliffe, David; Rogers, India; Hindle, Chris; Falkingham, Mike
Subject: Re: Claremont -
Attachments: R2148-T01 Claremont Resource Centre.pdf
Importance: High

Michael,

Further to the recent comments regarding the noise verification report for the ASHP installation at Claremont Resource Centre, Hulme, please find attached a Technical Note (Ref: R2148-T01-SJW, dated 17 November 2025).

This note has been prepared by Red Acoustics to address the specific points raised by the Local Planning Authority in relation to:

The operational hours of the ASHPs (daytime vs night-time operation); and

The use of prediction software rather than on/off test measurements at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.

The Technical Note confirms that:

The ASHPs operate during daytime only (07:00–23:00), with no night-time operation; and

The verification methodology, based on near-field measurements and prediction in accordance with BS 4142:2014+A1:2019, demonstrates compliance with Planning Condition 4 of consent 135707/VO/2022, with the rating level at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors remaining at least 5 dB below the typical background level.

We trust that the attached document provides the clarification required to enable discharge of the relevant planning condition. However, should you require any further information or wish to discuss any aspect of the assessment, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Simon Webster
Director
For and on behalf of Red Acoustics Limited

WE HAVE MOVED
Red Acoustics
Business First
Millennium Road
Preston

PR2 5BL

T: 01772 722 182

F: 01772 722 451

W: www.redacoustics.co.uk

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you have received this message in error please inform the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies from your system. Please do not copy it or disclose its contents to any other person.

Before printing this email please think about the environment.



On 17 Nov 2025, at 10:18, Holding, Michael <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Further comment is required to clarify why an on/off test was not conducted for verification and why prediction software was used instead.