

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no.	136684/LE/2023
Date registered valid:	5 April 2023
Proposal:	Use of property as a small House in Multiple Occupation (Class C4)
Address:	27 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
Ward(s):	Moss Side Ward
Applicant:	David Hill and Ian Rowe
Agent (if any):	Stephen Lamb Steve Lamb
Case officer:	Robert Tyrer Tel: 0161 234 4068 Email: robert.tyrer@manchester.gov.uk
Recommendation:	GRANT
Date of recommendation:	30 May 2023

Application details

Type of application: Certificate Lawful Development Existing

Development type: Certificates of lawfulness

Date of site visit: None Required

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 25 April 2023 Expired: 16 May 2023

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The application site relates to a mid terraced two storey dwellinghouse. The property has a small rear yard and a tiny front garden.

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable

Description of area

Application site is located within a suburban area of Manchester comprising of mostly residential uses interspersed with areas of formal open space, educational establishments and places of worship.

Description of development

The applicant is seeking a Certificate of Existing Lawful Development for use of the property as a small House in Multiple Occupation (Class C4)

Consultations & Notification Responses

Publicity – Notification letters were sent to nearby residential properties, one representation was received objecting to the certificate of lawfulness.

No representations have been received.

Issues

Legislative Issues

Sections 191 and 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 provide for anyone (not just a person with a legal interest in the land) to apply to the local planning authority (LPA) for a lawful development certificate (LDC). A certificate is a statutory document certifying:

(1) in the case of an application under section 191, the lawfulness, for planning purposes, of existing operations on, or use of land, or some activity being carried out in breach of a planning condition; or

(2) in the case of an application under section 192, the lawfulness of proposed operations on, or use of land.

The onus of proof in regard to (1) is firmly on the applicant and the issue of a certificate will depend on the on factual evidence provided about the history and planning status of the building or other land and the interpretation of any relevant planning law or judicial authority. The relevant test of the evidence in this matter is "the balance of probability" and the applicants own evidence does not need to be corroborated by independent evidence. If the LPA have no evidence to contradict the applicants version of events or make them less than probable, there is no good reason to refuse the issue of a certificate.

In regard to (2), this provides that, if the LPA are supplied with information satisfying them that the use or operations described in the application would be lawful, if instituted or begun at the time of the application, they shall issue a certificate to that effect; and, in any other case, they shall refuse the application.

In short, the purpose section 192 is to enable any person who wishes to find out whether any proposed use or operations would be lawful to apply to the LPA for that purpose

The application needs to describe the proposal with sufficient clarity and precision to enable the LPA to understand (from a written description and plans) exactly what is involved in the proposal; and to submit whatever supporting information or legal submission that are to be relied upon in order to satisfy the LPA that a certificate should be granted for the proposal.

In making their decision on an application under section 192, the LPA ask themselves the hypothetical question - "If this proposed change of use had already occurred, or if this proposed operation had commenced, on the application date, would it have been lawful for planning purposes?" In doing so, the LPA will not only consider whether the proposal would involve "development" requiring an application for planning permission, but whether it would involve a breach of any existing condition or limitation imposed on a grant of planning permission which has been acted upon, and which therefore constrains what can be done on the land. The LPA will not make a proposal fit a scenario; the only question is whether the proposal made by the applicant is lawful at the time of submission.

The Application

This application is pursued under subsection (1) of section 191 which provides for an application to determine whether a specified existing use, operation, or failure to comply with a planning condition or limitation, which has already been carried out on land is lawful for planning purposes

In a case of this type, the onus is on the applicant to substantiate their case, on the balance of probability. The applicant needs to demonstrate that the use as a small house in multiple occupation (Class C4) commenced more than ten years prior to the date of the application (i.e. by 5 April 2013) and continued without a significant break for ten years.

Assessment

The evidence provided by the applicant includes a summary of the occupation along with the short-term tenancy agreements from the year 2012/13 up until the 2022/23. The agreements generally cover an annual letting period tied to the academic year, apart from the 30 July 2019 to 1 November 2019 which the applicant explains that the property was unoccupied whilst renovations took place. The tenancy agreement for the year 2013/14 has not been provided as the accompanying statement says that it is “not available”, in its place bank statements have been provided which shows that the lead tenant paid the rent.

An email from Council’s Revenues and Benefits Officer also confirms that from the Council’s records, the property has been in continuous occupation by at least 3 people from 2012 to 2018. The tenancy agreements show that the multiple occupancy has actually continued until the present.

1st November 2019 to 1st October 2021 there were between 3 and 4 tenants and the management was undertaken by Micromedia Ltd, a property management company which paid the Council tax. The applicant believes this explains the reference in letter from Council’s Revenues, it should be noted the name Mircomedia Ltd appear on the tenancy agreements.

The property appears to have occupied between 3 and 5 unrelated individuals over the 10 year qualifying period. The LPA has no evidence to contradict the applicant’s version of events or make them less than probable.

Conclusion - From the information that has been provided it is considered, that on the balance of probabilities, the rooms comprising the property had been let to individual tenants with access to shared facilities. It is therefore considered that the premises were in use as a small house in multiple occupancy (C4 use) for at least 10 years and this use has subsequently continued accommodating 5 persons.

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: GRANT

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) From the evidence available, and with reference to the relevant planning legislation, Manchester City Council is satisfied that the use is lawful by reason of the said use having been in existence for a period of 10 years up to the date of this application.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

37 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
29 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
25 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
17 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
28 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
Flat 2, 30 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
Flat 1, 30 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
7 Fairbank Avenue, Manchester, M14 4PU
5 Fairbank Avenue, Manchester, M14 4PU
32 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
24 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
33 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
30 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
26 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
22 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
20 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DL
35 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
31 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
23 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
21 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
19 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ
15 Haydn Avenue, Manchester, M14 4DJ

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 90M
Regulation 7 Direction (Control Advert) - Control Of To Let Boards (Moss Side)
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA