



Matt Edwards BSc (Hons) TechArborA

Senior Consultant

Email: mattedwards@arbtech.co.uk

Arbtech Consulting Ltd

arbtech.co.uk

Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment

Survey site:

William Hulme's Grammar School, Spring Bridge Road, Manchester, M16 8PR

Client:

United Learning

Assessment date:

2nd February 2026

Project:

This report is prepared to inform a planning application with the Manchester City Council. The proposal is described as:

The erection of a small new school building and installation of 4 (no.) MUGA courts

BNG Assessment methodology and legislation can be found in the Arbtech Supplement: [PEA Methodology and Legislation - 2024.](#)

This report should be read in conjunction with the following documents:

- ❖ BNG - William Hulme's Grammar School - V4 - 02-02-2026
- ❖ PEA-PRA - William Hulme's Grammar School, M16 8PR - V3 - 04-07-2024
- ❖ DWG No. 6306-00B-XX-XX-DR-L-1000 Rev. P04

Site Location and Context					
The survey site is centred on National Grid Reference SJ 83731 94385, SJ 83663 94276 and SJ 83670 94193. There is a cumulative area of 0.5687ha. The site comprises school building, modified grassland, developed land; sealed surface, and artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface.					
Site Survey Details					
The Preliminary Ecological Appraisal was undertaken by Jessica Sibley BSc (Hons) MSc, Consultant Ecologist (Accredited Agent on Natural England Bat Licence Number: 2022-10404-CL18-BAT).					
Date of survey	Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)	Cloud Cover (%)	Wind (km/h)	Rain
04/04/2024	9	93	50	2	None
Assessment limitations					
There were no specific limitations to the assessment.					
Executive Summary					
The current proposed plan results in a 14.81% net gain in habitat units. This is more than the 10% target of biodiversity net gain for habitat units. A Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Management Plan must be produced for the site. This should include recommendations for the implementation, management and monitoring of the site for at least 30 years to ensure that biodiversity net gain is delivered. A baseline habitat map is provided in Appendix 1, a post development habitat map in Appendix 2, a proposed development plan in Appendix 3 and condition assessments in Appendix 4.					

On Site Baseline Biodiversity Value			
Area Based Habitats (A-1)			
Habitat	Area (ha)	Condition Assessment	Strategic Significance
Developed land; sealed surface (Buildings)	0.0175ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Developed land; sealed surface (Hard surfacing)	0.2162ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface	0.084ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Modified grassland	0.2509ha	Good: passes 6 out of 7 criteria. Assessed using the 'Grassland - Low' habitat type condition sheet.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy

Off Site Baseline Biodiversity Value			
Area Based Habitats (A-1)			
Habitat	Area (ha)	Condition Assessment	Strategic Significance
Modified grassland	0.1071ha	Good: passes 6 out of 7 criteria. Assessed using the 'Grassland - Low' habitat type condition sheet.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy

On Site Post Development Biodiversity Value			
Area Based Habitats			
Habitat	Area (ha)	Condition Assessment	Strategic Significance
Creation - Developed land; sealed surface (Buildings)	0.0536ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Creation - Developed land; sealed surface (Hard surfacing)	0.4644ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Creation - Modified grassland	0.0384ha	Anticipated to achieve good condition.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Creation - Vegetated garden	0.0075ha	Habitat condition pre-determined as ' N/A ' as detailed within the Statutory Biodiversity Condition Assessment Supplement.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Creation - 29 Individual trees	0.1181ha	Anticipated to achieve poor condition.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Retention - Modified grassland	0.003ha	See "Baseline Biodiversity Section" above	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy

Off Site Post Development Biodiversity Value			
Area Based Habitats			
Habitat	Area (ha)	Condition Assessment	Strategic Significance
Enhancement - Modified grassland > Other neutral grassland	0.1071ha	Anticipated to achieve good condition.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy
Creation - Individual trees	0.2646ha (65 small native trees)	Anticipated to achieve moderate condition.	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy

Assessment Overview

Full details are provided in the BNG - William Hulme's Grammar School – V4 – 02-02-2026 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd, 2025). The headline results are provided below.

Areas of Habitat

The onsite baseline habitat value of the site is 1.51 units, comprising developed land; sealed surface (building and hard surfacing), artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface, and modified grassland.

The onsite post development habitat value of the site is 0.54 units, comprising the retention of modified grassland and the creation of developed land; sealed surface (building and hard surfacing), modified grassland, vegetated garden, and individual trees.

The offsite baseline habitat value of the site is 0.64 units, comprising modified grassland.

The offsite post development habitat value of the site is 1.83 units, comprising the enhancement of modified grassland to other neutral grassland, and creation of individual trees.

This overall result is a total net percentage change of 14.81% (i.e. a net gain).

Recommendations

The current proposed plan results in a **14.81% net gain in habitat units**. This is more than the 10% target of biodiversity net gain for habitat units.


A Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Management Plan must be produced for the site. This should include recommendations for the implementation, management and monitoring of the site for at least 30 years to ensure that biodiversity net gain is delivered.

Appendix 1: Baseline habitat plan



Appendix 2: Post development habitat plan



Appendix 3: Proposed development plan

Appendix 4: Baseline condition assessments

Modified Grassland Condition Assessment

Condition Assessment Criteria		Criterion passed (Yes or No)	Notes (such as justification)
A	There are 6-8 vascular plant species per m ² present, including at least 2 forbs (these may include those listed in Footnote 1). Note - this criterion is essential for achieving Moderate or Good condition.	Yes	
B	Sward height is varied (at least 20% of the sward is less than 7 cm and at least 20% is more than 7 cm) creating microclimates which provide opportunities for vertebrates and invertebrates to live and breed.	No	
C	Any scrub present accounts for less than 20% of the total grassland area. (Some scattered scrub such as bramble <i>Rubus fruticosus</i> agg. may be present).	Yes	
D	Physical damage is evident in less than 5% of total grassland area. Examples of physical damage include excessive poaching, damage from machinery use or storage, erosion caused by high levels of access, or any other damaging management activities.	Yes	
E	Cover of bare ground is between 1% and 10%, including localised areas (for example, a concentration of rabbit warrens) ² .	Yes	
F	Cover of bracken <i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> is less than 20%.	Yes	
G	There is an absence of invasive non-native plant species ³ (as listed on Schedule 9 of WCA ⁴).	Yes	
Essential criterion achieved (Yes or No)			Y
Number of criteria passed			six
Condition Assessment Result (out of 7 criteria)	Condition Assessment Score	Score Achieved x/✓	
Passes 6 or 7 criteria including passing essential criterion A	Good (3)	✓	
Passes 4 or 5 criteria including passing essential criterion A	Moderate (2)		
Passes 3 or fewer criteria; OR Passes 4 - 6 criteria (excluding criterion A)	Poor (1)		

Limitations and CopyrightLegal

Arbtech Consulting Limited has prepared this report for the sole use of the above-named client or their agents in accordance with our General Terms and Conditions, under which our services are performed. It is expressly stated that no other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report or any other services provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Limited. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon information provided by third parties. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Limited.

This report contains recommendations on measures for achieving BNG. These recommendations do not constitute a design for BNG. In submitting these recommendations, Arbtech Consulting has no Design Liability associated with these recommendations for BNG. The strategy sets out the criteria which the landscape team can use to design the creation and management of the site.

© This report is the copyright of Arbtech Consulting Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.