

MMU All Saints West Refurbishment

Noise Impact Assessment

103040-BHE-XX-XX-RP-AC-000001

067403

28 January 2026

Revision P03

Revision	Description	Issued by	Date	Checked
P01	Noise Impact Assessment	RH	09/01/2026	DB
P02	Updated to address comments	RH	22/01/2026	DB
P03	Updated red line boundary	RH	28/01/2026	DB

https://burohappold.sharepoint.com/sites/067403/Shared Documents/Acoustics/03 Reports/03 Planning report/V02 - Including Planning Comments/01 - Updated report with comments/103040-BHE-XX-XX-RP-AC-000001.docx

Report Disclaimer

This Report was prepared by Buro Happold Limited ("BH") in accordance with, and remains subject to, the terms of BH's appointment for the benefit of Manchester Metropolitan University in relation to Noise Impact Assessment for Planning. BH responsibility shall be limited to the purpose for which this Report was prepared, with BH assuming no liability for any reliance placed on this Report by any third party.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the content of this Report shall remain subject to the following:

1.

This Report is based upon the information and knowledge available to BH at the time of writing and, unless otherwise expressly stipulated herein, BH has not sought to verify or validate any data received by BH and/or which may underpin this Report.
2.

BH assumes no ownership nor liability in respect of any third-party design, workmanship and/or deliverables, and shall not be held responsible for the consequences of any negligence, error or omission in respect of the same.
3.

BH does not guarantee any particular outcome, nor does BH provide any warranty in respect of any third-party services, equipment requirements and/or software and is not responsible for the outcome or use of the same; regardless of whether the content of this Report is followed.
4.

Where specifications or materials are mentioned or referred to in this Report, BH does not condone use or supply of materials that would breach any laws, sanctions or other restrictive measures in any jurisdiction including but not limited to use or supply of steel or its components originating from Russia.
5.

This Report shall not be construed as investment or financial advice.

BH reserves the right on notice to withdraw this Report and all associated intellectual property rights, licences or otherwise granted pursuant to BH's appointment should any payments which fall due not be paid in full. Any reliance placed upon the Report in such circumstances shall be deemed null and void.

author	Rhiannon Hawkins
date	28/01/2026
approved	Daniel Bailey
signature	
date	28/01/2026

Contents

1	Introduction	6			
1.1	Overview	6			
1.2	Scope & Contents	6			
1.3	Reference to Products or Manufacturers	6			
2	Acoustic Design Criteria	7			
2.1	Relevant Guidance Documentation	7			
2.2	English Planning Policy on Noise Impact – The NPPF and NPSE	7			
2.3	Planning Practice Guidance (2021)	8			
3	Environmental Noise Climate	9			
3.1	Ambient Noise Climate	9			
3.1.1	Introduction	9			
3.1.2	Survey Methodology	9			
3.1.3	Measurement Results	11			
3.1.3.1	Long-Term Measurements	11			
3.1.3.2	Short-Term Measurements	11			
3.1.4	Discussion	11			
3.2	Background Sound Level Survey	11			
3.2.1	Overview	12			
3.2.2	Sound monitoring equipment used	12			
3.2.3	Weather conditions	12			
3.2.4	Identifying the typical background sound level	12			
3.2.5	Nearby Noise Sensitive Receivers	13			
3.3.1	Background Sound Levels at NSRs	14			
4	Building Envelope	15			
4.1	Introduction	15			
4.2	Acoustic Requirements	15			
4.3	How Façade Sound Insulation Requirements are Calculated	15			
4.4	Assessment Assumptions/Variables	15			
4.5	Ventilation Strategy	16			
			4.6	Glazing requirements	16
			4.7	Roof	16
			4.8	Flanking	17
			4.8.1	Curtain Wall	17
			4.8.2	Ribbon Windows	17
			5	Noise Break-out	18
			5.1	Introduction	18
			5.2	Relevant Criteria	18
			5.3	Plant Noise Targets	18
			5.4	Indicative Proposals	19
			5.4.1	Preliminary Calculations	19
			6	Conclusions	20

Table of Tables

Table 2—1 NOAEL, LOAEL and SOAEL	7
Table 2—2 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG 2021)	8
Table 3—1 Measurement position descriptions	9
Table 3—2 Noise survey equipment used	9
Table 3—3 LT1 Noise data summary	11
Table 3—4 Short-term broadband data summary	11
Table 3—5 Short-term noise spectrum summary	11
Table 3—6 Description of noise survey locations	12
Table 3—7 Noise monitoring equipment	12
Table 3—8 Measured background sound levels	14
Table 4—1 Rooms considered in break-in calculations	16
Table 5—1 Rating plant noise targets that should not be exceeded	18
Table 5—2 Predicted plant noise calculation	19

Table of Figures

Figure 1—1 Red line boundary (Source: Planit 103040-PLA-XX-XX-DR-L-0001)	6
Figure 3—1 Measurement locations and results (Source: Google Earth)	10
Figure 3—2 Example method to identify the typical background sound level	12
Figure 3—3 Annotated Aerial Image (Source: Google Earth)	13
Figure 4—1 “More rigorous calculation method” for noise ingress (Source: BS 8233:2014)	15
Figure 4—2 Siderise MF Insert (left) and Siderise AVC M11 closure (right)	17
Figure 5—1 Manchester City Council limiting plant noise level criteria (Source: Manchester City Council - Planning and Noise Technical Guidance)	18
Figure 5—2 Mark up indicating plant locations and NSRs (source: 103040-PLA-XX-XX-DR-L-0001 overlayed onto 1350B-HBA-XX-RF-DR-A-200004)	19

Glossary

Term	Definition
Ambient Noise (as defined in BS 4142:2014+A1 2019)	Totally encompassing noise in a given situation at a given time; it is usually composed of noise from many sources, near and far.
Background Noise (as defined in BS 4142:2014+A1 2019)	A-weighted sound pressure level of the residual noise at the assessment position that is exceeded for 90% of a given time interval, T, measured using the Fast time weighting and quoted to the nearest whole number of decibels.
C _{tr}	Spectrum adaptation term calculated using traffic noise as described in BS EN ISO 717-1:2020. This term is provided with weighted single values such as D _{nT,w} or R _w to match with particular requirements (building acoustic or traffic noise spectrum).
Decibel, dB	Decibel (dB) is a dimensionless unit commonly used to demonstrate sound levels. It is derived from the logarithm of the ratio between the measured level and the reference value. For sound pressure level (L _p) the reference value is 2x10 ⁻⁵ pascals. For sound power (L _w) reference value is 1x10 ⁻¹² Watts.
Frequency	Number of cycles per second, measured in hertz (Hz), related to sound pitch.
IANL	Indoor Ambient Noise Level. For schools Table 1 in BB93 (2015) specifies the upper limit for indoor ambient noise levels within teaching areas. The design criteria is set for a 30-minute average level (i.e. L _{Aeq,30mins}). However, where there is negligible change in the noise level, BB93 states that a much shorter time period (e.g. L _{Aeq,5min}) can be used. BB93 also states that for rooms identified having limits of L _{eq,30min} 35 dBA or less, the noise should not regularly exceed L _{1,30min} 55 dBA.
L _{90,T} (L _{A90,T})	Sound pressure level exceeded for 90% of the measurement period. Referred to as background noise level.
L _{A,r,Tr}	Rating Noise Level (as defined in BS 4142:2014+A12019), the specific noise level plus any adjustment for the characteristic features of the noise.
L _{eq,T} (L _{Aeq,T})	The equivalent continuous noise level of a time-varying noise. It is the steady noise level that, over the period under consideration, contains the same amount of sound energy as the time-varying noise over the same period.
L _{Fmax,T} (L _{AFmax,T})	The maximum sound pressure level measured during the measurement period T using the fast time constant.
L _p	The sound pressure level, in decibels, of a sound is 20 times the logarithm to the base of 10 of the ratio of the sound pressure to the reference pressure (2x10 ⁻⁵ pascals). The reference pressure shall be explicitly stated and is defined by standard.
Noise Rating (NR)	Curves developed by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) to determine the acceptable indoor environment for hearing preservation, speech communication and annoyance. These can be compared to NC curves and also can be approximated to equivalent dBA levels.
Reverberation Time (RT)	Time required for the steady sound pressure level in an enclosed space to decay by 60 dB, measured from the moment the sound source is switched off. Reverberation time is described in ISO 354:2003.
R _w (C, C _{tr})	Weighted Sound Reduction Index: Single-figure value of sound reduction according to BS EN ISO 10140-2:2021 used for rating partition systems, door-sets or glazing, based on the values of sound reduction index R at different frequencies. The higher the R _w the better the performance.
Specific Noise Level (as defined in BS 4142:2014+A1 2019)	The equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level at the assessment position produced by the specific noise source over a given reference time interval.
Weightings (as defined in BS EN 61672:2013):	A-Weighting: Frequency weighting devised to attempt to take into account the fact that human response to sound is not equally sensitive to all frequencies; it consists of an electronic filter in a sound level meter,

Term	Definition
	which attempts to build in this variability into the indicated noise level reading so that it will correlate, approximately, with human response.). C-Weighting: One of the frequency weightings corresponding to the 100-phon contour and the closest to the linear or un-weighted value.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Buro Happold Acoustics have been commissioned to complete a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) to support a Planning Application for the proposed refurbishment of the three-storey retained element of the former All Saints Library building, to provide a new educational facility.

It is understood that the existing library is to be demolished, a section retained and refurbished, and a new educational building constructed. This report is relevant to the renovated portion of the site (the new educational facility)

Figure 1—1 presents the site layout, as received on 28/01/2026.

1.2 Scope & Contents

The scope of this report is to provide information to the Local Planning Authority, Manchester City Council (MCC) regarding the acoustic design of the scheme.

Assessment of operational noise levels has also been undertaken to see that the impact of plant installations at the site should not negatively affect Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs).

The agreed methodology for the assessment is as follows:

- Overview of national and local planning guidance
- External noise survey
- Building envelope and control of noise break-in
- Plant rating limits – in line with MCC guidance
- Plant noise break-out calculations using indicative selections to demonstrate compliance with proposed limits.

1.3 Reference to Products or Manufacturers

Wherever this report refers to a specific product or manufacturer, this can be taken to mean “any equal approved product providing the same or better level of acoustic performance”. Buro Happold have no vested interest in any one product or manufacturer.




Figure 1—1 Red line boundary (Source: Hawkins Brown 103040-HBA-XX-XX-DR-A-080001)

2 Acoustic Design Criteria

2.1 Relevant Guidance Documentation

This report is informed by the following standards and guidelines:

- The National Planning Policy Framework, 2024 (NPPF)
- The Noise Policy Statement for England, 2010 (NPSE)
- Planning Practice Guidance, 2021
- Manchester City Council - Planning and Noise Technical Guidance (October 2022)
- British Standard (BS) 8233:2014 – Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings
- BREEAM New Construction 2018 (Education Building)
 - Hea 05 – Acoustic performance
 - Pol 05 – Reduction of noise pollution.
- BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 – Methods for rating and assessing commercial and industrial sound
- World Health Organisation (WHO) – Guidelines for community noise.

2.2 English Planning Policy on Noise Impact – The NPPF and NPSE

The NPPF is the overarching Planning Policy document that applies to all new developments in England. The guidance and assessment criteria given (or referred to) in this document can, therefore, be applied to all other standards in terms of assessing the suitability of granting Planning Permission concerning noise impact.

The NPPF states that planning policies and decisions should aim to:

“mitigate and reduce to a minimum potential adverse impacts resulting from noise from new development – and avoid noise giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life; and

identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason.”

With specific reference to noise impact, the NPPF document refers to the Noise Policy Statement for England (NPSE). The NPSE provides guidance, which enables decisions to be made regarding the acceptable noise burden to place on society, using three key phrases:

- No Observed Effect Level (NOEL)
- Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL)
- Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level (SOAEL).

To provide a consistent frame of reference (and to allow a view to be taken on the suitability of the application regarding the relevant planning guidance), the levels or criteria given in other relevant documents used in the assessment can be re-framed, as shown in Table 2—1.

Table 2—1 NOAEL, LOAEL and SOAEL

Effect Level	Description
NOEL	The NOEL is the level of noise impact below which no effect can be detected, and there would be no discernible negative effect on health or quality of life.
LOAEL	The LOAEL is the lowest level of noise impact above which adverse effects on health or quality of life can be detected. Designing noise impacts to be equal-to-or-less-than, the LOAEL should see that any adverse effects on health or quality of life are negligible.
SOAEL	The SOAEL is the level above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur. Designs should always seek to avoid a noise impact, which would be categorised as a SOAEL.

2.3 Planning Practice Guidance (2021)

The National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) “advises on how planning can manage potential noise impacts in the new development” and provides guidelines that are in line with the NPPF.

The PPG states that local planning authorities should take account of the acoustic environment and in doing so consider:

- whether or not a significant adverse effect is occurring or likely to occur
- whether or not an adverse effect is occurring or likely to occur
- whether or not a good standard of amenity can be achieved.

This guidance introduced the additional concepts of NOAEL (No Observed Adverse Effect Level), and UAEL (Unacceptable Adverse Effect Level), presented in Table 2—2.

Factors to be considered in determining whether noise is a concern are identified, including the absolute noise level of the source, the existing ambient noise climate, time of day, frequency of occurrence, duration, the character of the noise, and cumulative effects.

Table 2—2 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG 2021)

Perception	Example Outcomes	Increasing Effect Level	Action
No Observed Effect Level			
Not present	No Effect	No Observed Effect	No specific measures required
No Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Present and not intrusive	Noise can be heard but does not cause any change in behaviour or attitude. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not so much that there is a perceived change in the quality of life.	No Observed Adverse Effect	No specific measures required
Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Present and intrusive	Noise can be heard and causes small changes in the behaviour and/or attitude. E.g. turning up volume of television; speaking more loudly; where there is no alternative means of ventilation, having to close windows for some of the time because of the noise. Potential for some reported sleep disturbance. Affects the acoustic character of the area such that there is a perceived change in the quality of life.	Observed Adverse Effect	Mitigate and reduce to a minimum
Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Present and disruptive	The noise causes a material change in the behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. avoiding certain activities during periods of intrusion; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to keep windows closed most of the time because of the noise. Potential for sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty in getting to sleep, premature awakening and difficulty in getting back to sleep. Quality of life diminished due to change in the acoustic character of the area	Significant Observed Adverse Effect	Avoid through the use of appropriate mitigation whilst taking into account the social and economic benefit
Present and very disruptive	Extensive and regular changes in the behaviour and/or inability to mitigate the effect of noise leading to psychological stress or physiological effects, e.g. regular sleep deprivation/awakening; loss of appetite, significant medically definable harm, e.g. auditory and non-auditory.	Unacceptable Adverse Effect	Prevent through use of appropriate mitigation

3 Environmental Noise Climate

Environmental noise surveys were undertaken on and around the site on the following dates:

- 14th – 19th December 2022 (LT1)
- 20th – 25th July 2023 (BG1)
- 11th January 2024 (ST1-6).

Measurement positions and results are detailed in Figure 3—1.

LT1 and ST1-6 have been used to determine the expected ambient noise incident on various elevations of the building. These levels inform the acoustic targets for the building envelope.

Background noise levels have been measured at position BG1. These noise levels have been used to inform the noise emission targets for mechanical plant items associated with the development.

3.1 Ambient Noise Climate

3.1.1 Introduction

An environmental noise survey was undertaken between 09:00 on Wednesday, December 14th, and 09:00 on Monday, December 19th, 2022, at a single position near the All Saint’s library building to capture ambient sound levels predominantly from Mancunian Way (A57). At the measurement, the microphone had a direct line of sight to the A57.

An additional attended noise survey was undertaken on Thursday, 11th January 2024, between the hours of 16:28 and 19:10 at six positions, three of which were along Oxford Road in front of the eastern façade of the current All Saints building and three in front of the southern façade between the library and All Saints Park. The purpose of these additional measurements was to understand the contributions of Oxford Road to the ambient noise climate around the site and to use the measurements to develop a model of the expected noise levels incident on the various elevations of the building.

All measurement positions from both surveys are indicated in Figure 3—1 and Table 3—1.

3.1.2 Survey Methodology

For the long-term unattended survey, conditions were dry between 14/12/22 and 19/12/22, with average wind speeds of ≤ 3 m/s and temperature of approximately 7 °C.

For the short-term attended survey, 11/01/24, the weather conditions were dry but overcast, with average wind speeds of ≤ 4m/s and temperatures of approximately 5 °C.

All measurements in both surveys were taken at a height of approximately 1.5 m in free-field conditions. A description of the measurement positions is provided in Table 3—1.

The noise survey equipment used in both surveys is detailed in Table 3—2.

Table 3—1 Measurement position descriptions

Measurement Position	Description	Approximate distance to the source
LT1	North end of All Saints rooftop	19m
ST1	Eastern elevation, bus stop to north on opposite road, pedestrian crossing to south	13m
ST2	Eastern elevation, bus stop to north on opposite road, pedestrian crossing to south	13m
ST3	Southern point of eastern elevation, bus stops to south, pedestrian crossing to north	15m
ST4	Southern elevation, pedestrian walkway between library and All Saints park	25m
ST5	Southern elevation, pedestrian walkway close to library entrance	70m
ST6	Western point of southern elevation, north of lower Ormond street	120m

Table 3—2 Noise survey equipment used

Survey Type	Item	Manufacturer	Serial Number
Long-Term Unattended	Sound Level Meter	SoundExpert® LxT	0007081
	Pre-amplifier	PRMLxT1L	N/A
Short-Term Attended	Sound Level Meter	Bruel & Kjaer 2250	3011171
	Microphone capsule	Bruel & Kjaer 4189	3195817
	Acoustic Calibrator	Bruel & Kjaer 4231	2130756




Figure 3—1 Measurement locations and results (Source: Google Earth)

3.1.3 Measurement Results

Explanations of the measurement indices are as follows:

- L_{Aeq,T}** – the average A-weighted sound pressure level within a measurement period. Typically thought of as the average ambient noise level at a particular time and likely to be due to a combination of various noise sources, near and far
- L_{AF,max}** – the maximum instantaneous A-weighted sound pressure level. This typically corresponds to a short-duration event with a very high sound pressure level (SPL), such as a motorbike passing by or a car horn.

3.1.3.1 Long-Term Measurements

The measured baseline noise level data has been processed to determine the typical ambient and maximum noise levels, as shown in Table 3—3.

3.1.3.2 Short-Term Measurements

The post-processing of the data has involved removing non-representative 10-second events. The broadband L_{Aeq,10mins} and L_{AF,max} measured at each short-term position, are given in Table 3—4. Sound level spectra are given for each measurement position in Table 3—5. The L_{eq,20mins} spectrums provided are logarithmically averaged across the two measurement periods at each measurement position, and the L_{Fmax} spectrums are given for the max event that occurred at each measurement position; for short-term positions 1-4, these were commonly caused by buses.

3.1.4 Discussion

The ambient noise levels are dominated by continuous road traffic noise from Mancunian Way in the north and Oxford Road in the east. Oxford Road features cycle lanes, and several bus stops, and a constant flow of buses was observed when undertaking the noise measurements dominating the ambient and max events on the East of the site. At the measurement positions to the south of the site, the building provides screening from Mancunian Way, and the ambient levels reduce as the position increases with increased distance from Oxford Road. It was observed, however, that during breaks in Oxford Road traffic, the ambient noise level was due to continuous traffic from Mancunian Way.

Table 3—3 LT1 Noise data summary

Position	Acoustic parameter	dB(A)	125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz
LT1	L _{eq,07:00-23:00}	71	62	62	63	69	64	53
	L _{Fmax} *	86	82	79	76	82	81	73

* This is based on the 90th percentile of the recorded L_{max} to represent regularly occurring events

Table 3—4 Short-term broadband data summary

Measurement Position	Date	Start Time	Elapsed Time (hh:mm:ss)	L _{Aeq,10mins}	L _{AFmax,10mins}
ST1	11/01/24	16:28	00:10:00	69	79
	11/01/24	17:58	00:10:00	71	81
ST2	11/01/24	16:42	00:10:00	69	78
	11/01/24	18:09	00:10:00	70	84
ST3	11/01/24	17:00	00:10:00	67	79
	11/01/24	18:20	00:10:00	68	81
ST4	11/01/24	17:56	00:10:00	63	79
	11/01/24	18:33	00:10:00	62	79
ST5	11/01/24	17:17	00:10:00	56	68
	11/01/24	18:47	00:10:00	57	70
ST6	11/01/24	17:33	00:10:00	55	64
	11/01/24	19:00	00:10:00	53	66

Table 3—5 Short-term noise spectrum summary

Measurement Position	Measurement Parameter	Octave Band Measurements					
		125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz
ST1	L _{eq,20mins}	69	67	66	66	62	55
	L _{Fmax} *	85	85	78	75	72	65
ST2	L _{eq,20mins}	68	69	66	66	61	54
	L _{Fmax} *	78	90	81	73	71	66
ST3	L _{eq,20mins}	67	67	64	63	59	53
	L _{Fmax} *	79	85	79	74	70	64
ST4	L _{eq,20mins}	63	63	60	58	53	46
	L _{Fmax} *	75	82	79	70	65	60
ST5	L _{eq,20mins}	60	57	54	52	48	40
	L _{Fmax} *	59	66	68	68	57	49
ST6	L _{eq,20mins}	40	53	50	51	45	36
	L _{Fmax} *	56	58	67	58	53	54

*These are the spectra of the max events that occurred at each measurement position

3.2 Background Sound Level Survey

3.2.1 Overview

An additional background sound level survey was undertaken between July 20th and 25th, 2023, to identify the typical background sound level at the nearby sensitive receptors.

A single noise survey location was adopted on the rooftop of the All Saints library, as detailed in Table 3—6.

3.2.2 Sound monitoring equipment used

A list of equipment used during the noise survey is presented in Table 3—7.

The sound level meter was calibrated before and after the background sound level survey was carried out. No significant drift in calibration level was witnessed (≤ 0.5 dB). Calibration certificates for all equipment items detailed above are available upon request.

3.2.3 Weather conditions

Weather conditions during the noise survey were generally appropriate. No heavy rain was noted, and periods, where wind speeds ≥ 5 m/s were observed, were excluded from the survey. The weather observed for the noise survey period can be found online on the website <https://www.timeanddate.com/weather/uk/manchester/historic>.

3.2.4 Identifying the typical background sound level

A methodology to identify a typical background sound level is presented in the document “*Planning and Noise – Technical Guidance (October 2022)*” published by Manchester City Council.

An example is presented in Appendix A of the document and is reproduced in Figure 3—2.

Fundamentally:

- The arithmetic average of a 15-minute time slot is taken, for example, in the row shown in green, the $L_{A90,15\text{minutes}}$ dB values at 3:33 am across the dates 8-21 October are arithmetically averaged in Column P
- The lowest value of Column P is then adopted as the typical background sound level.

This method has been followed by Buro Happold.

Table 3—6 Description of noise survey locations

Measurement position	Description	Notes
2	Rooftop	Automated measurement position to the South end of the All Saints building. Background sound levels were captured here, intended to set limiting plant noise levels (see Section 3)

Table 3—7 Noise monitoring equipment

Item	Manufacturer	Serial Number
Sound Level Meter	Bruel & Kjaer 2250	2506362
Pre-amplifier	Bruel & Kjaer 0032	18030
Microphone capsule	Bruel & Kjaer 4189	2198156
Site Calibrator	Bruel & Kjaer 4231	2327011

APPENDIX A

EXAMPLE
BS4142 ‘Typical’ background noise level calculation

Time	Measured LA90 levels														P arithmetic average					
	8-9 Oct	9 to 10 Oct	10 to 11 Oct	11 to 12 Oct	12 to 13 Oct	13 to 14 Oct	14 to 15 Oct	15 to 16 Oct	16 to 17 Oct	17 to 18 Oct	18 to 19 Oct	19 to 20 Oct	20 to 21 Oct							
23:03	43.1	50.8	53.9	52.7	41.5	44.7	38.7	39.9	51.2	48.1	51.0	42.9	44.4	46.4	Arithmetic Average of Column P	44.6				
23:18	43.3	54.8	56.3	55.8	40.2	46.5	38.3	39.6	58.8	52.6	50.3	43.5	46.6	48.2	Minimum value column P	41.3				
23:33	43.6	58.9	58.4	62.8	39.1	50.0	38.0	39.0	64.0	51.3	48.2	43.6	50.4	49.8	Maximum value column P	50.0				
23:48	43.7	62.1	59.6	62.8	37.8	47.4	37.2	38.2	61.8	52.0	50.1	44.9	51.9	50.0	Mean value of full data set	44.6				
00:03	42.5	52.8	51.1	54.4	38.3	46.6	35.3	38.9	48.7	48.0	48.8	45.2	46.4	45.9			Minimum value full data set	32.0		
00:18	41.8	50.0	47.3	46.7	39.7	46.0	34.7	37.1	45.4	47.3	45.8	42.1	45.5	43.8					Maximum value full data set	64.0
00:33	40.5	47.7	48.0	44.0	37.4	46.1	35.3	36.5	47.1	47.4	45.9	40.4	42.4	43.0						
00:48	40.9	48.5	46.4	44.1	36.9	44.5	34.3	36.4	49.1	48.5	46.5	41.6	45.0	43.3						
01:03	39.2	48.0	45.6	47.2	36.9	44.8	33.6	34.4	51.0	44.4	45.5	41.2	45.8	42.9						
01:18	39.8	53.7	43.4	44.7	36.0	48.0	32.7	34.3	52.6	45.7	47.0	40.7	47.5	43.5						
01:33	40.5	49.8	45.6	45.7	35.7	49.9	33.0	33.8	56.6	45.4	47.3	40.5	47.2	43.9						
01:48	40.1	55.5	48.2	47.2	35.6	47.0	32.1	36.5	57.5	46.2	47.5	41.4	47.0	44.8						
02:03	39.6	55.9	47.6	46.8	34.4	47.1	34.5	34.0	56.2	49.2	45.8	40.9	50.3	44.8						
02:18	41.1	57.8	49.6	47.7	34.0	49.3	32.1	34.1	58.4	48.6	47.0	40.3	49.4	45.3						
02:33	47.6	57.0	51.1	48.3	33.8	52.3	32.0	34.0	60.8	47.5	49.1	39.4	49.5	46.3						
02:48	43.1	59.3	48.8	47.5	33.1	54.8	32.5	33.3	61.8	49.6	48.5	38.7	54.0	46.5						
03:03	40.4	57.2	48.6	46.4	33.4	51.3	32.8	32.9	54.6	46.4	47.7	39.2	52.8	44.9						
03:18	40.6	44.4	49.8	49.3	34.0	39.7	33.3	33.6	38.1	48.5	47.9	38.1	43.3	41.6						
03:33	40.2	39.1	48.7	51.0	34.0	38.5	32.9	34.6	37.5	51.7	47.6	38.8	41.9	41.3						
03:48	39.6	38.4	52.3	49.7	33.9	40.0	33.8	34.8	38.1	51.4	49.2	38.3	42.3	41.7						
04:03	39.4	39.0	53.8	51.8	35.1	40.7	32.7	35.7	37.8	50.8	51.0	38.4	42.1	42.2						
04:18	39.3	38.6	54.2	54.9	36.7	39.6	34.0	35.0	38.6	48.6	52.4	39.5	43.0	42.6						
04:33	39.9	39.3	52.1	53.1	37.4	38.4	34.9	36.5	38.8	49.6	51.8	40.2	44.6	42.8						
04:48	39.9	40.1	52.7	54.2	37.7	38.3	34.6	37.6	45.3	49.3	55.7	39.8	44.2	43.8						
05:03	40.2	40.0	51.7	58.4	38.4	40.3	36.1	39.3	46.9	48.7	55.6	40.3	43.5	44.6						
05:18	41.1	40.8	53.9	53.6	38.7	40.8	36.6	39.4	47.2	50.5	56.1	43.4	45.4	45.2						
05:33	41.5	42.7	53.7	45.2	42.8	41.7	38.7	39.8	47.4	50.3	43.5	43.1	45.3	44.3						
05:48	43.2	42.9	55.2	42.2	42.7	41.8	38.9	40.8	47.8	48.2	40.8	44.0	48.9	44.4						
06:03	43.8	43.7	55.7	40.2	42.4	43.1	39.3	41.6	48.0	42.3	40.9	44.8	50.2	44.3						
06:18	44.9	45.2	42.7	37.5	44.6	44.5	40.9	43.3	48.2	41.8	40.7	45.4	51.0	43.9						
06:33	46.0	46.1	42.3	36.6	44.6	45.4	43.4	44.5	48.4	42.7	40.9	46.0	52.5	44.6						
06:48	47.6	46.8	43.5	37.3	45.1	46.2	46.1	45.4	49.4	42.1	41.8	47.3	51.8	45.4						

Figure 3—2 Example method to identify the typical background sound level

3.2.5 Nearby Noise Sensitive Receivers

A summary of the nearby Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs) considered in this report is listed below:

- **NSR 1** – Residential dwellings on Oxford Road – Approx. 30 m east of the site boundary

The nearby NSRs are annotated in green in Figure 3—3 below.




Figure 3—3 Annotated Aerial Image (Source: Google Earth)

3.3.1 Background Sound Levels at NSRs

A summary of background sound levels as measured at NSRs is presented in this section.

Data presented in this section is in terms of the following:

- L_{A90,T}** – the A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded 90% of the measurement period, i.e., a level perceived as a constant background noise level. Typically, it is largely unaffected by local traffic pass-bys or transient events. More usually attributable to constantly running building services plant or distant road traffic, what would be heard when there is no local traffic present (or other readily identifiable noise sources)

For reference, the measurement samples are 1 hour during the daytime and 15 minutes at night.

The typical L_{A90,T} dB background sound levels set in line with Manchester City Council Criteria during the daytime and night-time period are shown in Table 3—8.

Table 3—8 Measured background sound levels

Measurement Period	Background sound level L _{A90,T} (dB)
Daytime (07:00-23:00 hours)	51
Night-time (23:00-07:00 hours)	50

4 Building Envelope

4.1 Introduction

The Internal Ambient Noise Level (IANL) should be controlled within acceptable noise levels to provide a suitable internal acoustic amenity.

The IANL consists of contributions from external noise sources such as road, rail, and air traffic and internal building services noise. The aim is to acoustically design the development so that the cumulative noise from external and internal sources does not exceed the IANL requirements.

4.2 Acoustic Requirements

Internal ambient sound level targets have been determined based on BB93. Where the criteria are met, the requirements of BREEAM Hea 05 would be met by default.

BB93 also gives reference to not exceeding $L_{A01,30mins}$ 60 dB to mitigate the noise disturbance from intermittent events such as aircraft or trains. As the target IANL of all spaces in the library does not exceed $L_{Aeq,30mins}$ 40 dB, with the exception of toilets which are located away from any façade, this is achieved by default and does not require further assessment.

4.3 How Façade Sound Insulation Requirements are Calculated

The façade sound insulation requirements are calculated using the façade noise levels and the acceptable internal ambient noise levels established by the various criteria relevant to each space. Façade sound insulation requirements are typically given in terms of R at each octave-band centre frequency and summarised as single-figure values in terms of $R_w + C_{tr}$. These are defined as:

- **Sound Reduction Index, R** – is a measure of the sound-insulating properties in a particular frequency band. In this case, the sound reduction index is given at each octave band centre frequency between 125 and 4000 Hz
- **Weighted Sound Reduction Index, R_w** – is a single figure value that describes the standardised performance of partitions when measured in a laboratory
- **Correction Traffic, C_{tr}** – is a single correction value applied that considers the urban traffic noise spectrum.

Calculation procedures follow the “more rigorous calculation method” outlined in BS 8233:2014, based on the equation presented in Figure 4—1.

4.4 Assessment Assumptions/Variables

Assessment assumptions vary depending on the type of space. These typically relate to:

- Reverberation time
- Element areas (glazing, opaque façade elements, roof)
- Room volume.

Assessment assumptions/variables should be presented alongside the relevant calculation.

$$L_{eq,2} = L_{eq,ff} + 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{A_0}{S} 10^{\frac{-D_{ne}}{10}} + \frac{S_{wi}}{S} 10^{\frac{-R_{wi}}{10}} + \frac{S_{ew}}{S} 10^{\frac{-R_{ew}}{10}} + \frac{S_{rr}}{S} 10^{\frac{-R_{rr}}{10}} \right) + 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{S}{A} \right) + 3$$

$L_{eq,ff}$	is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level outside the room elements under consideration ¹
A_0	is a reference absorption area of 10 m ² and is independent of frequency
S_f	is the total façade area in square metres (m ²) of the room in question
S_{wi}	is the area in square metres (m ²) of the windows of the room
S_{ew}	is the area in square metres (m ²) of the external wall of the room
S_{rr}	is the area in square metres (m ²) of the ceiling of the room
S	is the total area in square metres (m ²) of elements through which sound enters the room, i.e $S_f + S_{rr}$
$D_{n,e}$	is the insulation of the trickle ventilator measured according to BS EN ISO 10140
R_{wi}	is the sound reduction index (octave band value) of the window
R_{ew}	is the sound reduction index (octave band value) of the external wall
R_{rr}	is the sound reduction index (octave band value) of the roof/ceiling
A	is the equivalent absorption area of the receiving room being considered
3	is a correction factor

Figure 4—1 “More rigorous calculation method” for noise ingress (Source: BS 8233:2014)

¹ It is the free-field sound level (i.e. in the absence of the façade), measured or estimated at the intended position of the element under consideration. It is related to the level $L_{eq,1}$ measured within a few millimetres of the actual façade by the relation $L_{eq,ff} \approx L_{eq,1} - 6$, and to the level $L_{eq,2m}$ measured 2 m away from the façade by the relation $L_{eq,ff} \approx L_{eq,2m} - 3$

4.5 Ventilation Strategy

A mechanical ventilation system is proposed for the development such that ventilation, heating and cooling are to be provided by mechanical means with units located on the roof. The ventilation system must be designed such that the target internal ambient noise levels are met.

4.6 Glazing requirements

The expected noise levels at the façade are based on the noise survey detailed in Section 3 and are free-field noise levels.



Break-in calculations, considering the most onerous conditions, have been undertaken to determine the minimum acoustic performance requirements for the glazing. An overview of the spaces considered, and the corresponding assumptions, for the noise ingress calculations are shown in Table 4—1.

Based on these calculations, standard thermal double glazing is expected to be sufficient to meet the internal ambient noise level targets. Further coordination with the façade team will be undertaken at the next design stage.

4.7 Roof

It is understood that the existing roof construction will consist of a 350 mm thick RC slab. Due to the high level of mass, this is considered likely sufficient in mitigating external noise break in and should result in negligible rain noise.

Table 4—1 Rooms considered in break-in calculations

Room	Parameters
 North-East elevation: IT Suite L03	IANL criteria: L_{Aeq} 40 dB Expected Façade Noise Level: $L_{Aeq,T}$ 61 dB Room dimensions: 7.0m (d) x 8.0m (w) x 2.7m (h) Reverberation time: 0.8 s Glazing area: ~40% façade (16.2m²) Assumed minimum opaque element performance: R_w+C_{tr} 39 dB
 South-West elevation: Wellbeing Space 2 L02	IANL criteria: L_{Aeq} 30 dB Expected Façade Noise Level: $L_{Aeq,T}$ 55 dB Room dimensions: 3.9m (d) x 2.4m (w) x 2.8m (h) Reverberation time: 0.4 s Glazing area: ~70% façade (4.8m²) Assumed minimum opaque element performance: R_w+C_{tr} 39 dB

4.8 Flanking

4.8.1 Curtain Wall

It is understood that an area of curtain wall is proposed on the north-east façade spanning floors L01 and L02. As such, consideration will need to be made in relation to the vertical flanking performance of the system.

Based on the current layouts, the vertical flanking performance target of the curtain wall is $\geq D_{nFw}$ 50 dB.

The design intent is likely to use a stick system, which includes hollow mullions that run either side of the curtain wall/windows. These hollow channels create a sound transmission path between the two spaces and therefore, a suitable form of mitigation will be required.

There are several options to achieve this. These include the following, which can be used in combination based on the level of performance required:

- Mineral fibre inserts that infill the entire length of the mullion, such as the Siderise MF Insert Figure 4—
- Alternatively, vertical flanking closures could be used to cap the mullions, such as the Siderise AVC M11 Figure 4—.

Although capping the mullions is a more cost-effective option, it introduces risk as there is potential that these are not installed or are installed incorrectly.

It is understood that the curtain walling will not span two adjacent cellular spaces horizontally; however, if this changes as the layout is developed, then the horizontal flanking performance will need to be considered. In this instance mitigation options to be considered might include: infills, over-cladding, splitting mullions; based on the level of performance required.

Further detailing will be developed at the next design stage and coordinated with the BH Façade team and Hawkins\Brown.

4.8.2 Ribbon Windows

The windows on the south façade span horizontally across various cellular spaces. As such, careful detailing will be needed in relation to the horizontal flanking performance of the system.

Based on the current layouts (103040-HBA-YY-00-DR-A-200100, -200101, -200102, dated 19/12/2025), the most onerous flanking performance is $\geq D_{nFw}$ 55 dB on level 01 between wellbeing spaces. Otherwise, the flanking performance is $\geq D_{nFw}$ 50 dB throughout. This can be achieved using a Façade interface panel such as the Siderise FIP high performance acoustic panel or through a double mullion and spandrel panel system.

Further detailing will be developed at the next design stage and coordinated with the BH Façade team and Hawkins\Brown.




Figure 4—2 Siderise MF Insert (left) and Siderise AVC M11 closure (right)

5 Noise Break-out

5.1 Introduction

This section of the report provides limiting plant noise level targets. These target values will be used as the design progresses to test the plant unit selections to see that suitable acoustic amenity can be maintained at existing Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSRs).

5.2 Relevant Criteria

Figure 5—1 presents limiting plant noise level criteria provided by Manchester City Council (MCC).

MCC stipulate a rating level in line with BS 4142, 5 dB below the representative background sound level.

Based on the review, plant rating limits are set 5 dB below the representative background sound level.

Where the above limit is achieved, plant noise emissions should:

- Not cause barrier to development

5.3 Plant Noise Targets

The plant rating limits presented in Table 5—1. The limits are based on the background sound levels measured and calculated following the MCC guidance, as shown in Figure 5—1.

It should be noted that the background sound levels are based on:

- $L_{A90,1hour}$ dB during the daytime period
- $L_{A90,15minutes}$ dB during the night-time period.

Noise and vibration from fixed plant / equipment

Noise from fixed plant, equipment or machinery can be very annoying and disruptive to people living nearby particularly where that item involved emits a noise with impulsive or tonal characteristics.

Many of the complaints Environmental Health receive about noise from plant, equipment and machinery specifically concern the character of the noise emitted. Any noise assessment needs to consider not only the overall level of noise emitted, but also its particular characteristics. The noise assessment should be based on BS 4142, and any application for fixed plant, equipment or machinery must demonstrate that:

‘Externally mounted ancillary plant, equipment and servicing shall be selected and/or acoustically treated in accordance with a scheme designed so as to achieve a rating level of 5dB (L_{Aeq}) below the typical background (L_{A90}) level at the nearest noise sensitive location’.

By designing the sound pressure level of any plant items to generate a noise impact of at least 5dB below the existing background level, any plant noise impact should be of a negligible level which should not give rise to complaints from users or occupiers of existing noise-sensitive usages. See Appendix A with regards to the expectation of how to calculate a 'typical' background level.

Past experience has shown that this criterion can be readily achieved. Where available, product specification data for new items should be submitted with the acoustic report. Consultants should be using these to compare with data from the noise survey and propose mitigation where the levels are above those specified in the criterion. Where this information is not available a consultant may choose to measure the noise, levels generated by the equipment in question where the equipment has already been installed elsewhere (and in accordance with BS 4142).

Where fixed plant, equipment or machinery is attached to a building the vibration caused by it can pass through the building structure and cause structure borne noise elsewhere in the building. Where it is to be installed in or on a building containing a noise sensitive use structure borne noise should be considered in the noise assessment and adequate control measures should be proposed. An example of where this would be required is where there is a proposal to install ventilation equipment on the roof of a residential apartment block or it could be an air source heat pump attached to the side of a building.

Figure 5—1 Manchester City Council limiting plant noise level criteria (Source: Manchester City Council - Planning and Noise Technical Guidance)

Table 5—1 Rating plant noise targets that should not be exceeded

Period	Background sound level $L_{A90,T}$ dB	Plant rating level target $L_{Ar,Tr}$ dB
Daytime (07:00-23:00 hours)	51	≤ 46
Night-time (23:00-07:00 hours)	50	≤ 45

5.4 Indicative Proposals

New and replacement external plant is to be housed on the roof. Preliminary proposals consist of the following external plant:

- 1 no. AHU located within plant area with full height plant screen (louvred to the west)
- 6 no. condensers (3 replaced, 3 retained)
- 1 no. ASHP
- 2 no. chillers.

5.4.1 Preliminary Calculations

Based on indicative selections, preliminary calculations have been undertaken to predict the noise impact on surrounding sensitive receptors.

The following assumptions have been made:

- Full height (acoustically opaque) screening to the north and east of the plant area
- The indicative plant area located such that the core provides screening to the east
- Distance attenuation from an approximate distance to the NSRs of 100-105 m (as shown in Figure 5—2).

Calculations, as detailed in Table 5—2, suggest that the plant rating limits can be met at the NSR without further mitigation than detailed above.

Consideration has also been made towards potential noise impact on neighbouring parts of the development and amenity spaces such as All Saint’s Park. It is expected that noise disturbance should be minimal.




Figure 5—2 Mark up indicating plant locations and NSRs (source: 103040-PLA-XX-XX-DR-L-0001 overlayed onto 1350B-HBA-XX-RF-DR-A-200004)

Table 5—2 Predicted plant noise calculation

	Sound Power Level (dBA)		No. Units Correction ¹		Screening Attenuation ²	Distance attenuation (Q=2) ³		SPL at 1m from NSR (dBA)
			No. Units	dB		r	dB	
Chillers	85	2	+3		-10	105	-48	30
ASHP	82	1	+0		-10	105	-48	24
Condensers	65	6	+8		-10	105	-48	14
AHU - supply	77	1	+0		-10	100	-48	19
AHU - exhaust	83	1	+0		-10	100	-48	25
Predicted Cumulative level at NSR								32

1) $10 \log_{10}(n)$

2) a 10 dB reduction associated with full line of sight screening as per Annex F of BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – Part 1: Noise

3) $10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{Q}{4 \pi r^2} \right)$

6 Conclusions

It is concluded that the proposed development should be suitable in terms of internal acoustic conditions and in terms of the development's noise impact on sensitive receptors.

Environmental noise survey work was conducted to capture survey data on the various noise sources impacting the site.

The required sound insulation performance of external glazing and façade throughout the development has been calculated from noise levels captured during the noise survey model so that suitable internal conditions can be achieved in line with the pertinent guidance documents described in this document.

The report demonstrated that suitable internal noise levels can be attained within the development based on current façade proposals.

To limit noise break-out from the development (associated with building services plant noise), external noise level criteria are proposed.

Rating limits have been set to control the combined noise from building services to the following under different scenarios:

Where the site-wide plant is operating at typical duty:

- $L_{A,r,Tr}$ 46 dB during daytime periods (07:00 – 23:00 hours)
- $L_{A,r,Tr}$ 45 dB during night-time periods (23:00 – 07:00 hours).

By designing to these Rating level targets, suitable acoustic conditions can be maintained at the surrounding noise-sensitive developments, in line with the pertinent criteria contained within this report.

Calculations based on preliminary plant selections have been undertaken to demonstrate compliance with the plant rating limits.

This noise impact assessment demonstrates that these targets are readily achievable and as such the scheme is considered to be in line with National, Regional and Local Planning Policy and criteria.

Based on the above, noise is not considered to form a barrier to development.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Rhiannon Hawkins
Buro Happold Limited
Level 5-8, The Featherstone Building
66 City Road
London, EC1Y 2AL
UK

T: +44 (0)207 927 9700
F: +44 (0)870 787 4145
Email: rhiannon.hawkins@burohappold.com