



Coal Mining Risk Assessment &
Specification for the Consolidation of
Abandoned Mine Workings

Manox, Miles Platting



COAL MINING RISK ASSESSMENT & PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR CONSOLIDATION OF ABANDONED MINE WORKINGS

Former Manox Site
Miles Platting

Prepared for:
Engie



Report Ref: 13-887-R7-revA
Date Issued: January 2021

E3P

Taylor Road
Trafford Park
Urmston
Manchester
M41 7JQ

+ 44 (0) 161 707 9612

<https://e3p.co.uk>

Registered in England

CRN: 807255262

QUALITY ASSURANCE

REMARKS	FINAL	FINAL – Rev A
VERSION	Rev 0	Rev 0
DATE	November 2020	November 2020
PREPARED BY	M. Dyer	M. Dyer
QUALIFICATIONS	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv
SIGNATURE		
CHECKED BY	D Cox	D Cox
QUALIFICATIONS	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv
SIGNATURE		
AUTHORISED BY	M. Dyer	M. Dyer
QUALIFICATIONS	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv	BSc, FGS, PIEMA, MEnvSc, CEnv
SIGNATURE		
PROJECT NUMBER	13-887	



Cert No 18890

ISO 9001 (2015)
ISO 14001 (2015)
ISO 45001 (2018)



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Address	Former Manox Site, West of Hulme Hall Lane, Miles Platting, M40 7LD
National Grid Reference	E 395990, N 404200.
Site Area	7.2 Ha.
Background	E3P has been instructed by Engie to prepare a Coal Mining Risk Assessment and Performance Specification for the Consolidation of Abandoned Mine Workings that will facilitate a safe residential development at the site located at Manox in Miles Platting. This E3P specification has been produced in due consideration of the site assessment of ground stability risk associated with abandoned mine workings undertaken by E3P.
Proposed Development	The subject site is currently disused open land, following demolition of the previous industrial chemical production facilities. It is proposed to construct 410 domestic dwellings, associated gardens, public open space (POS) areas and adoptable roads and sewers.
Coal Mining	In accordance with industry best practice as detailed within CIRIA 758, E3P has completed intrusive investigation works and concluded that unrecorded shallow mine workings are present below the central and southern sectors of the site. The assessment has concluded that there is insufficient rock cover to provide the required degree of stability in the event of successive collapses (cavitation) within the known workings in three seams of coal, which could ultimately result in a "crown hole" subsidence event at the surface. However, sufficient rock cover is confirmed above the Yard seam in the north. Proof drilling on close centres is required to identify the location of the inferred sub-crop within all three seams of coal, this will be completed by drilling linear probe lines under the supervision of the site engineer. When the sub-crop position is confirmed, close centred primary probes will be advanced on a 6m primary grid beneath structures and infrastructure across the sub-crop where <10xT is confirmed, again this will be under the supervision of the site engineer. Where mine stabilisation works are required within the Bradford Four Feet, Bradford three Quarter and Charlotte coal seams sub-cropping beneath the site. Works are required to be completed in accordance with The Coal Authority permission process and detailed geotechnical design to be prepared in accordance with CIRIA 758. It is possible that the central and southern sector of the site will require some stabilisation by drill and grouting due to the void and rock cover ratio across the central/central-western sector. A historical mine entry (386399-007) has been identified in the central sector. This feature will require stabilisation by pressure grouting and capping by a grout plug cap. Given the known mining legacy and proven shallow mine workings, it is possible that other unrecorded mine entries exist within the curtilage of the site and immediate surrounding area.



	It will therefore be necessary to allow for a watching brief during earthworks and ensure inclusion of a suitable mechanism for grouting and plugging of any such features and subsequent amendment to a development layout in the event that an unrecorded mine entry underlies a residential structure.
--	---

SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATION & STABILISATION WORKS

Consolidation of shallow workings in coal seam	Once the position of the sub-crop position is confirmed, boreholes will be drilled on a primary staggered 6 m × 6 m grid across the building footprint, with treatment extending up to 3 m beyond with secondary boreholes to then be drilled at 4.5 m² infill centres across the area of stabilisation where workings are identified with less than 10xT rock cover. The boreholes will serve as injection points where grout will be pumped under pressure into the workings to consolidate the voids in accordance with the specification herein. Where necessary, tertiary boreholes will be drilled on a 3 m grid where grout take is high and completion pressure cannot be achieved within a grout hole. Boreholes will be drilled on a staggered 10m grid within areas of adopted highway, estate road and private driveway. Again, these boreholes will be used to pump and pressure grout voids within workings in the coal seam. Drill and grout boreholes are not proposed to garden and landscaped areas unless an adjacent position within a plot, highway or driveway indicates significant grout take (>10T). Works will be considered to be completed when the required borehole grid and pressure grouting has achieved the performance specification. Validation test boreholes will be drilled to confirm consolidation at locations and centres to be dictated by the supervising engineer.
Consolidation & stabilisation of mine shaft	Mine entry 386399-007 will be stabilised by a process of drilling a borehole to the base (or the agreed depth) prior to the pumping of grout to the agreed pressure and stages. A secondary borehole will be drilled and pressure grouted within each and every shaft.
Construction of Grout Plug	Give the known depth of Made Ground / superficial drift deposits, it is not technically possible to place a reinforced concrete cap on suitable stratum. It is therefore proposed to construct a 'grout plug' as the capping solution. Nominally this will comprise drilling of boreholes at 1.0m centres that will penetrate 1.0m into bedrock, each location will be pressure grouted in stages back to surface with a near Ordinary Portland Cement Grout (OPC). The grout plug constructed at 1.0m centres within 3.0m from the centre point of the shaft increasing to 3.0m centres will be formed across the conjectured extent of radial departure assuming a 60° angle of repose from bedrock. Boreholes within the inner 3.0m radius will be extended 1.0m into bedrock with boreholes in the 3.0m grid extended 1.0m below the conjectured zone of influence (full collapse).



	The pressure grout plug will form a stiffened block that will mitigate the influence associated with any future consolidation within the stabilised shaft.
--	--



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	6
1.1. Background	6
1.2. Report Objectives	6
1.3. Redevelopment Plan	6
1.4. Site Summary.....	7
1.5. Current Site Use	7
1.6. Surrounding Area	9
2. SYNOPSIS OF COAL MINING ISSUES	10
2.1. Background	10
2.2. Previous Reports	10
2.3. Historical Development – Mining related features.....	13
2.4. Geology	14
2.5. Desk Study Review of Coal Mining	15
2.5.1 Coal Mining Information	16
2.5.2 Coal Mining Geology.....	19
2.5.3 Recorded Coal Authority Workings	19
2.5.4 Coal Mining Risk Assessment.....	20
3. INTRUSIVE COAL MINING INVESTIGATION	22
3.1.1 Scope of E3P Intrusive Ground Investigation	22
3.1.2 Summary of E3P Intrusive Ground Investigation Works.....	22
3.2 Summary of Coal Mining Issues.....	24
4. GENERAL SPECIFICATION FOR MINE STABILISATION WORKS	25
4.1 Overarching Scope of Works	25
4.2 Supervision and Validation	25
4.3 Constituent Materials for Grouting.....	25
4.3.1 Storage and Use of Materials.....	26
4.3.2 Grouting Plant	26
4.3.3 Grout Mixes	26
4.3.4 Grout Properties and Testing	26
4.4 treatment of workings in shallow coal seam	27
4.4.1 drilling Procedures for Shallow Workings in coal seam	27
4.4.2 Grouting Procedures for Shallow Workings in coal seam	28
4.4.3 In-Situ Testing	29
4.5 Drilling & grouting Procedures for Treatment of mine shaft.....	29
4.5.1 Shaft Boreholes.....	29
4.5.2 Grouting Tubes.....	30
4.5.3 Recovery of Materials	30
4.5.4 Drilling Platform	30
4.6 Drilling and Pressure Grouting Procedures to Construct Grouted Shaft Cap	31
4.6.1 Method of Drilling.....	31
4.6.2 Diameter of Boreholes	31
4.6.3 Plumbing of Boreholes	31
4.6.4 Re-drilling of Boreholes.....	31
4.6.5 Grouting Tubes.....	31
4.6.6 Grouting Equipment and Pressure	32
4.6.7 Backfilling Boreholes	32
4.6.8 Abandoned Casing.....	32
4.6.9 Drilling and Grouting Sequence	32



4.6.10	Boreholes to be Grouted	32
4.7	Records – Coal Seam, Shafts & Plugs	33
APPENDIX I LIMITATIONS.....		35
APPENDIX II GLOSSARY		37
APPENDIX III DRAWINGS		40
APPENDIX IV MINING REPORT		41
APPENDIX V E3P LOGS		42
APPENDIX VI E3P SHAFT REPORT		43



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND

E3P has been instructed Engie to develop a Performance Specification for the Consolidation of Abandoned Mine Workings to facilitate the safe and regulatory compliant stabilisation of the land to facilitate the proposed residential development at the site.

This report has been prepared to address Condition 18 within the Manchester City Council Planning Approval (ref: 125596/FO/2019) items specifically pertaining to Ground Conditions. Item 18 requires the preparation of a suitably detailed Site Investigation to determine the risk associated with ground instability attributed to the potential collapse of shallow mine workings. Where remediation works are required, Part B of Condition 18 requires the submission and approval of a strategy for the treatment and consolidation of abandoned mine workings to be approved by the Coal Authority.

1.2. REPORT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this report are as follows.

- 🔦 Prepare an overview of identified issues associated with abandoned mine workings;
- 🔦 Detail Ground Investigation works undertaken to assess the potential presence of coal seams and potential workings;
- 🔦 Evaluate feasible consolidation and stabilisation options.
- 🔦 Define the proposed scope of works for the stabilisation of abandoned mine workings within the sectors of the site that have been determined to be at risk from a future subsidence event.
- 🔦 Set out the validation criteria and protocols required to demonstrate the successful implementation of the mine stabilisation works with sufficient supporting information, test data and independent quality control to demonstrate successful implementation of the works.

1.3. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

Engie intend to construct a residential development comprising 410 low rise residential dwellings with associated gardens, public open space, estate roads and infrastructure. Drawing 13-887-002 (Appendix III) identifies the proposed development layout and Figure 1.1 provides a snapshot of this.

Manchester City Council has granted planning permission for housing-led mixed use redevelopment comprising: a maximum of 410 new dwellings (Class C3); and comprising Class E (maximum floorspace 744 sq. m GIA in total); recreation open space and landscaping; and associated access off Hulme Hall Lane, Varley Street and Iron Street, infrastructure provision and car parking.



FIGURE 1.1 **SNAPSHOT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

1.4. SITE SUMMARY

TABLE 1.1 **SITE DETAILS**

Site Address	Former Manox Site, West of Hulme Hall Lane, Miles Platting, M40 7LD
National Grid Reference	E 395990, N 404200.
Site Area	7.2 Ha.

All acronyms used within this report are defined in the Glossary presented in Appendix II.

A site location plan is presented in Appendix III as Drawing 13-887-001.

1.5. CURRENT SITE USE

TABLE 1.2 **SITE DESCRIPTION**

Occupancy/Use	The subject site is a large vacant area of land with the relict slabs and foundations of the former Manox industrial facility in the northern sector of the site and rough vegetated land to the south. The western sector is densely populated by semi-mature self-seeded vegetation and saplings as presented in Figure 1.1.
----------------------	--



Occupancy/Use	Figure 1.1 Vegetation in West  An aerial photograph from ~2000 shows the former Manox industrial facility in the northern sector and formal playing fields to the east. Figure 1.2 Aerial Photograph ~2000 	
Structures	The site is now clear of all above ground structures with only relict slabs and foundations remaining. There is no photographic evidence of any features that could be indicative of historical mining activities within the site or immediate surrounding area.	
Mine Water egress	No evidence of acidic metalliferous mine drainage is noted, however given the site topography this is not unexpected.	
Access	Access can be gained from the site periphery. It is understood that the southern sector is currently utilised as open storage land which restricts free and unrestricted access across the development site.	
Slope	The site is relatively flat with not readily identifiable slopes of changes in topography. A brick retaining wall in various states of disrepair is present to the northern site boundary.	
Retaining Structures	The northern boundary is retained by a masonry wall to the adjacent canal tow path at a lower level to the subject site.	
Surface Cover (%)	Buildings:	0%
	Hardstand:	50%
	Soft cover:	50%



1.6. SURROUNDING AREA

The surrounding area land uses are summarised in Table 1.3.

TABLE 1.3 SURROUNDING LAND USES

DIRECTION	LAND USE
North	Canal with industrial buildings beyond.
East	Industrial buildings.
South	Residential development.
West	School & Residential units.



2. SYNOPSIS OF COAL MINING ISSUES

2.1. BACKGROUND

E3P has completed a desk based interpretive assessment and investigation to determine the potential for unrecorded abandoned mine workings at a shallow depth beneath the site and the subsequent potential for these workings to represent a ground instability risk associated with collapse and consolidation of the substratum.

2.2. PREVIOUS REPORTS

J Everett prepared a report titled Desk Study of Mine Shafts (April 2019) for Landcare Ltd and Engie.

The pertinent conclusion from the afore mentioned report resulted in the removal of a previously inaccurate shaft reference which was confirmed to be a duplication of the same record. The Coal Authority has since provided written confirmation that their database records are updated.

The Everett report concludes that Abandoned Mine Plans (AMP) for the site confirm the presence of workings in the Bradford Four Feet mine. It is concluded that these workings are well over 100 years old and as such subsidence caused by extraction of coal should have taken place decades ago.

E3P recognise that there is a reasonable probability that where the support from the mine was withdrawn, the workings will have collapsed. However, for pillar and stall workings there is no mechanism whereby the time for successive calculation of a void can be determined.

E3P can also confirm that there is no mechanism to assess the extent to which support by pillars or stalling has been withdrawn, therefore parts of the mine are potentially still open with the significant and unquantifiable risk of future collapse inducing successive cavitation of a void resulting in subsidence at the surface.

E3P prepared a letter report dated 1st June 2020 (ref: 13-887-L1), report specifically pertained to the investigation and assessment of mine entry 386399-007 located in the south west sector of the subject site. The salient conclusions from this report are summarised below:

The shaft condition has been appraised in accordance with the broad principals as outlined within CIRIA 758 Chapter 14 (Treatment of abandoned mine entries).

Given the shaft extends through 25m of glacial till, it is almost certain that this is a lined feature, which given the age is likely to be constructed from brick.

The exploratory drilling confirmed that the shaft is filled with unknown material to its base with no staging or voids identified.

Considering the site history, the shaft must have been infilled in the early 20th century, therefore any consolidation and settlement of the backfill would have been expected to occur, there is no surface distortion indicating consolidation of subsidence of the backfill.

Within Table 14.3 (CIRIA 758 Chapter 14), the minimum requirement for treatment related to situational risk for located features, the shaft will be located within an area deemed to be 'High Risk'. A high situational risk is deemed to be urban spaces, major roads, railway infrastructure, multiple dwellings and areas of high concentrations of people directly affected by the mine entry. The proposed development will include highways and dwellings with a high concentration of people.



The shaft is classified as 'filled with no evidence of movement'. The risk-based assessment therefore determined that the following action is required:

- 1) Investigate to assess condition;*
- 2) Drill and grout and consider installation of reinforced concrete cap.*
- 3) Install reinforced concrete cap at rockhead or piled reinforced concrete cap.*

Shaft 386399-007 has been successfully located with its base proven and will be subject to pressure grouting in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA 758 and a pertinent Coal Authority Permission.

It will not be possible to construct a reinforced concrete cap at bedrock due to the depth of superficial drift deposits extending to ~25m.

The shaft is believed to have possibly been used to access workings in the Bradford Four Feet Coal, this is a thick viable seam which may have been worked in the past, therefore the transferal of structural loads to rockhead is not considered a technically viable solution given the potential for the collapse of workings to instigate pile failure.

In light of the above, it is suggested that a Grout Plug capping solution is utilised by drilling and injecting concrete at close centred positions to form an solidified block over the shaft and this mitigate any risk in the highly unlikely event of a future failure in the pressure grouted shaft of shaft lining.

The maximum radial zone of influence is calculated in accordance with the guidance and principals as set out within CIRIA 758. If a conservative angle of repose at 45° is applied the maximum radial extent of influence in the highly unlikely event of total collapse would be 26m from the centre of the proven shaft position.

The detailed geotechnical investigations confirm stiff high strength clay to >13m with a $C_u > 100$ KPa, within the deeper stratum granular horizons are recorded intermittently, with further glacial till overlying the weathered bedrock.

In due consideration of the proven ground conditions, it has been determined that a 60° safe angle of repose can applied in this situation, therefore in the event of total shaft collapse the maximum extent of radial influence would be 15.4m.

In due consideration of the shallow depth of the shaft, proven competent backfill and absence of staging of voiding in the feature and propose to pressure grout the shaft and construct a grout plug, it is considered that the post treated state of the shaft will pose little or no viable potential for future collapse that would result in distortion or subsidence to the surface.

It is therefore recommended that all structures within the 15.4m zone of potential influence in the event of total collapse (which is not considered possible) be constructed using a raft semi-stiffened raft foundation capable of spanning a 3.0m area of ground subsidence.

The geotechnical design risk assessment has concluded that once treated the shaft represents no viable subsidence hazard, therefore when considered within the context of a specialist raft foundation to structure all perceived risk will be mitigated by design.

The E3P letter report (13-887-L1) dated June 2020 has been submitted to the Coal Authority are part of the planning process, the findings of which have been agreed subject to the design and specification for the pressure grouting to the shaft and the subsequent construction of a grout capping system.

The calculated maximum extent of radial influence in the event of a future subsidence event is indicated within the E3P Drawing 13887-004 (Appendix III) and Figure 2.1 and 2.2(overleaf).



FIGURE 2.1 – MAXIMUM EXTENT OF RADIAL INFLUENCE – SHAFT COLLAPSE.





FIGURE 2.2 – CROSS SECTION OF CONJECTURED EXTENT




2.3. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT – MINING RELATED FEATURES

E3P has undertaken a review of the available historical mapping to identify the potential presence of any features on site or within the immediate proximity that would be associated with historical mining activity. A synopsis of this review along with pertinent extracts of the relevant historical mapping are presented below.

The Ordnance Survey Plan dated 1848 (included as Figure 2.3) confirms the presence of the following features;

- ✧ Coal Pit in the central / east sector;
- ✧ Coal Pit Locks indicated adjacent to the southwest boundary confirming the presence of mining in the area;
- ✧ Coal pit ~30m to the north of the western boundary;
- ✧ Coal Pit ~200m north of the site; and,
- ✧ 2 No. Coal Pits to the South / South East.

FIGURE 2.3 1848 ORDNANCE SURVEY MAP



2.4 GEOLOGY

The British Geological Survey (BGS) map for the site, (1:50,000, Solid and Drift edition) and online records indicate that the site is underlain by the geological sequence presented in Table 2.1 and BGS boreholes in Table 2.2.

TABLE 2.1 SUMMARY OF UNDERLYING GEOLOGY

GEOLOGICAL UNIT	CLASSIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	AQUIFER CLASSIFICATION
Drift	Glacial till.	SAND, CLAY and GRAVEL	Secondary undifferentiated
Solid	Pennine Upper Coal Measures Formation and South Wales	MUDSTONE, SILTSTONE, SANDSTONE	Secondary A aquifer

FIGURE 2.4 – EXTRACT OF 1:10,000 BGS MAPPING




TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF BGS BOREHOLE RECORDS

LOCATION	DEPTH	MADE GROUND	DRIFT	SOLID
SJ89NE-673	31m	3.15m	>31m	Not encountered
SJ89NE-510	36m	2.70m	>36m	Not encountered
SJ89NE1936-1945	~6.0m	~3.0m	>6.0m	Not encountered

A historical phase of intrusive ground investigation by Parsons Brinckerhoff Ltd. (ref: SE96661A.1933) dated December, 2007 included the drilling of 2 No. Rotary Boreholes to 50m. E3P has not been provided with the exploratory logs for these boreholes.

Three rotary boreholes were advanced to a depth of 50m between the 29th and 31st October 2007. Two of these holes were in Zones discussed in this report (Z4 and Z5W) and the last borehole RBH3 was within Zone 7.



Figure 2.5 and 2.6 indicate the location of the historical Parsons Brinkerhoff rotary boreholes.

FIGURE 2.5 – RBH2





FIGURE 2.6 – RBH3



The report confirms that the boreholes did not encounter any coal seams or evidence of potential mine workings to their completion depth.

There are no recorded faults within influencing distance of the subject site.

2.5 DESK STUDY REVIEW OF COAL MINING

A Coal Authority Mining Report was obtained (Ref: 51002224407001) dated 13th January 2020 by E3P (Appendix IV).

The Coal Authority operates a risk-based approach to the assessment of potential instability issues associated with future development of land located within the predefined Coal Authority Consultation Areas. This risk-based approach subdivides the potential risk into “Low” and “High” risk categories.

The risk categories can be defined as:



Low-Risk Sites – Deemed to be land where coal mining has taken place, however it was at such depth not to pose a risk to new development and it therefore contains no known recorded risks and as such no further assessment is required.

For low-risk sites, the CA categorically states:

If your proposed development is within the Development Low Risk Area there is no need for you to submit any coal mining information with your planning application and The Coal Authority will not be consulted by the LPA. The LPA will include our Standing Advice as an informative note within the decision notice.

High-Risk Sites – Deemed to be landholdings located within an area known to contain legacy risks that include:

- ✿ Mine entries (shaft or adit);
- ✿ Shallow coal workings (recorded and probable);
- ✿ Workable coal seam outcrops;
- ✿ Mine gas sites and areas;
- ✿ Recorded coal-mining-related hazards;
- ✿ Geological features (fissures and break lines); and
- ✿ Former surface mining sites (sometimes using historic opencast extraction methods).

2.5.1 COAL MINING INFORMATION

Prior to the enactment of the Coal Mines Regulation Act (1872), which came into force on the 1st January 1883, there was no statutory requirement to record the extent of abandoned mine workings and as such the Coal Authority has no knowledge of extensive workings throughout the UK Coal Fields where shallow workings are present at a depth which could result in a subsidence event in the future (through successive cavitation) associated with failure of support mechanism.

To determine if a site may have unrecorded or recorded coal mine workings requires a consideration of a wide range of information and E3P has completed a review of relevant information in relation to potential coal mining activities. Table 2.3 provides a summary of pertinent coal mining information.



TABLE 2.3 COAL MINING INFORMATION

SOURCES OF INFORMATION	SUMMARY
Coal Authority Mining Report	The Coal Authority Mining Report (Ref: 51002224407001) dated January 2020 has been obtained for the subject site by E3P. This report confirmed that: - The property is located within a surface area that could be affected by past underground mining; - There are recorded coal mine entries on site and within 20 metres of, the site boundary; - The site is in an area where the Coal Authority believe there is coal at or close to the surface that may have been worked in the past; - There may, however, be mine entries in the local area for which the Coal Authority has no knowledge; - There is no record of a mine gas emission requiring action by the Coal Authority within the boundary of the property; - The Coal Authority is not aware of any evidence of damage arising due to geological faults or other lines of weakness that have been affected by coal mining; and - The property is not within the boundary of an opencast site from which coal has been removed by opencast methods.
Coal Authority Interactive Map	
British Geological Survey Mapping	The 1:10,000 geological mapping for the area notes the presence the following seams outcropping within the curtilage of the subject site: Yard – Dipping 18.4° SW (Bearing 120) Bradford Four Feet Coal – Dipping 18.4° SW (Bearing 120); Bradford Three Quarters – Dipping 18.3 SW (Bearing 126) Charlotte – Dipping 18° SW (Bearing 120) The 1:10,000 BGS mapping confirms the presence of 4 coal seams outcropping beneath the subject site dipping in a south westerly direction.



SOURCES OF INFORMATION	SUMMARY
Review of Coal Authority Archive	The Coal Authority Archives record the following mine abandonment plans: AMP NW 1171 This plan records the presence of shaft 386399-07 which is recorded within the Coal Authority Mining Report (see appendices). AMP 2655/1 This plan records workings in the Bradford Four Feet Mine. This plan includes a memorandum 'with regard to the four feet mine' which states that the Four Foot Mine has been more or less worked since at least the late 16th century. The plan reportedly confirms that the Four Feet mine is worked from the outcrop under the northern and central sector of the site. It is clear that the Four Feet mine is worked to outcrop beneath the entire site from the available mine abandonment plans. The extent of known workings is indicated below, however it is believed that the Bradford Four Feet coal is extensively worked further south from sub-crop across the coal field. 
Historical Ordnance Survey Mapping	A review of the 1:10,000 Ordnance Survey mapping from circa 1850, which has records of mine workings that predated the Mining and Regulation Act of 1872, identified the following features, indicative of historical mine workings in proximity to the application site: - Coal Pit on-site (shaft (386399-07)) - Shafts to the north and south of the site. - Coal pit locks indicated at canal confirming mining activities. In consideration of the historical mining features in proximity to the site and potential for coal close to the surface, it is considered highly probable that coal extraction has occurred underlying the site at relatively shallow depths although further investigation would be required to determine the extent and depth of any worked seams.



SOURCES OF INFORMATION	SUMMARY
Historical Site Investigations	A historical phase of intrusive ground investigation by Parsons Brinckerhoff Ltd. (ref: SE96661A.1933) dated December, 2007 included the drilling of 2 No. Rotary Boreholes to 50m. E3P has not been provided with the exploratory logs for these boreholes. Three rotary boreholes were driven to a depth of 50m between the 29th and 31st October 2007. Two of these holes were in Zones discussed in this report (Z4 and Z5W) and the last borehole RBH3 was within Zone 7. The PB report indicates that no evidence of any coal seams or workings was encountered within either of these boreholes, however given the sparsity of the information this is not deemed a robust line of evidence to inform the assessment for the potential of shallow mine workings.

2.5.2 COAL MINING GEOLOGY

A review of the mining geology confirmed the presence of four coal seams outcropping within the subject site dipping southwest at ~18° towards the site boundary. The seam thicknesses are as defined by the coal authority within the attached Consultants Report (Appendix IV).

The data is summarised in Table 2.4.

TABLE 2.4 SUMMARY OF COAL MINING GEOLOGY

COAL SEAM	THICKNESS	OUTCROP	DEPTH
Yard	1.0m	On-site	Sub-crop
Bradford Four Feet	1.20m	On-site	Sub-crop
Bradford Three Quarters	1.20m	On-site	Sub-crop
Charlotte	N/A	On-site	Sub-crop

2.5.3 RECORDED COAL AUTHORITY WORKINGS

The known recorded Coal Authority workings are summarised in Table 2.5.

TABLE 2.5 SUMMARY OF COAL AUTHORITY WORKINGS

FEATURE	LOCATION	REFERENCE	COMMENT
Shaft	386058E 399354N	386399-004	<i>Located immediately west of the site.</i>
Shaft	386298E 399369N	386399-007	<i>Located in the central / central south east sector.</i>
Recorded Workings	On site	Bradford Four Feet	<i>The Coal Authority records workings in the Bradford Four Feet Coal, the last year mined was 1900 with an extraction thickness at 1.20m.</i>



FEATURE	LOCATION	REFERENCE	COMMENT
Recorded Workings	On site	Bradford Three Quarters	<i>The Coal Authority records workings in the Bradford Three Quarters Coal, the last year mined was 1900 with an extraction thickness at 1.20m.</i>
Recorded Workings	South East	Yard	<i>The Coal Authority records workings in the Yard Coal, the last year mined was 1900 with an extraction thickness at 1.0m.</i> <i>The Yard coal outcrops beneath the southwest sector, therefore if the workings extent to the outcrop, it is highly probable shallow unrecorded workings are present beneath the subject site.</i>

2.5.4 COAL MINING RISK ASSESSMENT

This risk assessment comprises a desk-based review of all available information on the coal mining issues that are relevant to the application site, deemed to be located within a “High Risk” area. This includes:

-  Interpretation of information to identify and assess the risks to the proposed development from coal mining legacy, including the cumulative impact of issues;
-  Setting out appropriate mitigation measures to address the coal mining legacy issues affecting the site, including any necessary remedial works and/or demonstrate how coal mining issues have influenced the proposed development; and
-  Demonstrating to the local planning authority that the application site is, or can be made, safe and stable to meet the requirements of national planning policy with regard to development on unstable land.

The coal mining risk assessment is summarised in Table 2.6.

TABLE 2.6 SUMMARY OF COAL MINING RISK ASSESSMENT

Coal Mining Risk Assessment	Yes/No	Risk Assessment
Recorded Underground Coal Mining at Shallow Depth (< 30 m)	Yes	High
Recorded Underground Coal Mining at Shallow Depth (> 30 m)	Yes	High
Unrecorded Underground Coal Mining at Shallow Depth (< 30 m)	Yes	High
Mine Entries (Shaft/Adits)	Yes	High
Coal Mining Geology – Fractures/Fissures	No	Low
Recorded Gas Emissions	No	Low
Recorded Mining Surface Hazard	No	Low
Surface Mining (Opencast)	No	Very low/low



Based on the above, a high risk of shallow coal mine workings that have the potential to cause ground instability have been identified.

It is concluded that the mine entry (shaft 386399-007) accessed workings in the Bradford Four Feet sub-crop which transects NW/SE through the centre of the site. Workings are recorded in the Bradford Four Feet and Braford Three Feet Coal by CA mine abandonment plans confirming workings dating back to the 16th century.

The Coal Authority, as a statutory consultee, required a series of rotary open holes across the site to determine the presence of any coal on site and to understand the nature of known coal workings.

The proposed development layout must make due consideration of the fact that the recorded mine entry could be located at the site boundary and therefore no structures can be positioned within the conjectured zone of potential influence in the event of a future collapse. It will be necessary to install mitigation measures within the calculated zone of influence in the form of geogrids to ensure stability of the land within the theoretical zone of influence.

Based on the above, a high risk of shallow coal mine workings that have the potential to cause ground instability has been identified requiring extensive Ground Investigation works and in all likelihood future stabilisation by drilling and grouting in multiple seams of coal and the stabilisation of the mine entry.



3 INTRUSIVE COAL MINING INVESTIGATION

A detailed coal mining risk assessment has been undertaken due to the identified presence of shallow coal mining (recorded shaft and potential shallow workings) on site and in the immediate surrounding area.

3.1.1 SCOPE OF E3P INTRUSIVE GROUND INVESTIGATION

In due consideration of the potential presence of a potentially worked seams sub-cropping on-site dipping in a south westerly direction, a series of rotary boreholes have been advanced in accordance with Coal Authority Permission Ref: 19640 to assess the potential presence of shallow workings within this seam and the potential for ground instability to induce a future subsidence event within the proposed development.

It should be noted that the recorded mine entry was previously investigated by rotary probe drilling, the findings of this investigation are documented within the E3P Letter Report 13-887-L1 dated June 2020 included as Appendix VI. This letter also includes detailed analysis of the shaft stability and settlement calculations to model surface distortion in the event of future collapse of this feature (post treatment).

3.1.2 SUMMARY OF E3P INTRUSIVE GROUND INVESTIGATION WORKS

Sixteen rotary boreholes have been advanced at the locations detailed within the E3P Exploratory Borehole Location Plan (Drawing 13-887-040 in Appendix III) at positions to interject the recorded sub-crop of coal seams and assess the potential for any workings.

TABLE 3.1 ROTARY BOREHOLE SUMMARY

ROTARY BOREHOLE	DEPTH TO ROCKHEAD (m bgl)	DEPTH TO TOP/ (THICKNESS) OF COAL (m bgl)	EVIDENCE OF MINING ACTIVITY/SEAM	REMARKS
RB101	23.00	35.00 (0.40)	None	Coal inferred Yard sub-crop. 10xseam
RB102	24.00	45.50 (0.50)	None	Coal inferred Yard sub-crop. 10xseam. BH north of Braford Four feet sub-crop.
RB103	23.00	33.00 (0.50)	None	Coal inferred Yard sub-crop. 10xseam
RB104	27.00	39.00 (0.5)	None	Coal inferred Yard sub-crop. 10xseam.
RB105	27.00	31.00 (2.0)	None	Bradford Four Feet sub-crop. Thick coal and known to be worked. Insufficient rock cover.



ROTARY BOREHOLE	DEPTH TO ROCKHEAD (m bgl)	DEPTH TO TOP/ (THICKNESS) OF COAL (m bgl)	EVIDENCE OF MINING ACTIVITY/SEAM	REMARKS
RB106	26.00	34.00 (0.50)	None	Bradford Four Feet sub-crop. Thick coal and known to be worked. Possibly extracted and mine roof fallen. Insufficient rock cover.
RB107	31.90	41.90 (0.60)	None	Bradford Four Feet sub-crop. Thick coal and known to be worked. Possibly extracted and mine roof fallen. CA record Bradford Four Feet at 1.20m. Insufficient rock cover at this position.
RB108	31.00	Barren	None	Assume Bradford Four Feet dipping to greater depth or missing. Rock cover achieved. Bradford Three Quarter missing.
RB109	24.00	45.50 (0.50)	None	Possible Bradford Three Quarter. Sufficient Rock cover achieved. Charlotte sub-crop not identified. Possibly to north of inferred sub-crop.
RB110	31.50	33.50 (0.60) 45.00 (0.30)	None	Charlotte sub-crop. Bradford Three Quarter at 45m with sufficient rock cover.
RB111	25.30	27.70 (0.70)	None	Bradford Three Quarter. Insufficient rock cover.
RB112	26.00	Barren	None	Bradford Four Feet Missing. Assumed to be extracted.
RB113	29.90	41.30 (0.50)	None	Bradford three Quarter. 10xseam thickness achieved.
RB114	24.0	40.1 (0.60)	None	Bradford Four Feet. Sufficient rock cover.
RB115	22.80	40.00 (0.50)	None	Bradford Four Feet. Sufficient rock cover.
RB116	22.10	Barren	None	Bradford Four Feet either missing and extracted of BH north of sub crop.



For the purposes of this assessment, the names of the coal seams are inferred as best as possible. As for the purposes of assessing the risk from mine workings, the names of the seams are not critical.

3.2 SUMMARY OF COAL MINING ISSUES

E3P has reviewed the historical E3P Desk Study Coal Workings Risk Assessment, British Geological Survey (BGS) Geological Mapping and Coal Authority information, in addition to completing 16 No. 50m rotary boreholes to investigate shallow mine workings. A summary of the findings follows.

- ❖ The Coal Authority and BGS highlight the presence of known workings within the Bradford Four Feet and Bradford Three Quarter coal sub-crops beneath the site. All coal in the area dips in a south-westerly direction beneath the site.
- ❖ A recorded mine shaft is located to the east of the site.
- ❖ The intrusive ground investigations, comprising fifteen deep rotary boreholes has not identified any voids or evidence of shallow mine workings. However due to the age of the workings and effective pressure of the overburden, partial collapse is considered highly probable.
- ❖ The Yard coal sub-crop appears to be further north than the CA conjectured position, possibly beyond the site boundary with sufficient rock (10 x seam thickness) cover achieved in the boreholes drilled by E3P.
- ❖ Workings are known to be present in the Bradford Four Feet coal sub-crop dating to the 16th century. Rotary boreholes identify a thick seam of coal in places, with the seam being reduced or missing within other boreholes. It is likely that the reduced thickness is indicative of coal that could not be fully extracted from the mine with subsequent roof collapse, with other areas where it is removed almost in its entirety.
- ❖ The Bradford Three Quarter Coal sub-crop is also recorded to have been worked beneath the site and while no losses in flush or voids are identified within the limited number of site investigation boreholes, the variable thickness and absence of the seam in locations infers historical workings.
- ❖ The Charlotte coal sub-crop is identified in the south west, again this seam appears to have a reasonable (workable) thickness and therefore proof drilling and grouting is required.
- ❖ Mine stabilisation works are required across the three identified coal sub-crops where insufficient rock cover is present overlying the known workings to mitigate perceived ground stability risk in accordance with The Coal Authority permission process and detailed geotechnical design to be prepared in accordance with CIRIA 758.
- ❖ The use of a load transfer pile foundation will require detailed design consideration within the area of workings across the site, which requires stabilisation by drill and grout in accordance with industry best practice guidance CIRIA 758. It will be necessary to ensure the point load from a pile foundation does not stress treated mine workings.
- ❖ Where a greater degree of rock cover is present, the potential for foundation loadings to influence consolidated mine workings is reduced and as such piled foundations are potentially viable.
- ❖ Given the known mining legacy and proven shallow mine workings, it is highly likely that other unrecorded mine entries exist within the curtilage of the site and immediate surrounding area. It will therefore be necessary to allow for a watching brief during earthworks and ensure inclusion of a suitable mechanism for grouting and capping of any such feature and subsequent amendment to a development layout in the event that an unrecorded mine entry underlies a residential structure.
- ❖ Mine entry 386399-007 will require stabilisation by pressure grouting and the construction of specialist grout capping solution.



4 GENERAL SPECIFICATION FOR MINE STABILISATION WORKS

4.1 OVERARCHING SCOPE OF WORKS

The location of the coal sub-crops will be determined by drilling of boreholes across the pre-defined grid in a linear sequence to establish the position of the sub-crop. Under the guidance of the supervising engineer the proof drilling grid will be amended to ensure it accurately targets the sub-crop position.

The linear boreholes will then be extended down crop to determine the position where sufficient rock cover is established for the relevant coal seam under the supervision of the geotechnical engineer.

Boreholes will be drilled on a primary staggered 6 m × 6m grid across the building footprint with treatment extending up to 3 m beyond the plot line.

Where voids or evidence of workings is identified within the primary drill grid, secondary boreholes will then to be drilled at 4.5 m infill centres across the drill and grout grid to plot footprints and the off-set.

Where necessary, tertiary boreholes will be drilled on a 3 m grid where grout take is high (>5T per hole in 24 hr period) and completion pressure cannot be achieved within a grout hole.

Drilling and Grouting workings will be extended across areas of proposed estate roads, adopted highway and infrastructure.

One mine entry has been identified within the curtilage of the development site, the shaft will be subject to pressure grouting in accordance with the specification set out herein prior to the construction of a grout plug cap.

The stabilisation works will be undertaken by injecting a PFA/cement grout into the workings via the boreholes.

4.2 SUPERVISION AND VALIDATION

The client will appoint a resident supervising engineer, who will be in attendance at the outset of the works and on a part-time basis thereafter to monitor the completion of the project to ensure compliance with the performance specification and criteria documented herein.

The mine stabilisation contractor will appoint a site manager or suitably qualified foreman of the works, subject to agreement with the supervising engineer prior to instigation.

4.3 CONSTITUENT MATERIALS FOR GROUTING

Water shall be from the mains supply or other source approved by the resident engineer (RE) and supplied by the client.

Cement shall be Portland cement complying with BS 12.

PFA complying with BS EN 12715:2000 shall be conditioned hopper ash, or dry powder ash, or a type suitable as a constituent for grout and obtained from an approved supplier.

Sand shall generally comply with BS 882 and be of a grading suitable for use in the contractor's plant and approved by the RE.



Pea gravel shall comply with BS 882, and be of grading approved by the RE. Thixotropic admixtures shall be bentonite or another admixture approved by the RE.

4.3.1 STORAGE AND USE OF MATERIALS

Storage of materials shall be such as to prevent contamination and deterioration. Cement shall be kept in a dry location, and the sequence of deliveries recorded so that cement can be used in rotation.

PFA shall be stored within a predefined area and will be kept dampened to mitigate against fugitive dust.

4.3.2 GROUTING PLANT

The contractor shall submit to the RE, for approval, details of the proposed method of mixing, and pumping of grout to the injection points, together with the means of monitoring grouting pressures and the quantities injected.

The materials shall be introduced into the mixer via approved methods (volume batching).

The grout mixer shall be capable of producing a homogenous grout mix, with all particles being thoroughly wetted without segregation and suitably viscous to ensure the required flow and subsequent consolidation.

4.3.3 GROUT MIXES

In due consideration to the proposed residential development of the site, the filling material (grout) shall generally consist of a PFA: cement grout, which should be mixed in the proportions of up to 10:1 where water is present.

Representative cubes will be formed from grout mixes with crushing strengths of not less than 1 MN/m² at 28 days.

Note: the 7-day test is performed to indicate that the 28-day strength is achievable, i.e. a 7-day value of about 0.4-0.45MN/m² is considered to be on target to achieve the required 28 strength criteria.

Where excessive lateral flow of grout is anticipated or when voids greater than 500 mm are encountered, the RE may order or agree to the addition of sand or pea gravel to the mix in accordance with CIRIA SP32.

The specified grout mix shall have the minimum water content consistent with effective pumping.

The actual proportions to be used initially for the various grouts shall be agreed with the RE, paying due regard to the conditions met in drilling and the results of any trial grouting carried out before work commences.

4.3.4 GROUT PROPERTIES AND TESTING

With water/(cement and PFA) ratios generally in the range of 0.4 to 0.45, (including the moisture in the aggregates), the mixes proposed should produce "pumpable grout" with flowability readings of between 300 to 600 mm, when measured in a meter of the "Colcrete" type.

The sample for the flowability test shall be obtained by the grouting contractor at the point of injection, i.e. from the end of the tremie pipe.

A minimum of two flowability tests per week shall be performed by the contractor, as directed by the RE, with results to be provided in a timely manner to ensure appropriate review.



A minimum of two bleed capacity tests shall be performed by the contractor per shift or as directed the RE. The sample of grout for the test shall be taken from the point of injection, i.e. the end of the tremie pipe.

Bleed capacity shall be measured in a clear plastic or glass graduated cylinder which has an internal diameter not less than 75 mm and with a volume of approximately 1000 ml. After placing the grout, a cover shall be placed over the cylinder to avoid evaporation. Bleed capacity shall be read at hourly intervals for neat cement grout, and readings should continue for not less than 3 hours. For PFA: cement grouts, readings should continue for not less than 6 hours.

The contractor shall prepare two sets of test cubes of grout per week, or as directed by the Resident Engineer (RE), with all testing to be commenced within 48 hours of samples/cubes being obtained.

Each cube shall be of 100 mm side, or as agreed with the RE, and shall be taken from the grout at the point of injection, i.e. the end of the tremie pipe.

At the instruction of the RE, the contractor shall arrange for them to be tested by crushing at 7 and 28 days in accordance with BS 1881.

The testing shall be carried out by an independent UKAS-Accredited laboratory or as agreed with the RE.

As stated previously, mixes shall produce cubes with crushing strengths of not less than 1 MN/m² at 28 days.

If the RE considers that the results of the test indicate that a change of mix proportions is required, then the contractor shall make such modifications as the RE may direct.

4.4 TREATMENT OF WORKINGS IN SHALLOW COAL SEAM

4.4.1 DRILLING PROCEDURES FOR SHALLOW WORKINGS IN COAL SEAM

All boreholes to be used for the injection of grout, including those which strike coal pillars, shall be drilled by rotary or rotary percussive techniques down to a minimum of 0.5 m beyond the base of the old workings in the seam, or the floor of the seam itself, whichever is greater.

The drilling and Grouting grid will be as follows:

- ❖ **Plots (+3.0m offset):** 6m Primary grid.
- ❖ **Plots (+3.0m offset)** Secondary holes to be drilled and grouted where workings identified in primary 6m grid (equates to grouting at 4.50m²);
- ❖ **Highways, estate road, private drives and Hardstanding:** 10m staggered grid;
- ❖ **Gardens & Landscaping:** No drill and grout unless grout take is >10T per hole on the adjacent primary grid which will then trigger 10m staggered grid across area of concern.

The drilling system and flushing medium to be used shall be as instructed on The Coal Authority licence, and approved by the RE. The contractor shall allow for the provision of appropriate dust suppression for those holes that are to be drilled near sensitive receptors (e.g. nearby houses, highways, active commercial properties, car parks and public footpaths).

Boreholes drilled for the treatment of the plots will ensure that treatment extends up to 3 m out-with the building footprint, based upon anticipated grout flows.



The minimum diameter of the holes shall be 75 mm, unless otherwise specified by the RE. When it is impracticable to drill at the minimum diameter for the full depth, the diameter of the boreholes shall be increased in the upper lengths.

Boreholes shall be temporarily cased through superficial deposits down to the rockhead and, if directed by the RE, down through the rock strata. The boreholes shall be kept open until grout injection into the workings and rock is complete.

Where a borehole proves abortive because it becomes obstructed, it shall be re-drilled in a suitable location as directed by the RE, at a large diameter, and re-cased.

Any deviation from this proposed layout, including the drilling of additional secondary and tertiary holes, shall be recorded by the contractor and a revised borehole location plan provided to the RE.

4.4.2 GROUTING PROCEDURES FOR SHALLOW WORKINGS IN COAL SEAM

The aim of the stabilisation work is to substantially fill any old workings within the Bradford Four Feet, Bradford Three Quarter and the Charlotte Coal as well as any voids or broken ground found within the overlying strata in order to prevent the development of crown holes at the surface/foundation level.

Perimeter grout walls, if required, shall be formed by filling boreholes with a viscous grout comprising appropriate proportions of cement, PFA, sand or pea gravel and water. The mix, proportions and method must be agreed with the RE.

Pressure need not be applied to the grout in affected boreholes unless required by the RE.

Unless specified otherwise, the section of the perimeter wall at the deepest part of the seam shall be constructed first.

Immediately prior to grouting each borehole, the contractor shall check that it is unobstructed to the required depth to receive the tubing or tremie pipes for grout injection. Obstructions shall be dealt with as described in the drilling procedures in Section 4.4.

Grout shall be injected into each hole via an approved flexible tube, or series of steel tubes, placed to the base of the hole or to such other depth to receive the tubing or pipes for grout.

Grouting shall proceed upwards from the base of each borehole to the base of the surface deposits. It is not intended that, as a general rule, significant quantities of grout shall be injected into the surface deposits unless specified otherwise. This requirement will be subject to review by the RE.

The grout shall be injected at the approved rates until grout appears near the point of injection, when the borehole shall be deemed complete. If this criteria is reached quickly, the grout tubes shall be lifted to check that a local obstruction is not preventing the flow of the grout into the strata.

Pressure shall be applied to the grout in every borehole. If grout has not appeared at the point of injection after 5 tonnes of grouting materials have been introduced, then sand and/or pea gravel (gravel which passes through a 6.33 mm sieve and is retained on a 2.36 mm sieve) may be added to the mix or placed down the borehole.

Treatment of adoptable highways will generally be undertaken as per the plots although, should a borehole within the highway accept more than 6 tonnes of grout, then treatment within the hole will be suspended for 12 hours. Thereafter, further grout will be injected in 2 tonne batches. If pressure has not been achieved, or grout appeared, after a total of 10 tonnes of grout has been placed, then a review of the borehole grid spacing, grout mix and materials used will be undertaken.



All boreholes within the highway will be filled under hydrostatic pressure and, once complete, will be pressurised utilising a pneumatic packer to the appropriate pressure.

The contractor shall take all necessary precautions, including making all reasonable liaison with the client, to ascertain the positions and depths of underground services and drains passing through the site, making full allowance for working around and protecting live services and drains.

The contractor shall be responsible for maintaining close liaison with the local authority and the public utility authorities to avoid any disruption of the existing services.

When introducing grout into any borehole, the contractor shall ensure, by regular inspections throughout the day, that the grout is not entering adjacent drains, services, culverts and ducts.

In the event that any such leakage is detected, the contractor shall immediately suspend the grouting operations and commence to remove any accumulated grout.

4.4.3 IN-SITU TESTING

When directed by the RE, the contractor shall test the consolidated ground for permeability and strength.

Permeability by grout acceptance testing shall be checked by drilling test holes in positions to be selected by the RE and injecting grout at pressures appropriate to the depth, all in accordance with the requirements for infilling grouting. If the RE considers that the quantities of grout accepted are excessive, then further holes shall be drilled and grouted at the rates and prices agreed.

After testing, boreholes shall be completed in accordance with the requirements for grouting infill holes.

In general, test holes will be undertaken along the adoptable highways adjacent to boreholes exhibiting grout taken in excess of 6 tonnes.

4.5 DRILLING & GROUTING PROCEDURES FOR TREATMENT OF MINE SHAFT

4.5.1 SHAFT BOREHOLES

The boreholes shall be drilled by rotary methods employing water flush techniques unless otherwise agreed to by the CA / Project Manager / Supervisor. Initially, a vertical borehole of minimum 72mm diameter will be drilled commencing at the centre of the shaft. Drilling will then continue until solid strata are reached at the base of the shaft.

It is required that the subsequent grouting of the shaft is to be carried out via the drill string. The minimum internal diameter of the drill string shall be not less than 35mm and external casing will not be necessary unless it is required to insert pea gravel.

If a cavity is located within the shaft the Project Manager/Supervisor may require it to be in-filled with pea gravel. The Contractor will, in this case, be required to insert casing of minimum 100mm diameter to the upper limit of the cavity to facilitate the placing of pea gravel into the cavity. The casing must remain in place until the base of the shaft is proved.

During the drilling the Contractor shall carry out regular checks and any necessary adjustment to the drilling rig to ensure that the drill is vertical. When the drilling encounters solid strata at the presumed base of the shaft, boring will continue into rock for a distance of at least 5 metres or as specified by the Project Manager/Supervisor.



4.5.2 GROUTING TUBES

Grouting can then proceed via the drill string. Any casing may, at this stage, be withdrawn but the drill string must remain at the borehole base. A triple outlet, T- connection pipe will be attached to the top of the drill string, via a coupling of approved design. The connection pipe will be of such design that a free outlet is available to check the flow of grout and that a pressure meter, calibrated up to at least 1,725 kN/m² (250 p.s.i.) is permanently attached at the inlet location. It is anticipated that pressures in the region of 690 kN/m² (100 p.s.i.) to 1,035 kN/m² (150 p.s.i.) will be required.

Grouting will be injected via the drill string, previously placed to the base of the borehole until a pressure to be stipulated by the Project Manager/Supervisor has been recorded and maintained at the pressure meter attached to the T- connection pipe, or until grout overflow at the surface occurs. The drill string will be of such design that up to 3 metres (10 feet) lengths can be withdrawn, disconnected and the T-connection reconnected at the top of the borehole. When the stipulated pressure has been maintained and further grouting at that level is not possible without generating greater pressure, the Contractor will withdraw the drill string up by 3 metres. Further grouting will then proceed and further withdrawal up the shaft shall not be carried out at this or any subsequent stage or level until the stipulated pressure has been recorded and maintained at that stage or level. This procedure will continue until the shaft has been pressure grouted to the surface or near surface, to the satisfaction of the Project Manager/Supervisor.

On completion of the grouting via the shaft centre borehole, an additional borehole is to be drilled within the shaft area, no less than 1.0m from the shaft centre and the sequence repeated. If/where grout takes in the secondary borehole are high (i.e. exceeding 5 tonnes), and/or at the Project Manager/Supervisor's discretion, a third grouting borehole may be instructed.

4.5.3 RECOVERY OF MATERIALS

The Contractor is expected to recover from each borehole, metal rods, connections and casings used in the operations, and it will be necessary for the Contractor to indemnify the Employer against any claims for loss of such items.

4.5.4 DRILLING PLATFORM

The Contractor's particular attention is drawn to the possibility of the collapse of fill material into the shaft, or of the shaft itself whilst drilling and/or grouting operations are being carried out. During the drilling and treatment of the shaft, the drilling equipment shall be supported on and secured to a suitable platform such that, in the event of a collapse, the drilling rig remains supported over the shaft. When assessing the platform requirements, the Contractor shall consider whether the platform itself could become dislodged by a collapse and in such a case shall make provision for the platform to be secured to suitable anchorages so as to prevent such an occurrence. The dimensions of the platform/staging/scaffolding and any anchoring device must be provided to the Project Manager/Supervisor, prior to its transport and erection at the site. Subsequent to the shaft being located no drilling is to take place at any suspected old mine shaft until the Project Manager/Supervisor is satisfied that the appropriate platform and anchoring system has been established. It is recommended that all personnel involved in the drilling and treatment of a shaft should wear appropriate safety harnesses secured at a safe distance from the shaft. No claims will be entertained for any damage to plant and equipment caused by settlement or collapse of the internal filling of the shaft.



4.6 DRILLING AND PRESSURE GROUTING PROCEDURES TO CONSTRUCT GROUTED SHAFT CAP

4.6.1 METHOD OF DRILLING

The boreholes shall be drilled by rotary or rotary percussive methods employing water flush techniques unless otherwise agreed to by the Project Manager/ Supervisor.

It is proposed to construct the Grout Plug Cap by drilling boreholes on a staggered grid across the maximum extent of subsidence in the event of any future consolidation within the mine entry.

Boreholes to form the grout plug will be drilled at the following centres with a decrease in spacing across the calculated radial extent of influence in the event of total collapse.

- ✳ **Shaft centre to 3.0m – 1.0m centres to 27m (1.0m into rock)**
- ✳ **3.0m to 15m – 3.0 centres to be advanced to 1.0m below the calculated cone of influence in the event of total collapse.**

It is suggested that the approach will be adapted on-site to reflect ground conditions encountered, where grout takes are considered to be excessive, the centres will be reduced, similarly if grout takes are equal to or marginally greater than the borehole volume centres will be reduced.

4.6.2 DIAMETER OF BOREHOLES

The minimum diameter of borings shall be 50 mm. Where an exploratory hole is to be of such depth that boring to the full depth at the minimum diameter is likely to be impossible, or where obstructions are expected, the Contractor shall begin boring at such greater diameter as he may choose. Should any boring prove abortive because of the Contractor's failure to begin with a sufficiently large diameter boring, the Contractor shall carry out any necessary re-drilling and provide and install any necessary casing at his own expense.

4.6.3 PLUMBING OF BOREHOLES

Immediately prior to grouting any borehole the Contractor shall check by plumbing or by other approved techniques that no collapse has occurred within the borehole after the drill rods have been withdrawn and that the hole is open to the depth specified by the Project Manager/Supervisor.

4.6.4 RE-DRILLING OF BOREHOLES

Grouting shall commence via flexible tubing or a series of metal rods having a minimum internal diameter of 25 mm placed to the base of the borehole or at such other depth specified by the Project Manager/Supervisor. Where it is realised that the tubing or rods cannot be placed to the specified depth, the tubing or rods must be withdrawn and the obstruction removed by re-drilling to the specified depth with casing, if necessary. The costs of any such extra drilling shall be borne by the Contractor, who shall indemnify the Employer against any such claims.

4.6.5 GROUTING TUBES

When the tubing or rods have been placed to the depth specified, and checked for blockages by a water test, a T-connection pipe of approved design shall be attached to the top of the tubing or rods. The connection pipe shall have a free outlet available in order that grout flow may be checked and a pressure



meter calibrated up to at least 1,050 kN/m² (150 p.s.i.) but not exceeding 2,100 kN/m² (300 p.s.i.) must be permanently attached at the inlet during the grouting operations. There must be an adequate seal between the tubing/rods and borehole wall to ensure that grout is pumped into the formation. If grout cannot be satisfactorily pumped into the foundation, alternative methods shall be employed, such as grouting through casing, to pump grout into the superficial deposits.

4.6.6 GROUTING EQUIPMENT AND PRESSURE

The operating pressures shall be kept to a minimum and shall not exceed 104 kN/m² (15 p.s.i.) unless otherwise instructed by the Project Manager/Supervisor. Grout shall be mixed in approved plant and continuously agitated, until injected into the boreholes by a double acting long stroke reciprocating pump or other approved pump. When submitting the completed tender documents the Contractor must specify in writing the method of controlling, metering and pumping the materials to be used.

4.6.7 BACKFILLING BOREHOLES

Upon completion of the pressure grouting procedure, the Contractor shall ensure that each borehole is backfilled to the surface with grout. In the event that settlement of such backfill grout subsequently occurs, the Contractor shall return to these borehole locations to top up the grout level. Only in exceptional circumstances - for example where unacceptably high quantities of grout might flow into loosely filled ground – will this requirement be relaxed by the Project Manager/Supervisor.

4.6.8 ABANDONED CASING

The Contractor shall make every attempt to recover all casing used in the drilling operations. However, if this becomes impractical then any abandoned casings must be filled with P.F.A./cement grout and cut at least 2 metres below ground level. The positions of such abandoned casings must be recorded and the information relating to them passed to the Project Manager/Supervisor.

4.6.9 DRILLING AND GROUTING SEQUENCE

The boreholes shall be drilled in a sequence and to depths agreed in advance with the Project Manager/Supervisor. Grout will be injected into the boreholes in an order and with grout mixes as agreed with the Project Manager/Supervisor. Other than the possibility of the Project Manager/Supervisor requiring a series of initial or final random test holes the majority of the boreholes will be drilled and grouted in a systematic manner. However, if deemed necessary by the Project Manager/ Supervisor the Contractor may be required to return to specific areas intermittently to drill secondary injection boreholes or to continue grouting primary or secondary boreholes. No claim will be entertained for any inconvenience to the Contractor by such moving of drilling and/or grouting plant and it shall be necessary to indemnify the Employer against any such claims.

4.6.10 BOREHOLES TO BE GROUTED

Unless otherwise directed by the Project Manager/Supervisor the Contractor shall attempt to inject grout into each borehole. Care shall be taken to ensure that the grout is not injected into pipes, drains, cable ducts, etc.



4.7 RECORDS – COAL SEAM, SHAFTS & PLUGS

The contractor shall prepare and keep available for inspection on site, plans showing the positions of all boreholes, daily drilling records, and the total amounts of grout injected.

The plans shall be updated daily in conformity with the records detailed herein. On completion of the works, the contractor shall give fair copies of the plans and sections to the RE within one week of completion of the programme of grouting.

As works proceed, the contractor shall maintain separate daily records for drilling, for grouting and for materials and plant received in a form to be approved by the RE. The daily records signed by the contractor's agent shall be submitted each day to the RE for their agreement.

The contractor shall provide one copy of the agreed record for the RE's retention and keep a further copy available for inspection on site.

Daily drilling records shall be provided for each borehole and contain the following information:

- ✿ Job title and location;
- ✿ Borehole reference number;
- ✿ Date;
- ✿ Contractor's name;
- ✿ Plant in use, crew members and hours worked;
- ✿ Method of boring or drilling;
- ✿ Type, diameter and depth of casing used;
- ✿ Diameter and depth of hole at the beginning and end of each working day or shift;
- ✿ Loss of any flushing medium during drilling;
- ✿ Standing time, with reason, or time lost overcoming obstructions;
- ✿ Details of underground services located;
- ✿ Details of any settlement or ground heave;
- ✿ Daily and cumulative length drilled;
- ✿ Depth to each major change of stratum;
- ✿ Description, with identification, of the stratum and whether it is intact or broken;
- ✿ Each depth at which groundwater is encountered (if apparent), the depth to which it rose and any steps taken to stop the flow;
- ✿ Depths at which any samples are taken;
- ✿ Details and results of any permeability tests instructed by the RE;
- ✿ Details of any voids or suspected workings;
- ✿ Details of any emissions of gas, water, etc.; and
- ✿ Depth of completed borehole.

Daily grouting records shall be provided for each borehole and contain the following information:



- ✳ Job title and location;
- ✳ Borehole reference number;
- ✳ Date;
- ✳ Contractor's name;
- ✳ Plant in use, crew members and hours worked;
- ✳ Details of type of injection, grout-line dimensions and length of standpipes inserted;
- ✳ Type of grout mix and volumetric quantity injected, including total quantity by weight of each type of grouting material introduced;
- ✳ Grout pressures recorded, with the corresponding depths;
- ✳ The results of all flow and bleed tests;
- ✳ Details of casing abandoned;
- ✳ Details of grouting materials delivered to the site and a running total of each of the materials delivered;
- ✳ The nature, frequency and results of all inspections of services to check for grout penetration; and
- ✳ Details of all stoppages or delays and any other relevant information.

The daily records of materials and plant received shall show in particular that day's quantities by weight of each type of material and cumulative quantities. With the daily records, the contractor shall submit to the RE copies of receipts or invoices for all materials delivered and shall keep them on site until the works are complete.

Notwithstanding the information listed above, the contractor shall provide any other information required by the RE.

On completion of the drill and grout programme, the RE shall prepare a validation report containing copies of borehole records, a borehole location plan, procedures followed during the works, the results of validation boreholes and pressure tests, and a record of any deviation from this specification.

END OF REPORT



APPENDIX I LIMITATIONS

1. This report and its findings should be considered in relation to the terms of reference and objectives agreed between E3P and the client as indicated in Section 1.2.
2. For the work, reliance has been placed on publicly available data obtained from the sources identified. The information is not necessarily exhaustive and further information relevant to the site may be available from other sources. When using the information, it has been assumed it is correct. No attempt has been made to verify the information.
3. This report has been produced in accordance with current UK policy and legislative requirements for land and groundwater contamination which are enforced by the local authority and the Environment Agency. Liabilities associated with land contamination are complex and requires advice from legal professionals.
4. During the site walkover, reasonable effort has been made to obtain an overview of the site conditions. However, during the site walkover, no attempt has been made to enter areas of the site that are unsafe or present a risk to health and safety, are locked, barricaded, overgrown, or the location of the area has not been made known or accessible.
5. Access considerations, the presence of services and the activities being carried out on the site limited the locations where sampling locations could be installed and the techniques that could be used.
6. Site sensitivity assessments have been made based on available information at the time of writing and are ultimately for the decision of the regulatory authorities.
7. Where mention has been made to the identification of Japanese Knotweed and other invasive plant species and asbestos or asbestos-containing materials, this is for indicative purposes only and do not constitute or replace full and proper surveys.
8. The executive summary, conclusions and recommendations sections of the report provide an overview and guidance only and should not be specifically relied upon without considering the context of the report in full.
9. E3P cannot be held responsible for any use of the report or its contents for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared. The copyright in this report and other plans and documents prepared by E3P is owned by them and no such plans or documents may be reproduced, published or adapted without written consent. Complete copies of this may, however, be made and distributed by the client as is expected in dealing with matters related to its commission. Should the client pass copies of the report to other parties for information, the whole report should be copied, but no professional liability or warranties shall be extended to other parties by E3P in this connection without their explicit written agreement there to by E3P.
10. New information, revised practices or changes in legislation may necessitate the re-interpretation of the report, in whole or in part.



APPENDIX II

GLOSSARY

TERMS

ACM	Asbestos-containing material	MMP	Materials management plan
ADS	Acoustic design statement	ND	Not detected
AST	Above-ground storage tank	NDP	Nuclear density probe
BGS	British Geological Survey	NMP	Noise management plan
BSI	British Standards Institute	NPSE	Noise policy statement for England
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes	NR	Not recorded
CA	Coal Authority	PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
CBR	California bearing ratio	PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
CIEH	Chartered Institute of Environmental Health	PI	Plasticity index
CIRIA	Construction Industry Research Association	PID	Photo ionisation detector
CLEA	Contaminated land exposure assessment	POS	Public open space
CML	Council of Mortgage Lenders	PPE	Personnel protective equipment
CoC	Contaminants of concern	ProPG	Professional practice guidance
CSM	Conceptual site model	QA	Quality assurance
DNAPL	Dense non-aqueous phase liquid (chlorinated solvents, PCB)	SGV	Soil guideline value
DWS	Drinking water standard	SPH	Separate-phase hydrocarbon
EA	Environment Agency	SPT	Standard penetration test
EQS	Environmental quality standard	SVOC	Semi-volatile organic compound
FFL	Finished floor level	TPH	Total and speciated petroleum hydrocarbon
GAC	General assessment criteria	TPH CWG	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (Criteria Working Group)
GL	Ground level	UKWIR	United Kingdom Water Infrastructure Risk
GSV	Gas screening value	UST	Underground storage tank
HCV	Health criteria value	VCC	Vibro-concrete column
ICSM	Initial conceptual site model	VOC	Volatile organic compound
LEL	Lower explosive limit	VRSC	Vibro-replacement stone columns
LMRL	Lower method reporting limit	VSC	Vibro-stone columns
LNAPL	Light non-aqueous phase liquid (petrol, diesel, kerosene)	WHO	World Health Organisation
MCV	Moisture condition value	WRAP	Waste and Resources Action Programme



Manox, Miles Platting

CMRA & Performance Specification for Consolidation of Abandoned Mine Workings


January 2021

MIBK	Methyl isobutyl ketone	WTE	Water table elevation
m	Metres	ppm	Parts per million
km	Kilometres	mg/m³	Milligram per metre cubed
% v/v	Percent volume in air	m bgl	Metres below ground level
mb	Millibars (atmospheric pressure)	m bcl	Metre below cover level
l/hr	Litres per hour	mAOD	Metres above ordnance datum (sea level)
µg/l	Micrograms per litre (parts per billion)	kN/m²	Kilonewtons per metre squared
ppb	Parts per billion	µm	Micrometre
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram (parts per million)		



APPENDIX III

DRAWINGS



Location Symbols

Approximate Rotary Borehole Location

Coal Mining

Yard Coal possibly north of the site sufficient rock cover

Bradford Four Feet coal known to be extensively mined to sub crop Drill + Grout + establish sub-crop position

Bradford Three Quarter coal suspected mine workings Drill + Grout

Charlotte coal Drill and Grout

Note

Full extent of drilling and grouting to be determined by supervising geotechnical engineer.

Proof drilling required across coal sub-crop when <10x seam thickness rock cover is present.

Proof drilling and grouting to structures and infrastructure only.

Notes:

Position of Sub-crops unproven. Drilling primary grid to establish positions. Bradford For Feet sub-crop may extend further east than conjectured on this drawing.

P1	R3	08.01.2021	REVISION	LM	MD
P1	R2	26.11.2020	REVISION	LM	MD
P1	R1	24.11.2020	REVISION	LM	MD
P1	-	19.11.2020	DRAFT	LM	MD
Phase	Revision	Date	Issue	Drawn	Checked

Client:

Engie

Job No:

13-887

Date:

08.01.2021

Drawing No:

040

Scale:

NTS

Job Title:


Manox

Drawing Title:


Coal Mining Issues Plan

Environmental Engineering Partnerships Ltd
City Heliport & Business Centre
Eccles, Manchester, M30 7RU
Tel: 0161 707 9612
E-mail: info@e3p.co.uk
Website: www.e3p.co.uk

The client must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by E3P Ltd without permission in writing from E3P Ltd in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, E3P Ltd shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or intellectual property.



W E



Geological Features Site Strata Coal Bedrock Coal Seam Depth to Rock

APPENDIX IV

MINING REPORT




The Coal
Authority

Consultants Coal Mining Report

Flat 1
Sycamore Court
Sandal Street
Manchester
M40 7BS

Date of enquiry:	13 January 2020
Date enquiry received:	13 January 2020
Issue date:	13 January 2020

Our reference:	51002224407001
Your reference:	13887-md-34346



Consultants

Coal Mining Report

This report is based on and limited to the records held by the Coal Authority at the time the report was produced.

Client name

E3P

Enquiry address

Flat 1
Sycamore Court
Sandal Street
Manchester
M40 7BS

How to contact us

0345 762 6848 (UK)
+44 (0)1623 637 000 (International)

200 Lichfield Lane
Mansfield
Nottinghamshire
NG18 4RG


www.groundstability.com

 @coalauthority

 /company/the-coal-authority

 /thecoalauthority

 /thecoalauthority



Approximate position of property



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database right 2018. All rights reserved.

Ordnance Survey Licence number: 100020315

Section 1 – Mining activity and geology

Past underground mining

Colliery	Seam	Mineral	Coal Authority reference	Depth (m)	Direction to working	Dipping rate of seam worked (degrees)	Dipped direction of seam worked	Extraction thickness (cm)	Year last mined
unnamed	BRADFORD FOUR FEET	Coal	35CU	31	Beneath Property	18.4	South-West	120	1900
unnamed	BRADFORD THREE QUARTERS	Coal	35DB	40	Beneath Property	18.3	South-West	120	1900
unnamed	YARD	Coal	35CQ	112	South-East	18.4	South-West	100	1900
unnamed	PARKER	Coal	35BL	301	Beneath Property	18.0	South-West	80	1935
unnamed	PARKER	Coal	35BR	366	North-West	7.8	South-West	80	1935
unnamed	PARKER	Coal	35BQ	399	Beneath Property	22.5	South	80	1935
unnamed	CROMBOUK E	Coal	3597	569	North	16.9	South-West	120	1936
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AN	574	North	17.2	South-West	180	1964
unnamed	PARKER	Coal	35BO	576	South	18.0	South-West	80	1935
unnamed	CROMBOUK E	Coal	359J	587	East	16.0	South-West	120	1933
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AT	590	East	16.9	South-West	170	1956
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AM	626	North-West	16.2	South	180	1964
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AL	637	North-West	17.4	South	180	1967
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AY	644	North-East	16.1	South-West	180	1961
unnamed	CROMBOUK E	Coal	359F	665	Beneath Property	21.0	South-West	120	1924
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35AZ	667	Beneath Property	20.8	South-West	180	1957
unnamed	CROMBOUK E	Coal	359H	733	South-East	17.4	South-West	120	1913
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35B2	800	North-West	21.6	South	180	1965
unnamed	CROMBOUK E	Coal	359E	836	South-West	16.5	South-West	120	1932
unnamed	ROGER	Coal	35B0	863	South-West	19.0	South-West	180	1961

Probable unrecorded shallow workings

Yes.

Spine roadways at shallow depth

No spine roadway recorded at shallow depth.

Mine entries

Entry type	Reference	Grid reference	Treatment description	Mineral	Conveyancing details
Shaft	386399-004	386058 399354		Coal	
Shaft	386399-007	386298 399369		Coal	

Abandoned mine plan catalogue numbers

The following abandoned mine plan catalogue numbers intersect with some, or all, of the enquiry boundary:

11471	NW1171	NW1172
0	NW1170	NW437
NW645	PO0	NW1167

Our records show we have more plans than those shown above which could affect the enquiry boundary.

Please contact us on 0345 762 6848 to determine the exact abandoned mine plans you require based on your needs.

Outcrops

Seam name	Mineral	Seam workable	Distance to outcrop (m)	Direction to outcrop	Bearing of outcrop
BRADFORD FOUR FEET	Coal	Yes	Within	N/A	120
BRADFORD THREE QUARTERS	Coal	Yes	Within	N/A	126
CHARLOTTE	Coal	Yes	Within	N/A	120
YARD	Coal	Yes	Within	N/A	120

Geological faults, fissures and breaklines

No faults, fissures or breaklines recorded.

Opencast mines

None recorded within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Coal Authority managed tips

None recorded within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Section 2 – Investigative or remedial activity

Please refer to the 'Summary of findings' map (on separate sheet) for details of any activity within the area of the site boundary.

Site investigations

Distance to site investigation (m)	Direction
2.1	South-West
Within	N/A
0.6	South-West

See Section 4 for further information.

Remediated sites

None recorded within 50 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Coal mining subsidence

The Coal Authority has not received a damage notice or claim for the subject property, or any property within 50 metres of the enquiry boundary, since 31 October 1994.

There is no current Stop Notice delaying the start of remedial works or repairs to the property.

The Coal Authority is not aware of any request having been made to carry out preventive works before coal is worked under section 33 of the Coal Mining Subsidence Act 1991.

Mine gas

None recorded within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Mine water treatment schemes

None recorded within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Section 3 – Licensing and future mining activity

Future underground mining

None recorded.

Coal mining licensing

None recorded within 200 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Court orders

None recorded.

Section 46 notices

No notices have been given, under section 46 of the Coal Mining Subsidence Act 1991, stating that the land is at risk of subsidence.

Withdrawal of support notices

The property is in an area where a notice to withdraw support was given in 1955.

The property is not in an area where a notice has been given under section 41 of the Coal Industry Act 1994, cancelling the entitlement to withdraw support.

Payments to owners of former copyhold land

The property is not in an area where a relevant notice has been published under the Coal Industry Act 1975/Coal Industry Act 1994.

Section 4 – Further information

The following potential risks have been identified and as part of your risk assessment should be investigated further.

Development advice

The site is within an area of historical coal mining activity. Should you require advice and/or support on understanding the mining legacy, its risks to your development or what next steps you need to take, please contact us.

Site investigations

The site is within an area of previous interest. It is close to where the Coal Authority has received information relating to past site investigations.

The site requires further investigation and may influence how you approach your risk assessment.

For further information on specific site or ground investigations in relation to any issues raised in Section 4, please call us on 0345 762 6848 or email us at groundstability@coal.gov.uk.

Section 5 – Data definitions

The datasets used in this report have limitations and assumptions within their results. For more guidance on the data and the results specific to the enquiry boundary, please **call us on 0345 762 6848** or **email us at groundstability@coal.gov.uk**.

Past underground coal mining

Details of all recorded underground mining relative to the enquiry boundary. Only past underground workings where the enquiry boundary is within 0.7 times the depth of the workings (zone of likely physical influence) allowing for seam inclination, will be included.

Probable unrecorded shallow workings

Areas where the Coal Authority believes there to be unrecorded coal workings that exist at or close to the surface (less than 30 metres deep).

Spine roadways at shallow depth

Connecting roadways either, working to working, or, surface to working, both in-seam and cross measures that exist at or close to the surface (less than 30 metres deep), either within or within 10 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Mine entries

Details of any shaft or adit either within, or within 100 metres of the enquiry boundary including approximate location, brief treatment details where known, the mineral worked from the mine entry and conveyance details where the mine entry has previously been sold by the Authority or its predecessors British Coal or the National Coal Board.

Abandoned mine plan catalogue numbers

Plan numbers extracted from the abandoned mines catalogue containing details of coal and other mineral abandonment plans deposited via the Mines Inspectorate in accordance with the Coal Mines Regulation Act and Metalliferous Mines Regulation Act 1872. A maximum of 9 plan extents that intersect with the enquiry boundary will be included. This does not infer that the workings and/or mine entries shown on the abandonment plan will be relevant to the site/property boundary.

Outcrops

Details of seam outcrops will be included where the enquiry boundary intersects with a conjectured or actual seam outcrop location (derived by either the British Geological Survey or the Coal Authority) or intersects with a defined 50 metres buffer on the coal (dip) side of the outcrop. An indication of whether the Coal Authority believes the seam to be of sufficient thickness and/or quality to have been worked will also be included.

Geological faults, fissures and breaklines

Geological disturbances or fractures in the bedrock. Surface fault lines (British Geological Survey derived data) and fissures and breaklines (Coal Authority derived data) intersecting with the enquiry boundary will be included. In some circumstances faults, fissures or breaklines have been known to contribute to surface subsidence damage as a consequence of underground coal mining.

Opencast mines

Opencast coal sites from which coal has been removed in the past by opencast (surface) methods and where the enquiry boundary is within 500 metres of either the licence area, site boundary, excavation area (high wall) or coaling area.

Coal Authority managed tips

Locations of disused colliery tip sites owned and managed by the Coal Authority, located within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary.

Site investigations

Details of site investigations within 50 metres of the enquiry boundary where the Coal Authority has received information relating to coal mining risk investigation and/or remediation by third parties.

Remediated sites

Sites where the Coal Authority has undertaken remedial works either within or within 50 metres of the enquiry boundary following report of a hazard relating to coal mining under the Coal Authority's Emergency Surface Hazard Call Out procedures.

Coal mining subsidence

Details of alleged coal mining subsidence claims made since 31 October 1994 either within or within 50 metres of the enquiry boundary. Where the claim relates to the enquiry boundary confirmation of whether the claim was accepted, rejected or whether liability is still being determined will be given. Where the claim has been discharged, whether this was by repair, payment of compensation or a combination of both, the value of the claim, where known, will also be given.

Details of any current 'Stop Notice' deferring remedial works or repairs affecting the property/site, and if so the date of the notice.

Details of any request made to execute preventative works before coal is worked under section 33 of the Coal Mining Subsidence Act 1991. If yes, whether any person withheld consent or failed to comply with any request to execute preventative works.

Mine gas

Reports of alleged mine gas emissions received by the Coal Authority, either within or within 500 metres of the enquiry boundary that subsequently required investigation and action by the Coal Authority to mitigate the effects of the mine gas emission.

Mine water treatment schemes

Locations where the Coal Authority has constructed or operates assets that remove pollutants from mine water prior to the treated mine water being discharged into the receiving water body.

These schemes are part of the UK's strategy to meet the requirements of the Water Framework Directive. Schemes fall into 2 basic categories: Remedial – mitigating the impact of existing pollution or Preventative – preventing a future pollution incident.

Mine water treatment schemes generally consist of one or more primary settlement lagoons and one or more reed beds for secondary treatment. A small number are more specialised process treatment plants.

Future underground mining

Details of all planned underground mining relative to the enquiry boundary. Only those future workings where the enquiry boundary is within 0.7 times the depth of the workings (zone of likely physical influence) allowing for seam inclination will be included.

Coal mining licensing

Details of all licenses issued by the Coal Authority either within or within 200 metres of the enquiry boundary in relation to the under taking of surface coal mining, underground coal mining or underground coal gasification.

Court orders

Orders in respect of the working of coal under the Mines (Working Facilities and Support) Acts of 1923 and 1966 or any statutory modification or amendment thereof.

Section 46 notices

Notice of proposals relating to underground coal mining operations that have been given under section 46 of the Coal Mining Subsidence Act 1991.

Withdrawal of support notices

Published notices of entitlement to withdraw support and the date of the notice. Details of any revocation notice withdrawing the entitlement to withdraw support given under Section 41 of the Coal Industry Act 1994.

Payment to owners of former copyhold land

Relevant notices which may affect the property and any subsequent notice of retained interests in coal and coal mines, acceptance or rejection notices and whether any compensation has been paid to a claimant.

The map highlights any specific surface or subsurface features within or near to the boundary of the site.

Key

Approximate position of the enquiry boundary shown 


Disused mine shaft 

Outcrop (Conjectured) 

Site investigations 


How to contact us

0345 762 6848 (UK)
+44 (0)1623 637 000 (International)
www.groundstability.com




APPENDIX V

E3P LOGS

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB101 Sheet 1 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					0.40			Brown clayey fine to medium SAND (TOPSOIL).	1
								Brown sandy CLAY.	
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
					2.00				3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
								10	
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB101 Sheet 2 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
									11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
Remarks Complete									


					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB101 Sheet 3 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				


Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					23.00			Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	21
									22
									23
									24
									25
									26
									27
									28
									29
									30
					28.00			Dark grey MUDSTONE.	23
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
					30.00			Black MUDSTONE.	28
29									
30									

Remarks
 Complete




					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB101 Sheet 4 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Light grey MUDSTONE.	31
									32
									33
									34
									35
									36
									37
									38
									39
									40
									31
									32
									33
									34
									35
									36
									37
									38
									39
									40
Remarks Complete									



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB102 Sheet 1 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					0.40			Brown clayey fine to medium SAND (TOPSOIL).	1
								Brown sandy CLAY.	
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
					2.00				3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
								10	
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB102 Sheet 2 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
									11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB102 Sheet 3 of 5										
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH									
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By									
Client: Engie					Dates:													
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description										
		Depth (m)	Type	Results														
					24.00			Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	21									
									22									
									23									
									24									
									25									
									26									
									27									
									28									
									29									
									30									
									Remarks Complete									



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB102

Sheet 4 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates:

Logged By

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Grey MUDSTONE.	31
									32
									33
									34
									35
					36.00			Dark grey to black MUDSTONE.	36
					36.50			Grey MUDSTONE.	37
					37.00			Light grey MUDSTONE.	38
					37.50			Grey MUDSTONE.	39
									40

Remarks
Complete





Sheet 5 of 5



Hole Type
BH

Scale
1:50


Logged By

Remarks	
Complete	




					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB103 Sheet 1 of 5		
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH	
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50		
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By		
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
		Depth (m)	Type	Results						
					0.40			MADE GROUND: Brown slightly sandy gravelly clay. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of brick and concrete. Brown sandy CLAY.	1	
					2.50				Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	2
										3
										4
										5
										6
										7
										8
										9
										10
Remarks Complete										


					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB103 Sheet 2 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
									11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
Remarks Complete									


					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB103 Sheet 3 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					23.00			Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	21
									22
									23
									24
									25
									26
									27
									28
									29
									30
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB103 Sheet 4 of 5										
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH									
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50										
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By										
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description										
		Depth (m)	Type	Results														
								Dark grey MUDSTONE.	31									
									32									
									33									
									34									
									35									
									36									
									37									
									38									
									39									
									40									
									33.00		COAL.							
									33.50		Grey MUDSTONE.							
									34.00		Light grey MUDSTONE.							
									34.50		Grey MUDSTONE.							
									Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB103 Sheet 5 of 5									
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH								
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50									
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By									
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description									
		Depth (m)	Type	Results													
								Grey MUDSTONE.	41								
									42								
									43								
									44								
									45								
									46								
									47								
									48								
									49								
									50								
									End of Borehole at 50.00m								50
									Remarks Complete								
																	

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB104 Sheet 1 of 6	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					0.40			MADE GROUND: Reinforced concrete.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
								MADE GROUND: Brown gravelly sandy clay. Gravel is fine to medium, angular to subrounded of mudstone.	
								Brown sandy CLAY.	
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	
									
									
									
									
									
									
Remarks Complete									



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB104	
					Sheet 2 of 6				
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		
Client: Engie					Dates:		Logged By		
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
									Remarks Complete

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB104	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					27.00			Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular to subrounded of mudstone.	21
									22
									23
									24
									25
									26
									27
									28
									29
									30
					30.00			Brownish grey MUDSTONE.	
Remarks Complete									




					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB104 Sheet 5 of 6										
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH									
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50										
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By										
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description										
		Depth (m)	Type	Results														
								Grey MUDSTONE.	41									
									42									
									43									
									44									
									45									
									46									
									47									
									48									
									49									
									50									
									Remarks Complete									


					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB104 Sheet 6 of 6	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By
Client: Engie					Dates:				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					50.20			COAL.	51
									52
									53
									54
									55
					55.00			End of Borehole at 55.00m	56
									57
									58
									59
									60
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB105 Sheet 1 of 6			
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH		
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50			
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By			
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description			
		Depth (m)	Type	Results							
					0.20			MADE GROUND: Very gravelly fine to medium sand. Gravel is fine to coarse, angular to subangular of brick, concrete, wire, plastic and metal. MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		
					1.00						MADE GROUND: Brown sandy gravel. Gravel is fine to coarse, angular to subangular of mudstone, concrete and brick.
					5.00			Brown slightly gravelly very sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium, subangular of mustone.			

Remarks
Complete



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB105	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown slightly gravelly very sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium, subangular of mustone.	11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
									Remarks Complete

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB105 Sheet 3 of 6									
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH								
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By								
Client: Engie					Dates:												
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description									
		Depth (m)	Type	Results													
					27.00			Brown slightly gravelly very sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium, subangular of mustone.	21								
									22								
									23								
									24								
									25								
									26								
									27								
									28								
									29								
									30								
									Remarks Complete								



Sheet 4 of 6

Hole Type
BH

Scale
1:50



Logged By


Remarks	
Complete	




					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB105									
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH								
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50									
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By									
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description									
		Depth (m)	Type	Results													
								Grey MUDSTONE.	41								
									42								
									43								
									44								
									45								
									46								
									47								
									48								
									49								
									50								
									Remarks Complete								

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB105 Sheet 6 of 6										
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH									
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50										
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By										
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description										
		Depth (m)	Type	Results														
					55.00			Grey MUDSTONE.	51									
									52									
									53									
									54									
									55									
									56									
									57									
									58									
									59									
									60									
									End of Borehole at 55.00m								55	
																	56	
																	57	
																	58	
																	59	
																	60	
									Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB106 Sheet 1 of 6								
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH							
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By							
Client: Engie					Dates:											
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description								
		Depth (m)	Type	Results												
					0.40			MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10							
								MADE GROUND: Black sandy gravel. Gravel is fine to coarse, angular of brick and concrete.								
								Brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular of mudstone.								
																
							Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB106 Sheet 2 of 6	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular of mudstone.	11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20
									Remarks Complete

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB106 Sheet 3 of 6									
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH								
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50									
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By									
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description									
		Depth (m)	Type	Results													
					26.00			Brown slightly gravelly sandy CLAY. Gravel is fine to medium subangular of mudstone.	21								
									22								
									23								
									24								
									25								
									26								
									27								
									28								
									29								
									30								
									Remarks Complete								



RB106

Hole Type
BH


Logged By


Remarks	
Complete	



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB106 Sheet 5 of 6										
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH									
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50										
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By										
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description										
		Depth (m)	Type	Results														
								Grey MUDSTONE.	41									
									42									
									43									
									44									
									45									
									46									
									47									
									48									
									49									
									50									
									Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB106 Sheet 6 of 6	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					51.30			COAL.	51
					55.00			Grey MUDSTONE.	52
									53
									54
									55
								End of Borehole at 55.00m	56
									57
									58
									59
									60
Remarks Complete									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB107 Sheet 1 of 2	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:			Scale 1:50	
Client: Engie					Dates:			Logged By	
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					0.30			MADE GROUND: Asphalt	
					4.00			MADE GROUND: Brown sandy gravel. Gravel is fine to coarse, angular to subangular of concrete and brick.	
Remarks Terminated									





Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB107a

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 22/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.50			MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
									10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB107a

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50


Client: Engie

Dates: 22/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					12.50			Firm brown CLAY.	11
								Soft brown SAND.	12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
					18.20			Firm brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.





Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB107a

Sheet 4 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 22/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					30.80			Firm brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	
					31.90			Black SHALE.	31
								Grey SANDSTONE.	32
									33
									34
									35
									36
									37
									38
									39
									40

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB107a

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 22/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					41.90			Grey SANDSTONE.	41
					42.50			COAL (Intact).	42
								Grey SANDSTONE.	43
									44
									45
									46
									47
									48
									49
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB108

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					3.50			MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
									10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB108

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					11.20			Firm brown CLAY.	11
								Soft brown SAND.	12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
					19.20			Firm brown CLAY.	19
									20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB108

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					21.10			Firm brown CLAY.	21
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	22
									23
									24
									25
					26.80			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB108

Sheet 4 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester


Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					30.30			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	
								Black SHALE.	31
					31.30			Grey SANDSTONE.	32
									33
									34
									35
									36
									37
									38
									39
									40

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB108

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					40.20			Grey SANDSTONE.	
								Black SHALE.	
									41
									42
									43
									44
					44.90				
								Grey SANDSTONE.	45
									46
									47
									48
									49
					50.00				50
								End of Borehole at 50.00m	

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB109

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.50			MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
									10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB109

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					11.00			Firm brown CLAY.	
								Soft brown SAND.	11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
					19.00			Firm brown CLAY.	19
									20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB109

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50


Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020



Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					21.00			Firm brown CLAY.	
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	21
									22
									23
									24
									25
					25.70			Brown CLAY.	26
									27
									28
									29
					30.00				30

Remarks
Complete.



				Borehole Log				Borehole No. RB109 Sheet 5 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting				Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH	
Location: Manchester				Level:		Scale 1:50			
Client: Engie				Dates: 23/10/2020		Logged By M&J Drilling			
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					40.10			Grey MUDSTONE. Black SHALE with traces of COAL.	41
					43.60			Grey SANDSTONE.	44
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50
Remarks Complete.									

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB110 Sheet 1 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By M&J Drilling
Client: Engie					Dates: 23/10/2020				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.50			MADE GROUND: Concrete.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
									10
Remarks Complete.									



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB110

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					11.00			Firm brown CLAY.	
								Soft brown SAND.	11
									12
									13
									14
									15
									16
									17
					18.00			Firm brown CLAY.	18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.

Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB110

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					20.70			Firm brown CLAY.	
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	21
									22
									23
									24
									25
					26.20			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	26
									27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB110

Sheet 4 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					31.50			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	31
								Grey MUDSTONE.	32
									33
									34
									35
					35.30			COAL (Intact).	
					35.90			Black SHALE.	36
					36.40			Grey SANDSTONE.	37
									38
									39
									40

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB110

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 23/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Grey SANDSTONE.	41
									42
									43
									44
					45.00				45
					45.30			COAL (Intact).	
								Black SHALE.	46
					46.30				
								Grey SANDSTONE.	47
									48
									49
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB111

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					4.00			Yellow CLAY.	1
									2
									3
									4
								Firm brown CLAY.	5
									6
									7
									8
									9
					10.00				10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB111

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Soft brown SAND.	
									11
									12
									13
									14
									15
					16.10			Firm brown CLAY.	16
									17
									18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB111

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50


Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					22.50			Firm brown CLAY.	21
					25.30			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	22
					27.70			Grey MUDSTONE.	23
					28.40			COAL (Intact).	24
								Grey MUDSTONE.	25
									26
									27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.





Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB111

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					41.80			Black SHALE.	41
								Grey SANDSTONE.	42
									43
									44
									45
									46
									47
									48
					48.90			Black SHALE.	49
					49.50			Grey SANDSTONE.	
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB112

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.50			Yellow CLAY.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
					9.60			Soft brown SAND.	10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB112

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Soft brown SAND.	
									11
									12
									13
									14
									15
					16.40				16
								Firm brown CLAY.	
									17
					18.10				18
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	
									19
					19.50				20
								Brown CLAY.	
									20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB112

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					24.10			Brown CLAY.	21
									22
									23
									24
					26.00			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	25
									26
								Grey SANDSTONE.	27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB112

Sheet 4 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
								Grey SANDSTONE.	
									31
									32
									33
									34
									35
									36
					36.80			Black SHALE.	37
					37.90			Grey SANDSTONE.	38
									39
									40

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB112

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 26/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					41.50			Grey SANDSTONE.	41
					44.60			Black SHALE with traces of COAL.	42
								Grey SANDSTONE.	43
									44
									45
									46
									47
									48
									49
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB113

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.00			Yellow CLAY.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
					9.60			Soft brown SAND.	10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB113

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					13.60			Soft brown SAND.	11
								Firm brown CLAY.	12
					19.20			Soft brown SAND.	13
									14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB113

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester



Level:

Scale
1:50


Client: Engie

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					24.50			Soft brown SAND.	21
									22
									23
									24
					26.90			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	25
									26
								Grey MUDSTONE.	27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.





Sheet 5 of 5

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Level:

Scale
1:50

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Remarks	
Complete.	





Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB114

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.00			Yellow CLAY.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
									9
					9.90				10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB114

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50



Client: Engie


Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					13.50			Soft brown SAND.	11
								Firm brown CLAY.	12
					18.00				13
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	14
					20.00				15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB114 Sheet 3 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By M&J Drilling
Client: Engie					Dates: 27/10/2020				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					24.20			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	21 22 23 24
								Grey MUDSTONE.	25 26 27 28 29 30
Remarks Complete.									



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB114

Sheet 5 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester

Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 27/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					40.10			Grey MUDSTONE. COAL (Intact).	
					40.70			Black SHALE with traces of COAL.	41
									42
									43
									44
					45.10			COAL (Intact).	45
					45.70			Grey SANDSTONE.	46
									47
									48
									49
					50.00			End of Borehole at 50.00m	50

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB115

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					2.00			Yellow CLAY.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
									8
					9.10			Soft brown SAND.	9
									10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB115

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester





Level:

Scale
1:50


Client: Engie

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					13.20			Soft brown SAND.	11
								Firm brown CLAY.	12
					17.10			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	13
					19.20			Brown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	14
									15
									16
									17
									18
									19
									20

Remarks
Complete.

					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB115 Sheet 3 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By M&J Drilling
Client: Engie					Dates: 28/10/2020				
Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					22.80		 Bown CLAY with bands of GRAVEL.	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	
							 Grey MUDSTONE.		
Remarks Complete.									



Sheet 4 of 5

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Level:

Scale
1:50

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Remarks	
Complete.	



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB115 Sheet 5 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By M&J Drilling
Client: Engie					Dates: 28/10/2020				

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					40.50		COAL (Intact).		
							Black SHALE with traces of COAL.	41	
								42	
					43.20		Grey SANDSTONE.	43	
								44	
								45	
								46	
								47	
								48	
								49	
					50.00		End of Borehole at 50.00m	50	

Remarks
 Complete.





Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB116

Sheet 1 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					1.80			Yellow CLAY.	1
								Firm brown CLAY.	2
									3
									4
									5
									6
									7
					8.70			Soft brown SAND.	9
									10

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB116

Sheet 2 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester





Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					13.60			Soft brown SAND.	11
					16.60			Firm brown CLAY.	14
					17.90			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	17
					19.80			Brown CLAY.	18
								Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	20

Remarks
Complete.



Borehole Log

Borehole No.

RB116

Sheet 3 of 5

Project Name: Miles Platting

Project No.
13887

Co-ords:

Hole Type
BH

Location: Manchester




Level:

Scale
1:50

Client: Engie

Dates: 28/10/2020

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					22.10			Brown SAND and GRAVEL.	21
								Grey MUDSTONE.	22
									23
									24
									25
					26.50			Black SHALE with traces of COAL.	26
									27
									28
									29
									30

Remarks
Complete.



Sheet 4 of 5

Hole Type
BH

Scale
1:50

Logged By
M&J Drilling

Remarks	
Complete.	



					Borehole Log			Borehole No. RB116 Sheet 5 of 5	
Project Name: Miles Platting					Project No. 13887		Co-ords:		Hole Type BH
Location: Manchester					Level:		Scale 1:50		Logged By M&J Drilling
Client: Engie					Dates: 28/10/2020				

Well	Water Strikes	Sample and In Situ Testing			Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	
		Depth (m)	Type	Results					
					40.40		Grey SANDSTONE.		
							Black SHALE.	41	
					42.40			42	
							Grey SANDSTONE.	43	
								44	
								45	
								46	
								47	
								48	
								49	
					50.00			50	
								End of Borehole at 50.00m	

Remarks
 Complete.



APPENDIX VI

E3P SHAFT REPORT



E3P
Taylor Road
Trafford Park
Urmston
Manchester
M41 7JQ

info@e3p.co.uk
www.e3p.co.uk

Manchester | London | Edinburgh

Ref: 13-887-L2
Date: 1st June 2020

Engie
The Lighthouse,
The Quays,
Salford Quays
Manchester
M50 3BF - UK

BY Email

Dear

FORMER MANOX SITE – MILES PLATTING ASSESSMENT OF ABANDONED MINE ENTRY

1. BACKGROUND

E3P are appointed as Geotechnical Engineer by Engie to provide professional consultancy services as part of the regeneration of a the subject site for a low rise residential end use.

In the Manchester Core Strategy 2012 – 2027, Miles Platting and the Manox site is allocated as one of Manchester's strategic housing locations. According to the core strategy the Manox site has the potential to accommodate regeneration objectives and create sustainable communities by delivering increased housing numbers to underpin local services and facilities. The Manox site plays a key role in the regeneration aspirations for the wider Miles Platting area, accordingly the site is already designated for 'higher density residential including family housing' in MCC's Eastland's Regeneration Planning Framework.

Engie intend to construct a residential development comprising 4100 low rise residential dwellings with associated gardens, public open space, estate roads and infrastructure. An extract of the proposed development layout is indicated in Figure 1.1 (overleaf) and enclosed as Drawing 13-882-002.



FIGURE 1.1 SNAPSHOT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT




The purpose of this letter report is to document the historical desk based research in relation to the presence of an abandoned mine entry located on-site, subsequent investigations completed in accordance with the relevant Coal Authority Permit. This letter also includes the subsequent assessment of design risk and stabilisation requirements for the abandoned mine entry.

2. SYNOPSIS OF DESK BASED INFORMATION

The Coal Authority record one mine entry on-site, this could potentially have been used to access shallow workings in the Arley, Bradford Four Feet & Bradford Three Quarter Coal seams that are present beneath the site. A second mine entry is located to the west of the site. An extract of the Coal Authority search (ref: 51002224407001) dated January 2020 detailing the recorded position of abandoned mine entries is included in Figure 2.1 below.

FIGURE 1.1 EXTRACT OF COAL AUTHORITY SEARCH RECORDS



The on-site recorded mine entry 386399-007 is located in the central sector of the site with a reported maximum extent of radial departure of 8m (mispositioning from CA co-ordinates).



As part of a previous phase of intrusive Ground Investigation completed by RSK, an attempt to locate the shaft was made by a process of near surface trial trench excavations, however due to the presence of stockpiled material and the presence of deep Made Ground, it was not possible to locate the shaft.

It was therefore determined that it would be necessary to locate the shaft by a process of probe drilling to confirm its exact position, diameter and depth to inform a suitably robust geotechnical assessment of the shaft condition, likely potential for collapse and calculate the maximum radial zone of instability at the surface.

The off-site recorded mine entry 386399-004 could potentially be mispositioned, however as this is recorded on the 1950 Ordnance Survey map as being to the north of the canal, it is not possible that it could be mispositioned southwards as the canal forms a physical barrier. It is therefore considered that the CA recorded location is reasonably accurate, there is no realistic probability of the shaft being located closer to the subject site than recorded. Using a 60 degree angle of repose the shaft would pose no risk of subsidence influence in the event of a future collapse, therefore when considering the location of the canal as a physical barrier this risk to any on-site structures from instability at this feature should be discounted.

3. INTRUSIVE GROUND INVESTIGATION WORKS

3.1. SCOPE OF INTRUSIVE GROUND INVESTIGATION

A Ground Investigation has been designed based on the findings of a desk top Coal Mining Risk Assessment with exploratory probe holes advanced to confirm the presence of the CA recorded mine entry (Ref:386399-007).

3.2. SUMMARY OF GROUND INVESTIGATION WORKS

A series of rotary probe holes were advanced in a spiral at 1.0m spacings advancing from the CA recorded position 386298 399369 (NGR) for the mine entry 386399-007 in the central sector of site.

The works were completed by M&J Drilling in accordance with Coal Authority Permit 19640 (attached).

Probe drilling commenced on Monday 11th May under the supervision of an engineering geologist from E3P.

147 Probeholes were drilled in a spiral formation at 1.0m centres moving in a clockwise direction from the CA recorded shaft position using a 70mm drill bit and water flush.

The M&J drilling logs are attached to this letter.

Ground conditions are confirmed within the probeholes as superficial drift comprising glacial till which is predominantly cohesive clay soils with interbedded granular horizons with cobbles and boulders to a recorded depth of 25m below current ground level.

Previous cable percussion boreholes drilling the vicinity by RSK confirmed stiff high strength clay (glacial till) to a depth in excess of 13.0m.

At probehole position 147 made ground with very soft drilling was identified, this was interpreted by the experienced drilling team from M&J as a shaft extending through the superficial drift to ~26m.

An additional 6 probeholes were advanced through the shaft to confirm its location, diameter and depth.



The shaft was confirmed to be ~5.0m north of the CA recorded position and 1.5m west as indicated on the attached drawing (ref: 13-887-006) at surveyed position 386296 399376.

Probeholes drilled through the shaft extended to 31m where hard drilling in natural rock was confirmed. The exploratory investigations by M&J confirm the presence of a vertical shaft ~2.0m in diameter within the conjectured extent of radial departure which accords with the Coal Authority records for the site.

The shaft is terminated ~1.5m into the bedrock, it is therefore possible that the mine entry leads to shallow workings in the Bradford Four Feet sub-crop that is ~30m northeast of the proven shaft location. Equally, it may have been the case that the shaft was terminated due to a multitude of circumstances that are unknown.

3.2.1. SUMMARY OF MINE ENTRY INSTABILITY RISK

E3P has prepared a geotechnical risk assessment to determine the likely mode of failure, likely instability and possible extent of surface subsidence in the event of partial and total collapse.

The shaft condition has been appraised in accordance with the broad principals as outlined within CIRIA 758 Chapter 14 (Treatment of abandoned mine entries).

Given the shaft extends through 25m of glacial till, it is almost certain that this is a lined feature, which given the age is likely to be constructed from brick.

The exploratory drilling confirmed that the shaft is filled with unknown material to its base with no staging or voids identified.

Considering the site history, the shaft must have been infilled in the early 20th century, therefore any consolidation and settlement of the backfill would have been expected to occur, there is no surface distortion indicating consolidation of subsidence of the backfill.

Within Table 14.3 (CIRIA 758 Chapter 14), the minimum requirement for treatment related to situational risk for located features, the shaft will be located within an area deemed to be 'High Risk'. A high situational risk is deemed to be urban spaces, major roads, railway infrastructure, multiple dwellings and areas of high concentrations of people directly affected by the mine entry. The proposed development will include highways and dwellings with a high concentration of people.

The shaft is classified as 'filled with no evidence of movement'. The risk-based assessment therefore determined that the following action is required:

- 1) Investigate to assess condition;
- 2) Drill and grout and consider installation of reinforced concrete cap.
- 3) Install reinforced concrete cap at rockhead or piled reinforced concrete cap.

Shaft 386399-007 has been successfully located with its base proven and will be subject to pressure grouting in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA 758 and a pertinent Coal Authority Permission.

It will not be possible to construct a reinforced concrete cap at bedrock due to the depth of superficial drift deposits extending to ~25m.

The shaft is believed to have possibly been used to access workings in the Bradford Four Feet Coal, this is a thick viable seam which may have been worked in the past, therefore the transferal of structural loads to rockhead is not considered a technically viable solution given the potential for the collapse of workings to instigate pile failure.



In light of the above, it is suggested that a Grout Plug capping solution is utilised by drilling and injecting concrete at close centred positions to form an solidified block over the shaft and this mitigate any risk in the highly unlikely event of a future failure in the pressure grouted shaft of shaft lining.

The maximum radial zone of influence is calculated in accordance with the guidance and principals as set out within CIRIA 758. If a conservative angle of repose at 45° is applied the maximum radial extent of influence in the highly unlikely event of total collapse would be 26m from the centre of the proven shaft position.

The detailed geotechnical investigations confirm stiff high strength clay to >13m with a $C_u > 100$ KPa, within the deeper stratum granular horizons are recorded intermittently, with further glacial till overlying the weathered bedrock.

In due consideration of the proven ground conditions, it has been determined that a 60° safe angle of repose can applied in this situation, therefore in the event of total shaft collapse the maximum extent of radial influence would be 15.4m.

In due consideration of the shallow depth of the shaft, proven competent backfill and absence of staging of voiding in the feature and propose to pressure grout the shaft and construct a grout plug, it is considered that the post treated state of the shaft will pose little or no viable potential for future collapse that would result in distortion or subsidence to the surface.

3.2.2. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS

The post consolidated and stabilised state of the mine entry when pressure grouted to ensure no residual void and the construction of a grout plug cap will reduce the risk of any future consolidation of this feature.

To further inform our initial understanding of the worst case scenario in terms of residual risk, E3P has undertake a preliminary settlement analysis.

Background

As part of the enabling work for the proposed development at the former Manox site at Manchester, treatment works of the mine shaft (386399-007) at the site will be required.

In order to prevent future collapse, eliminate the ground settlement, shaft remediation works are to be undertaken including pressure grout of the shaft. Details are indicated in the earlier section of this E3P report (ref 13-887-L1, 01/06/2020).

Propose of primary geotechnical assessment

Unlike an active shaft (i.e. inside is empty/void), the current GI confirms that the shaft has been backfilled with soft cohesive materials. In addition, pressurised grout solution will be adopted to prevent any voids and future collapse in accordance with the essential requirements of CIRIA 758 and the Coal Authority site specific permit.

After the agreed treatment work, the potential for any significant ground movement in relation to the collapse consolidation or self-weight consolidation or shaft instability is considered low to negligible.

Accordingly, the primary geotechnical assessment is to initial estimate the approximate settlement directly associated with the applied load of the proposed residential property supported by reinforced concrete raft foundation.



Limitations and Assumptions

Based on the recent investigation, the inner diameter of the shaft is around 2.0m and is suspected to be supported by a perimeter brick wall. The surveyed position at the centre of the shaft is 386296E, 399376N.

The investigation also indicates that the shaft extends through 25m of Glacial Till and is terminated at around 1.5m into the bedrock. The shaft was original filled with soft cohesive materials and no evidence of collapse or depression at the surface level observed during the investigation.

Grout and plug

It is understood that the design and construction of grout inside the existing shafts and grout plug across the maximum radial extent of theoretical influence in a total collapse situation will be undertaken by specialist grouting contractor.

The shaft (below the bedrock) will be treated by pressure grouting. It is assumed that the pressure grout will fill in any voids or existing collapses (if any). Based on above treatment works, it is assumed that no future collapse will occur.

The strength property of the original infill is unknown. In addition, the strength property of pressure grout treated fill within the shaft is also unknown.

It is assumed that the pressured grout treated fill to be firm cohesive material within minimum undrained shear strength, C_u , of 50kPa.

The coefficient of volumetric compressibility, m_v , is assumed to be $0.2 \text{ m}^2/\text{MN}$ ($= 10/C_u$). This is generally agreed with soil stiffness E_s of 5 MN/m^2 suggested in BRE Building in Fill: Geotechnical Aspects ($m_v = 1/E_s = 1/5 = 0.2 \text{ m}^2/\text{MN}$).

Applied loading

A uniform vertical applied pressure of 30kPa is assumed at the underside of the raft footing.

Geometry constrains


Model 1

Although the entire raft over the natural ground, as well as the shaft is a 3D situation, in order to simplify the assessment, a 2D representative cross section has been adopted.

In addition, a highly conservative view is taken assuming the treated shaft is immediately below the footing, the residual force in the treated fill will be confined within the shaft surrounded within the perimeter wall.


Accordingly, the pressure will be in line with one dimensional consolidation extended to an influence zone of $2.5 \times$ shaft diameter (see sketch below). The contribution from the perimeter brick wall and its shaft resistance is ignored.





Model 2

This model is adopted for sensitivity check. Unlike Model 1, the perimeter brick wall is being ignored based on the worst case assumption of severe damage of the perimeter brick wall. Based on the above scenario, the applied pressure will be able to transfer deeper into the treated fill. If based on a 15m x 15m raft (assumed), the stress influence zone will be anticipated to be the full depth of superficial deposits above the bedrock (i.e. $2.5 \times B = 2.5 \times 15 = 37.5 > 25\text{m}$).



Settlement due to Structural Loading

Based on Model 1 – brick lining in function

In this case, the residual load can only be transfer to around 2.5 x shaft wall diameter inside the treated fill.

The settlement of the treated fill within the shaft under the structural loading is estimated using the one-dimensional consolidation approach:

$$S = dP \times mv \times dH$$

where

dP = 30kPa (uniform pressure from the underside of the raft footing (refer to Section 2.3)

mv = 0.2 m²/MN (refer to Section 2.2)

dH = 5.0m (refer to Section 2.4)

$$S = dP \times mv \times dH = 30 \times 0.2 \times 5 = 30\text{mm (total settlement)}$$

It is anticipated 30% to be immediately and 70% to be long term settlement over the design life.

Note: Outside the raft, the total settlement is anticipated to be up to 25mm in accordance with the normal design allowance of the residential housings.

Based on Model 2 – assuming no brick lining

In this case, the residual load can be transmitted deeper and the value reduces with depth.

The settlement of the treated fill within the shaft under the structural loading is estimated using the one-dimensional consolidation approach:

$$S = dP \times mv \times dH$$

where

mv = 0.2 m²/MN (refer to Section 2.2)

dP = residual pressure decreases with depth, determine from the total pressure of 30kPa and ratio of depth to footing (refer to Tomlinson 2001 Figure 2.24).

Ground strata	Top of layer (m FDL)	Centre of layer (m FDL)	Layer thickness (m)	dH (m)	z/B	L/B	Ratio of σ_z/p Note 1	dp (kPa)	mv (m ² /MN)	S _{oed} (mm)
Fill	0	2.5	5	2.5	0.17	1.0	0.80	24.0	0.20	24.00
Fill	5	10	10	10	0.67	1.0	0.40	12.0	0.20	24.00
Fill	15	20	10	20	1.33	1.0	0.20	6.0	0.20	12.00
									Sum	60.00

Note 1: refer to Tomlinson 2001 Fig 2.24

The calculation indicates the settlement in the fill is likely to be around 60mm. It should be note that this is based on the footing is a rigid footing.

If the footing is considered a flexible footing and the pressure is unable to dissipate horizontally, the settlement will be more than above figure.

Following the above, if the average residual pressure is 50% the entire treated fill, the settlement of treated fill is to be

$$S = dP \times mv \times dH = (30 \times 0.5) \times 0.2 \times 25 = 75\text{mm (total settlement)}$$



Conclusion

Based on the preliminary assessment, it is anticipated the settlement of treated fill within the shaft to be around 30mm under the applied pressure of 30kPa from the raft. Based on the assumption of shaft has been adequately treated, and perimeter brick lining is stable, the risk of collapse settlement, self weight settlement are considered negligible.

A sensitivity check has also been undertaken based on the assumption of the existing lining brick wall being severe damage. In this case, the residual pressure will be extended deeper into the treated fill. The calculation (refer to Section 3.0 Model 2) indicates the settlement in the fill can be 60mm and 75mm for a rigid and a flexible footing respectively.

In order to prevent excessive differential settlement inside and outside the shaft, geogrid should be utilised. In addition, the footing should be arranged to prevent one corner being immediately above the shaft.

The soil properties in above calculation should be verified prior to the construction by further investigation. It is suggested hand shear vane test and plate bearing load test as minimum to be undertaken to verify and validate the properties of the treated fill.

I trust this information is satisfactory to your requirements, and should I be able to be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

For and on behalf of E3P Ltd




Maritn Dyer
Director

Enc:

E3P Drawings
M&J Drilling Logs
Coal Authority Permit





Location Symbols Approximate Mineshaft Location Approximate Coal Authority Recorded Mineshaft Location 10m Radial Standoff 15m Radial Standoff 20m Radial Standoff 25m Radial Standoff 26m Radial Standoff 15m Radial Standoff - 60° Angle of Repose Approximate Location of Coal Seam	Notes: <table><tr><td>P1</td><td>R3</td><td>03.06.2020</td><td>REVISION</td><td>HM</td><td>MD</td></tr><tr><td>P1</td><td>R2</td><td>02.06.2020</td><td>REVISION</td><td>HM</td><td>MD</td></tr><tr><td>P1</td><td>R1</td><td>01.06.2020</td><td>REVISION</td><td>HM</td><td>MD</td></tr><tr><td>P1</td><td>-</td><td>20.01.2020</td><td>DRAFT</td><td>HM</td><td>MD</td></tr><tr><td>Phase</td><td>Revision</td><td>Date</td><td>Issue</td><td>Drawn</td><td>Checked</td></tr></table>	P1	R3	03.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD	P1	R2	02.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD	P1	R1	01.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD	P1	-	20.01.2020	DRAFT	HM	MD	Phase	Revision	Date	Issue	Drawn	Checked	Client: Engie	Job No: 13-887 Drawing No: 004	Date: 03.06.2020 Scale: NTS	e3p Environmental Engineering Partnerships Ltd City Heliport & Business Centre Eccles, Manchester, M30 7RU Tel: 0161 707 9612 E-mail: info@e3p.co.uk Website: www.e3p.co.uk
	P1	R3	03.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD																													
	P1	R2	02.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD																													
P1	R1	01.06.2020	REVISION	HM	MD																														
P1	-	20.01.2020	DRAFT	HM	MD																														
Phase	Revision	Date	Issue	Drawn	Checked																														
		Job Title: Manox	Drawing Title: Mineshaft Stand-Off Plan	The client must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by E3P Ltd without permission in writing from E3P Ltd in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, E3P Ltd shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or intellectual property.																															

DAILY SITE RECORD

BH	DEPTH		CASING	FORMATION	GROUTING			
	FROM	TO			PFA	CEMENT	SAND	OTHER
				<u>PROBE DRILLING FOR</u> <u>MINE SHAFT</u>				
				10 HOLES AT 1 METER CENTRES				
	GL	25m		DRIFT WITH BOULDERS AND GRAVELS				
	25m	26m		HARD DRILLING				
				TOTAL = 260 METERS				
				DRILLING HOLES USING 70mm BUTTON BIT AND WATER FLUSH				

[illegible][illegible]

M&J

M&J

M&J

M&J

M&J

DAILY SITE RECORD

SITE / JOB No.	FORMER MANOX SITE MANCHESTER	DAY / DATE	WEDNESDAY 13TH MAY 2020	DRILLER	R BENNETT
-------------------	---------------------------------	---------------	----------------------------	---------	-----------

[illegible]

REMARKS:	GAS MONITORING		
	CH ₄ : 0 % LEL	H ₂ S: 0 PPM	
	CO : 0 PPM	O ₂ : 21.1 %	
NOTES:			
..... R.E./SUPERVISOR			

[illegible]

[illegible]

REMARKS:	GAS MONITORING	
	CH ₄ : 1 % LEL	H ₂ S : 0 PPM
	CO : 0 PPM	O ₂ : 20.9 %
	NOTES:	
		 R.E./SUPERVISOR

[illegible]

SITE / JOB No.	FORMER MANOX SITE MANCHESTER	DAY / DATE	FRIDAY 15TH MAY 2020	DRILLER	R BENNET
-------------------	---------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------	----------

BH	DEPTH		CASING	FORMATION	GROUTING			
	FROM	TO			PFA	CEMENT	SAND	OTHER
				<u>PROBE DRILLING FOR</u> <u>MINE SHAFT</u>				
				25 HOLES AT 1 METER CENTRES				
	GL	25m		DRIFT WITH BOULDERS AND GRAVELS				
	25m	26m		HARD DRILLING				
				TOTAL = 650 METERS				
				DRILLING HOLES USING 70MM BOTTOM BIT AND WATER FLUSH				

[illegible][illegible]

BH	DEPTH		CASING	FORMATION	GROUTING			
	FROM	TO			PFA	CEMENT	SAND	OTHER
				<u>PROBE DRILLING FOR</u> <u>MINE SHAFT</u>				
	GL	25m		DRIFT, WITH BOULDERS AND GRAVELS				
	25m	26m		HARD DRILLING				
				25 HOLES AT 1 METER CENTRES TO LOCATE MINE SHAFT				
				HOLES DRILLED USING 70MM BUTTON BIT AND WATER FLUSH				
				TOTAL = 650 METRES				

[illegible][illegible]

BH	DEPTH		CASING	FORMATION	GROUTING			
	FROM	TO			PFA	CEMENT	SAND	OTHER
				<u>PROBE DRILLING FOR</u> <u>MINE SHAFT</u>				
				12 HOLES AT 1 METER CENTRES TO LOCATE SHAFT				
	GL	25m		DRIFT WITH BOULDERS AND GRAVELS				
	25m	26m		HARD DRILLING				
				6 HOLES INTO SHAFT				
	GL	20m		SOFT DRILLING				
	20m	26m		SOFT WITH FIRM BANDS				
	26m	31m		HARD DRILLING				
				TOTAL = 498mtrs				

[illegible][illegible]

5CM


SCALE WITH CAUTION AS DISTORTION CAN OCCUR

DRG FRAME REF QC 1.1/5A

5CM

IRON STREET

COLESHILL STREET



M & J Drilling Services Ltd - Probing Record

Job No.

Drilled By

Scale

Job Title

FORMER MANOX SITE
MANCHESTER

Date

R BENNETT

1:125

19TH MAY 2020

BH	DEPTH		CASING	FORMATION	GROUTING			
	FROM	TO			PFA	CEMENT	SAND	OTHER
				<u>PROBE DRILLING FOR</u> <u>MINE SHAFT</u>				
				12 HOLES AT 1 METER CENTRES TO LOCATE SHAFT				
	GL	25m		DRIFT WITH BOULDERS AND GRAVELS				
	25m	26m		HARD DRILLING				
				6 HOLES INTO SHAFT				
	GL	20m		SOFT DRILLING				
	20m	26m		SOFT WITH FIRM BANDS				
	26m	31m		HARD DRILLING				
				TOTAL = 498mtrs				

[illegible][illegible]

5CM


SCALE WITH CAUTION AS DISTORTION CAN OCCUR

DRG FRAME REF QC 1.1/5A

5CM

IRON STREET

COLESHILL STREET



M & J Drilling Services Ltd - Probing Record

Job No.

Drilled By

Scale

Job Title

Date

R BENNETT

1:125

FORMER MANOX SITE
MANCHESTER

19TH MAY 2020



The Coal
Authority

Permit to Enter or Disturb Coal Authority Interests

Permit 19640

Name and Address of Permit Holder:

Engie
14 The Quays
Salford
Manchester
M50 3BF

Site Location:

Former Manox Site
Flat 1 Sycamore Court
Sandal Street
Manchester
M40 7BS

This certificate hereby grants the above named Permit Holder a Permit to carry out:-

A ground investigation comprising 16 boreholes to 50m to determine presence of shallow mine workings and drilling in a spiral pattern to locate 1 recorded mine entry (Coal Authority Ref. 386399-007) all within the Authority's interests at the identified site location above as shown on the Grant Permit Boundary (overleaf) for the period of 12 months from the granted date shown below. The granting of this Permit does not constitute advice given by the Authority in relation to the proposed operations. It is the Permit Holder's responsibility to obtain appropriate health, safety, environmental, technical and legal advice.

Conditions:

- *Water flush drilling exclusively.*
- *Gas Monitoring CO, CH₄, CO₂, O₂, H₂S at borehole and rig*
- *Operators undertaking the work must be in possession of this certificate and the Permit boundary plan at the time of works*

Signed: Leigh Sharpe Granted Date: 11/02/2020

For and on behalf of The Coal Authority

Nominated Representative: Leigh Sharpe, Permitting Manager;

The Coal Authority, Permitting Office, 200 Lichfield Lane, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4RG

Tel: 01623 637450; E-Mail: permissions@coal.gov.uk




The Coal
Authority

Granted Permit Boundary

Permit Ref: 19640

Permit Boundary:



These maps are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. The Coal Authority. Licence No: 100020315. [216]