

TREE RISK ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE



SURVEYOR: Matthew Lally
DATE: 16/05/2022
PROJECT ADDRESS: 59 Daisy Bank Road
PROJECT REF: LTM0514.VTA.01

Tree No.	T/G/W/H	Species	Height (m)	Age Class	Condition	Comments	Recommendations	Priority	Next Survey (months)	RISK ASSESSMENT OF	TARGET TYPE	Mx TARGETS	TARGET	SIZE	POF	MASS %	ROH
1	T	Cherry	16 to 20	M	B	Codominant form. Multiple stem wounds from historical crown lifting, showing signs of occluding. Stem canker at 4m. No evidence of decay in stems or at base. No evidence of instability. Vitality is good. Twig proliferation in some areas of the crown.	No action	-	36	Stem failure at wounding sites	H	1	2	2	6	100%	=1/1M
2	T	Whitebeam	11 to 15	M	A	Good vitality. Asymmetrical crown due to adjacent building. Codominant stems present. No evidence of cavities or decay. Tree appears stable.	No action	-	36	RISK <1/1M, CALCULATION NOT REQUIRED							
3	G	Cherry x 3	11 to 15	EM	B	A group of 3 trees located in rear lawn area. Stem canker at 4m but of little concern. No evidence of decay cavities or instability. Acceptable condition.	No action	-	36	Stem failure at canker	H	1	2	3	6	100%	=1/1M
4	T	Sycamore	11 to 15	M	C	In extensive decline. Kretschmaria at base	Remove	3	n/a	Total tree failure	V	1	2	1	2	100%	1/400

Tree risk assessment, identifying tree no.4 (sycamore) as needing to removed due to disease

Immediate - Action should be taken immediately. No Delay

High - Work should be undertaken as soon as possible

Moderate - Work should be implemented in a programme of works

Low - Work that would benefit the trees or other issues. Work not considered essential but should be implemented if funding becomes available.