



Stantec UK Limited
Tower 12, 18/22 Bridge Street
Spinningfields
Manchester
M3 3BZ
UNITED KINGDOM

28th September 2023

Project/File: 35664/A3/LC/HW

Development Management
Planning, Licensing and Building Control
Growth and Development Directorate
Manchester City Council
P O Box 532
Manchester
M60 2LA

Dear Sir/Madam,

**RE: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCREENING REQUEST IN RELATION TO THE
DEMOLITION OF THE FORMER RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS AT 2 BANNER WALK, 62-74 & 162-174
GREY MARE LANE AND 2 TURNPIKE WALK, BESWICK, MANCHESTER**

We hereby submit a formal request to Manchester City Council, as the Local Planning Authority (LPA), to adopt an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Screening Opinion in relation to the proposed demolition of the vacant residential buildings ('proposed development') at 2 Banner Walk, 62-74 & 162-174 Grey Mare Lane and 2 Turnpike Walk, Beswick, Manchester ('the Site').

This request is pursuant to Regulation 6 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (hereafter referred to as 'the 2017 Regulations'). One Manchester are seeking confirmation from the LPA that an EIA is not required.

Accompanying Information

As required by Regulation 6(2) of the Regulations as amended, this letter contains:

- a) *a plan sufficient to identify the land;*
- b) *a description of the development, including in particular—*
 - (i) *a description of the physical characteristics of the development and, where relevant, of demolition works;*
 - (ii) *a description of the location of the development, with particular regard to the environmental sensitivity of geographical areas likely to be affected;*
- c) *a description of the aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the development;*
- d) *to the extent the information is available, a description of any likely significant effects of the proposed development on the environment resulting from—*
 - (i) *the expected residues and emissions and the production of waste, where relevant; and*
 - (ii) *the use of natural resources, in particular soil, land, water and biodiversity; and*
- e) *such other information or representations as the person making the request may wish to provide or make, including any features of the proposed development or any measures envisaged to avoid or prevent what might otherwise have been significant adverse effects on the environment.*

This letter should also be read alongside the following documents and plans submitted to the LPA in support of the Prior Approval application for the proposed development:

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

- Location Plan (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(01)002 Rev B).
- Demolition Plan 1 of 3 – 2 Banner Walk (8782-BA-XX-0-DR-A-(04)003 Rev C).
- Demolition Plan 2 of 3 – 62-74 & 162-174 Grey Mare Lane (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)004 Rev C).
- Demolition Plan 3 of 3 – 2 Turnpike Walk (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)005 Rev C).
- Post Demolition Plan 1 of 3 – 2 Banner Walk (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)006 Rev E).
- Post Demolition Plan 2 of 3 – 62-74 & 162-174 Grey Mare Lane (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)007 Rev E).
- Post Demolition Plan 3 of 3 – 2 Turnpike Walk (8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)008 Rev E).
- Bat Scoping Survey – 2 Banner Walk prepared by UES.
- Bat Scoping Survey – 2 Turnpike Walk prepared by UES.
- Bat Scoping Survey - 62-74 & 162-174 Grey Mare Lane prepared by UES.
- Bat Presence/Absence Survey – 2 Banner Walk prepared by UES.
- Bat Presence/Absence Survey – 2 Turnpike Walk prepared by UES.
- Bat Presence/Absence Survey - 62-74 & 162-174 Grey Mare Lane prepared by UES.
- Process Manual for the Demolition of Dwellings prepared by Perses.

Site and Surroundings

The Site comprises three vacant residential plots on the Grey Mare Lane Estate in Beswick, Manchester. A description of each plot is set out below.

2 Banner Walk

2 Banner Walk is located in the south west corner of the Grey Mare Lane Estate. The plot is 0.07 hectares (ha) in size and is bound by Banner Walk to the north and east, Albert Street to the south and Pilgrim Drive to the west.

The plot is occupied by a 'H' shaped two storey block of flats connected by a central corridor and staircase. There are four flats at ground floor level and four flats at first floor level. All the flats are vacant. A lawn surrounds its perimeter, and the boundary is defined by black hooped railings. Access is via a paved walkway from Albert Street. There is also a tree in the north east corner of the plot.

The area surrounding this plot is characterised by low rise residential development to the north with low rise residential development and The East Manchester Academy to the south and east and The Grange Community Centre and Bradford Park to the west.

2 Turnpike Walk

2 Turnpike Walk is located to the south of the Grey Mare Lane Estate. The plot is 0.06ha in size and is bound by public footpaths to the north and west, Rouse Close to the east and Albert Street to the south.

Like 2 Banner Walk, the plot is occupied by a 'H' shaped two storey block of flats connected by a central corridor and staircase. There are four flats at ground floor level and four flats at first floor level. All the flats are vacant. A lawn surrounds its perimeter, and the boundary is defined by black hooped railings. Access is via a paved walkway from Albert Street. There are also two trees in the south west corner of the plot.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

The area surrounding this plot is characterised by low rise residential development to the north and west, with the Church of Resurrection & St Barnabas and a convenience store to the east and The East Manchester Academy to the south.

62-74 Grey Mare Lane and 162-174 Grey Mare Lane

62-74 Grey Mare Lane and 162-174 Grey Mare Lane are contained within a single residential building located centrally in the Grey Mare Lane Estate. The plot is 0.12ha in size and is bound by Hopedale Close to the north, Grey Mare Lane to the east, Raglan Close to the south and Cairn Walk to the west.

The building is three storeys in height and comprises seven flats at ground floor level (no. 62-74) and seven maisonettes at first and second floor level (no. 162-174). All the residential units are vacant. The first-floor maisonettes are accessed via an external walkway either from a stairwell at the northern end of the building or a ramp at the southern end of the building. Each of the ground floor flats has a private front and back gardens bound by railings with trees, shrubs and hedges to the rear.

The area surrounding this plot is characterised by low rise residential development to the east and west, with a convenience store to the south and vacant land to the north.

Environmental Designations

The Site does not include or form part of the setting of any designated or non-designated heritage assets. The nearest designated heritage assets to the Site are a cluster of Grade II listed structures associated with the Ashton Canal located approximately 650m to the north of Grey Mare Lane. These include Lock Number 6, Bridge Number 9, Lock number 7, and Ashton Canal Lock Keepers Cottage. Clayton Hall moated site is the nearest scheduled monument, located approximately 1.1km to the east of Grey Mare Lane.

There are no ecological or landscape designations that affect the Site and each plot sits within Flood Zone 1 on the Environment Agency Flood Risk Map for Planning. Ashton New Road (A662) to the north and Alan Turing Way (A6010) to the east of the Grey Mare Lane Estate form part of the Manchester Air Quality Management Area.

Proposed Development

The proposed development includes the demolition of the former residential buildings at 2 Turnpike Walk, 2 Banner Walk and 62-74 and 162-174 Grey Mare Lane.

The location of the buildings to be demolished are edged in red on the Site Location Plan (drawing 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(01)002 Rev B) and Proposed Demolition Plans (drawing's 8782-BA-XX-0-DR-A-(04)003 Rev C, 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)004 Rev C and 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)005 Rev C).

The methodology and management of the proposed demolition works is explained in '*The Process Manual for the Demolition of Dwellings*' document prepared by Perses Limited. It includes details relating to site preparation, internal soft stripping, asbestos removal, tree protection measures, methods for the fragmentation of each building and the methods for the loading of waste and scrap materials.

The restoration works proposed post-demolition are also shown on the Post Demolition Plans (drawing's 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)006 Rev E, 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)007 Rev E and 8782-BA-XX-00-DR-A-(04)008 Rev E). Each building would be demolished down to slab with the lawn and

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

existing hard standing retained. There will be no disturbance to the ground. The trees at 2 Banner Walk and 2 Turnpike Walk are proposed to be retained. The tree at 162-174 Grey Mare Lane is proposed to be removed. Each plot would be secured by a 1.8m high weld mesh fence.

The demolition process will take place over a five month period. The demolition of the building at 62-74 Grey Mare Lane and 162-174 Grey Mare Lane is proposed to begin on 6th November 2023, the demolition of the building at 2 Turnpike Walk on 20th November 2023 and the demolition of the building on Banner Walk on 18th December 2023. All demolition and restoration works are proposed to be completed by 22nd March 2024. It should, however, be noted that these are approximate dates and may be subject to change.

Committed Development

A review of committed and pending planning applications within 1km of the Site that warrant further consideration in the EIA screening process due to their scale, nature and potential for cumulative impacts include:

- 136763/FO/2023: Alterations to the Etihad Stadium North Stand and adjoining land to provide an overall increase in Stadium capacity, hospitality, and concourse facilities available for use both during and outside of event days, an ancillary TV Studio (Sui Generis), a Roof-Walk Attraction (Use Class F1(c)) together with the erection of a 9 storey, 391 bed hotel (Use Class C1) with a further 10 suites provided within the North Stand of the Etihad Stadium for hotel or hospitality space (Use Class C1 / Sui Generis); restaurant at Level 1 (Use Class C1 / Class E), erection of an 8-storey building comprising: a new Club Shop and Ticket Office (Use Class E) at Ground and Level 1, City Museum at Level 2, Leisure Attraction at Level 3 (Class F1(c)); and workspace (Class E) at Levels 4, 5, 6 and 7 and a new covered City Square fan zone and flexible event space with ground floor commercial, leisure, food and drink use (Use Class E and/ or drinking establishment (Sui Generis)) within each of the interrelated buildings adjoining the proposed square with associated landscaping and public realm works, servicing and access arrangements, and other associated works.

The application was approved at Planning Committee on 27th July 2023 subject to the signing of a Section 106 Agreement. The planning application was supported by an Environmental Statement. This states the planned delivery programme is for site mobilisation works to start in Q3 of 2023 with the development to be complete by Q4 2025. However, at the time of writing, planning permission is yet to be granted for the proposed development. We, therefore, expect that works will not commence until Q1 of 2024 at the earliest.

- 135764/FO/2022: Site improvement works comprising site investigation and remediation activity in respect of historic coal mining activity, including trial piling and grouting of coal seams, demolition of the club shop, service and drainage diversions and associated temporary works to maintain safe access around the stadium at the Etihad Stadium. The application was approved on 8th June 2023. Conditions are yet to be discharged.
- 126431/FO/2020: Erection of a multi-use arena (Use Class D2) with a partially illuminated external facade together with ancillary retail/commercial uses (Classes A1, A3 and A4), with highways, access, servicing, landscaping, public realm and other associated works at land south of Sportscity Way, East of Joe Mercer Way, West of Alan Turing Way And North Of The Ashton Canal At The Etihad Campus Manchester. The application was approved on 23rd October 2020. The development is currently under construction and due to open in April 2024.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

- 132588/FO/2021: Erection of a learning and training facility building (Use Class F1(a)) including classrooms, seminar rooms, offices, flexible conferencing space and workspace, kitchen facilities, changing facilities, a 900 seat spectator stand and ancillary community space, use of existing rugby pitch as a training facility, occasional match and events venue, together with the erection of floodlighting, boundary treatment, access and highways works and other associated works following demolition of existing structures at Land To The Rear Of 4-20 Metcombe Way And Kylemore Way Manchester. The application was approved on 22nd May 2022. Conditions are yet to be discharged and works are yet to commence on site.

EIA Screening History

We understand that the Site has not previously been screened for EIA development.

Screening Assessment

To determine whether the proposed development is 'EIA development', regard must be had for the Regulations and National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) and whether:

- The proposed development is of a type listed in Schedule 1;
- If not, whether it is listed in Schedule 2;
- If it is located within a sensitive area;
- If it meets any of the relevant thresholds and criteria set out in Schedule 2; and/or
- If it would lead to likely significant effects on the environment.

These points are explored further in this section with reference to the Regulations.

Schedule 1 Projects

EIA is mandatory for projects listed in Schedule 1 of the Regulations. Schedule 1 development are large scale projects for which significant effects would be expected and comprise development such as new airports and power stations. The proposed development does not meet any of the categories of development set out in Schedule 1 of the Regulations, therefore, EIA is not mandatory on this occasion.

Schedule 2 Projects

EIA is discretionary for projects listed in Schedule 2. If the proposed development is of a type listed in Schedule 2 then it may be classified as EIA development, depending on the location of the development (i.e. is it within a sensitive area) and/or whether it meets any of the relevant thresholds or criteria in Column 2.

The proposed development fall within the 'Urban Development Projects' category set out in Schedule 2 of the Regulations, but it does not exceed the thresholds listed under this category which are as follows:

- (i) The development includes more than 1 hectare of urban development which is not dwellinghouse development;
- (ii) The development includes more than 150 dwellings; or
- (iii) (The overall area of the development exceeds 5 hectares.

Notwithstanding this, the European Court of Justice has made it clear that demolition works can constitute a 'project' within the meaning of the EIA Directive and can, therefore, be subject to EIA. LPA's need to consider whether demolition projects are likely to have significant environmental effects and

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

require a screening opinion to be issued as such projects can fall within Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations¹.

Regulation 5(4) states that where the LPA must decide whether Schedule 2 development is EIA development, they should take into account the following:

- a) Any information provided by the applicant;
- b) The results of any relevant EU environmental assessment which are reasonably available to the relevant planning authority or the Secretary of State;
- c) The selection criteria set out in Schedule 3 as are relevant to the development Schedule 3 sets out the selection criteria under headings; 'Characteristics of Development', 'Location of Development', and 'Types and Characteristics of the Potential Impact'.

With reference to the submitted information outlined above, the assessment below seeks to determine whether the proposed development would be likely to result in significant environmental effects using the criteria in Schedule 3 of the Regulations.

Schedule 3:

(1) Characteristics of the Development

a) Size of development

The proposed development involves the soft strip, removal of asbestos and demolition of the three residential buildings on the Site down to slab. There are no plans to erect new buildings or structures or carry out any other form of development as part of the proposed development. Any future redevelopment of the Site will form part of a separate planning application to the LPA. Within the context of the 2017 Regulations, given the small-scale nature of the proposed development no significant effects are envisaged to warrant an EIA.

b) Cumulation with other developments

As set out above, the proposed demolition works are proposed to commence on 6th November 2023 with all restoration works proposed to be completed by 22nd March 2024. The works may, therefore, overlap with the site mobilisation works relating to planning application 136763/FO/2023 at the Etihad Stadium and final construction phase relating to planning application 126431/FO/2020 for the Co-op Live Arena.

However, through the application of standard best practice methods, as set out in the submitted '*Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings*' document, and in the context of the distance between the two sites and the relatively modest nature of the proposed demolition works, we consider pollution from the proposed development could be managed safely without significant harm to the environment. As such, we do not consider the cumulative impacts would give rise to significant adverse impacts to warrant EIA development.

With regards to the other identified committed developments (ref. 135764/FO/2022 and 132588/FO/2021), pre-commencement conditions attached to these planning permissions are yet to be

¹ Paragraph: 016 Reference ID: 4-016-20170728 of the National Planning Practice Guidance.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

submitted for approval to the Local Planning Authority. We, therefore, do not expect the proposed development and construction phases of these committed developments to overlap. As such, no cumulative impact are expected from these committed developments.

c) Use of natural materials

The buildings on the Site will be demolished down to slab with no breakout of the ground or foundation. As such, the proposed development will not have a significant adverse impact on the use of natural materials.

d. Production of waste

Waste will be generated through the soft stripping and demolition of the buildings on the Site. As set out in the *Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings* document, the waste generated by the proposed development includes 14 x wood waste 40-yard skips, 11 x general waste 40 yard skips and 85 x brick/concrete 32 ton tipper waggon. The waste will be transported off-site to be safely processed. No waste will be left on site post-demolition. Set in this context, the waste generated by the proposed development is unlikely to have a significant adverse impact to warrant EIA development.

e. Pollution and nuisance

The Site is in a primarily residential area and is likely to cause disturbance from noise, vibration and dust during the proposed development. However, given the relatively modest scale of the proposals and that the works are expected to be completed within 5 months, the effects are not anticipated to be significant and could be managed appropriately through the implementation of the methods set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document.

f. Risk of accidents, having regard in particular to substances or technologies used

As set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document, the appointed contractors will implement measures in accordance with health and safety legislation and best practice to minimise the risks of accidents which could affect people or the environment. This includes the safe removal of asbestos from the buildings prior to demolition. As such, the risk of accidents is not deemed significant to warrant an EIA.

g. Risk to human health

During demolition materials such as asbestos may be present on the Site which may be harmful to the environment. However, any risks to human health will be mitigated through the implementation of environmental control measures as set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document. Dust and noise from the demolition works would also be managed in accordance with standard best practice measures set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document. As such, the proposed development would not generate any pollutants of a scale that would be a significant risk to human health.

2) Location of development

a. Existing land uses

The proposed development is small in scale and is required to facilitate the future redevelopment of the plots as part of One Manchester's wider regeneration plans for the Grey Mare Lane Estate. As such, it is considered compatible with existing land uses.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

b. Relative abundance, quality and regeneration capacity of natural resources in the area

The buildings on the Site will be demolished down to slab with no breakout of the ground or foundation. As such, there are no scarce resources on or around the location would be affected by the proposed development.

c. Absorption capacity of the natural environment

The Site is not located within the 'sensitive areas' as defined in Regulation 2(1):

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs).
 - National Parks.
 - The Broads.
 - Properties appearing on the World Heritage List.
 - Scheduled monuments.
 - Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty.
- European sites within the meaning of Regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulation 2017.

There are no National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Properties appearing on the World Heritage List, SSSI's or European site within the meaning of Regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulation 2017 close to the Site.

'*Clayton Hall moated site*' scheduled monument is located approximately 1.1km to the east of Grey Mare Lane. However, due to the distance and intervening built development between the Site and this ancient monument it is not anticipated that this sensitive area would be adversely impacted by the proposed development.

Furthermore, given the modest scale of demolition works, the proposed development is not expected to have a significant impact on the absorption capacity of the natural environment in respect to those areas for particular attention set out in Paragraph 2(c) of Schedule 3 of the Regulations, namely:

- (i) wetlands, riparian areas, river mouths.
- (ii) coastal zones and marine environments.
- (iii) mountain and forest areas.
- (iv) nature reserves and parks.
- (v) European sites and other areas classified or protected under national legislation.
- (vi) areas in which there has already been a failure to meet environmental quality standards, laid down in Union legislation and relevant to the project.
- (vii) densely populated areas.
- (viii) landscapes of historical, cultural, or archaeological significance.

3) Characteristics of the Potential Impact

a. The magnitude and spatial extent of the impact and b. the nature of the impact

The proposed development includes the demolition of three former residential buildings on the Grey Mare Lane Estate. The buildings are all vacant and previous occupiers have been re-housed. As such, the loss of the residential units would not have an adverse impact on residents.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

The proposed development is likely to cause disturbance to neighbouring residents and businesses from noise, vibration, dust and construction traffic. However, given the proposed works are relatively modest in scale, the magnitude and spatial extent of the impact will be limited to the Site and its immediate locality. Set in this context, the environmental effects are not anticipated to be significant to warrant EIA and could be managed appropriately through the implementation of the methods set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document.

During demolition materials such as asbestos may be present on the Site which may be harmful to the environment. However, any impact to human health will be mitigated through the implementation of environmental control measures as set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document.

c. The transboundary nature of the impact

There will be no transboundary impact.

d. The intensity and complexity of the impact

A degree of change will take place following the demolition of the buildings. However, the intensity and complexity of the impact is limited to the Site and its immediate locality. The loss of the buildings is, therefore, not deemed to result in a significant adverse impact.

e. The probability of the impact

As discussed above, the proposed development is not likely to cause significant effects and any potential effects can be mitigated appropriately. There is, therefore, a low probability of a potentially highly significant effect because of the proposed development.

f. The expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact

The effects of the proposed development will be temporary (5 months) in duration and the identified impacts are expected for a development of this nature. As such, given the scale of the works, the proposed development would not have a significant adverse impact on the surrounding area.

g. The cumulation of the impact with the impact of other existing and/or approved development

As set out above, the proposals would not cumulatively give risk to significant adverse impact during the construction phase to warrant EIA development, particularly given the phased and strategic nature of the neighbouring committed developments. As such, we do not anticipate any significant adverse impacts to warrant EIA development.

h. The possibility of effectively reducing the impact

The proposed development is not likely to cause significant effects and the effects that are predicted can be mitigated via tried and tested methods as set out in the submitted *'Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings'* document.

Conclusion

This EIA Screening Request has considered whether the proposed development will result in likely significant effects on the environment to warrant EIA.

Reference: 31033/A3/LC/HW

The proposed development does not fall within 'Schedule 1' of the EIA Regulations and at 0.25ha in size, the Site does not exceed the thresholds within sub-section 10(b) of Schedule 2 Development, relating to 'Urban Development Projects'. Notwithstanding this, the NPPG is clear that local planning authorities need to consider whether demolition projects are likely to have significant environmental effects and for a screening opinion to be issued to consider whether the proposals fall within Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations.

Based on the submitted information and our assessment above, it can be concluded that anticipated effects of the proposed development will be local to the Site and its immediate surroundings. Any impacts can be managed using the methods set out in the submitted '*Process manual for the Demolition of the Dwellings*'.

Set in this context, it is considered that the proposed development does not comprise "EIA development" as defined in the Regulations and that the Council should adopt a Screening Opinion to confirm that no Environmental Statement is required within the statutory period.

Yours faithfully,

STANTEC UK LIMITED

Hannah Walker

Planning Associate Director

Phone: +44 1618174911

hannah.walker@stantec.com