

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no.	135782/NMC/2022
Date registered valid:	19 December 2022
Proposal:	Non-material amendments to planning permission 131793/FH/2021 to allow for an increase in the width of the front door.
Address:	9 Portstone Street, Manchester, M16 7LY
Ward(s):	Moss Side Ward
Applicant:	Mr Williams 9 Portstone Street, Manchester, M16 7LY
Agent (if any):	Mr Mohamed Ahmed M1 Architecture Ltd 322 Platt Lane, Manchester, M14 7DA
Case officer:	David Lawless Tel: 0161 234 4543 Email: david.lawless@manchester.gov.uk
Recommendation:	Approve
Date of recommendation:	22/12/22

Application details

Type of application: Non-Material Change Application

Development type: Notifications

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

No neighbour notifications have been printed and sent.

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building/area

9 Portstone Street is a 2 storey end terraced dwellinghouse. The property is of brick construction with a dual pitch roof. There are large gardens to the front and rear. The front garden being fully paved and used for parking. The side gable is at the back of footpath. The area is predominantly residential in nature.

Listed building: no

Conservation area: no

Tree Preservation Order: no

Description of development

The applicant obtained the following planning permission in November 2021:

131793/FH/2021 - Erection of a two storey front extension to form additional living accommodation

The applicant is applying for a non-material amendment to the planning permission as they wish to widen the front door.

Policies

National Planning Policy Framework July 2021 (NPPF) – The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied. It provides a framework within which locally-prepared plans for housing and other development can be produced. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, i.e. the Core Strategy Development Plan Document and accompanying policies, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The National Planning Policy Framework is a material consideration in planning decisions.

Paragraph 11 states that plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which for decision-taking means:

- approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or
- where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:
 - i. the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
 - ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.

Core Strategy Development Plan Document – The Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012 -2027 ("the Core Strategy") was adopted by the City Council on 11th July 2012. It is the key document in Manchester's Local Development Framework. The Core Strategy replaces significant elements of the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) as the document that sets out the long term strategic planning policies for Manchester's future development.

A number of UDP policies have been saved until replaced by further development plan documents to accompany the Core Strategy. Planning applications in Manchester must be decided in accordance with the Core Strategy, saved UDP policies and other Local Development Documents. Relevant policies in the Core Strategy are detailed below:

Policy SP1, *Spatial Principles* – Development in all parts of the City should make a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice including creating well designed places that enhance or create character and protect and enhance the built and natural environment.

Policy DM1, *Development Management* – This policy states that all development should have regard to a number of issues, in this instance the most relevant are considered as follows:-

- Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials and detail.
- Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.
- Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation. This could also include proposals which would be sensitive to existing environmental conditions, such as noise.

Policy DC1, *Residential Extensions*. – Policy DC1.1 states that in determining planning applications for extensions to residential properties, the Council will have regard to:

- a) the general character of the property;
- b) the effect upon the amenity of neighbouring occupiers;
- c) the desirability of enabling people to adapt their houses in appropriate ways to meet changing household needs;
- d) the overall appearance of the proposal in the street-scene;
- e) the effect of the loss of any on-site carparking.

Policy DC1.2 states that extensions to residential properties will be allowed subject to compliance with other relevant policies of the Plan and the following criteria:

- a) they are not excessively large or bulky (for example, resulting in structures which are not subservient to original houses or project out too far in front of the original buildings);
- b) they do not create an undue loss of sunlight, daylight or privacy;
- c) they are not out of character with the style of development in the area or the surrounding street scene by virtue of design, use of materials or constructional details;
- d) they would not result in the loss of off-street car-parking, in a situation where there is so severe an existing on-street parking problem that unacceptable additional pressures would be created.

Policy DC1.3 states that notwithstanding the generality of the above policies, the Council will not normally approve:

- a) rearward extensions greater than 3.65m (12 ft) in length;
- b) 2-storey extensions with a flat roof, particularly those which would be visible from the public highway;
- c) 2-storey extensions to terraced properties which occupy the full width of the house;
- d) flat roofed extensions to bungalows;
- e) extensions which conflict with the Council's guidelines on privacy distances (which are published as supplementary guidance).

Policy DC1.4 states that in considering proposals for 2-storey side extensions, the Council will have regard to the general guidance above and also to supplementary guidance to be issued. In particular, the Council will seek to ensure that:

- a) the development potential of the gap between detached and semi-detached houses is capable of being shared equally by the owners or occupiers of the two properties concerned;
- b) the actual or potential result of building the extension will not be the creation of a terracing effect, where this would be unsympathetic to the character of the street as a whole;
- c) the actual or potential result of building the extension will not be the creation of a very narrow gap between the properties, or any other unsatisfactory visual relationships between elements of the buildings involved.

As a guide, and without prejudice to the generality of this policy, the Council will normally permit 2-storey house extensions which, when built, would leave a minimum of 1.52m (5 ft) between the side wall and the common boundary, and which meet the other requirements of this policy. Proposals which cannot meet these requirements will be judged on their merits, but with weight being given to (a) and (c) above.

Issues

Principle of the Proposal – The principle of the development is considered acceptable, particularly given the previous consent. Notwithstanding this, consideration must be given to the potential impact of the amendments upon existing levels of residential and visual amenity enjoyed within the vicinity of the site.

Design – The design of the proposed extension is still considered acceptable and compatible with the existing property.

Residential Amenity – It is not considered that the proposed widening of the front door would have a detrimental impact upon the existing levels of residential amenity enjoyed by the occupants of the adjoining dwellings.

Visual Amenity – Given the design of the proposal extension it is not considered that the proposed amendment would have a detrimental impact upon the levels of visual amenity enjoyed within the vicinity of the site.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) The details of the proposed amendments are:

a) Widening of the front door

2) Following an assessment of the details submitted, it is confirmed that the proposed amendments are considered to be of a non-material nature and are considered to be acceptable to the City Council as the Local Planning Authority. The City Council is satisfied that the proposed changes will have no material impact upon the levels of residential and visual amenity and pedestrian and highway safety enjoyed within the vicinity of the site.

3) Condition 2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings:

a) Drawing nos. 2239 01 and 02, stamped as received on 19 December 2022.

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans. Pursuant to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4

Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 90M

Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -

Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Middle) -

Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA