

Comments for Planning Application 135675/FO/2022

Application Summary

Application Number: 135675/FO/2022

Address: Tariff Street Manchester

Proposal: Erection of two residential apartment buildings (Use Class C3) comprising Block 1 -part 9, part 10 (plus roof level amenity block) and Block 2- 12 storey building (comprising of 267 dwellings in total) above basement levels with ground floor commercial units (Use Class E (excluding E (b)), associated residents amenity space, cycle parking, landscaping, access, street loading and other associated works following demolition of the existing building and structures on site

Case Officer: Angela Leckie

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We live in one of the [REDACTED], with our windows facing Tarrif St. we have owned the property since 2020 and lived here as tenants for ten years previous to this, when there was a single story building on the proposed site. As a grade 2 listed warehouse conversion, we only have one small window in each of the two rooms that get any daylight in the flat (living room and bedroom, the hallway and bathroom have no windows). The nature of the elevation of the grounds outside the window plus the brick wall surrounding the property mean that light is already limited in the apartment. We are incredibly concerned about the proposed development which will significantly block out the modest amount of natural light we get at present. We understand the importance of the SRF and the development of the area. However, having read the updated daylight report, it is clear the findings are based on averages across the building. We believe the specific position of our flat in the warehouse and our already limited daylight will mean we will be disproportionately impacted by this development, bringing our light levels to an unacceptable level. Therefore we strongly object to the proposed development, believing that the height of the proposed block is inappropriate for the area and should be reconsidered in relation to the apartments which have been on the street for over two decades.