

135908/TEL/2023

Graham Peart <graham@grahampeart.co.uk>

Mon 2023-02-06 8:28 PM

To: Adam Mitchell <Adam.Mitchell@manchester.gov.uk>

Cc: Planning <Planning@manchester.gov.uk>

Some people who received this message don't often get email from graham@grahampeart.co.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Sirs, Application Corner Cranmer Foad/ Fog Lane Telecommunications Mast

Reference to the above application:

1. the applicant states that other sites have been discounted as they are in residential area. The location applied for is a residential area.
2. the applicant states that other locations have been discounted due to 'unsuitable pavements' ; but do not particularise what they consider to be ' unsuitable'
3. The proposed location is not close to the applicant's ideal location
4. The proposed location is not an ' ideal fit' as it is some way from where they would wish the mast to be
5. A mast in another location would give a better coverage
6. Presumably ,the applicant could erect the mast in a more suitable location that is commercial .
7. the applicant should consider and examine commercial locations which would provide better coverage.
8. Local Councillors consider that ,if the application is not withdrawn, the application should; be considered by the Planning Committee.
9. Local Councillors have requested a on- site meeting with Planning Officers to look at the application
10. Local Councillors have asked for a meeting with the Developer to discuss more suitable locations.
11. We trust that the Proposal be dealt with by delegated Authority will not proceed ; but be referred to the Planning Committee.
12. This will give the Developer time to ' think again' and hopefully meet with Local Councillors to discuss more suitable locations on a rational basis.

Yours faithfully

Graham Peart
Essex House
Essex Avenue
Didsbury
Manchester
M20 6AN