MANCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no.	139145/FH/2024
Date registered valid:	31 January 2024
Proposal:	Erection of single storey porch, single storey side and rear extension to provide additional living accommodation
Address:	3 Dalston Drive, Manchester, M20 5LL
Ward(s):	Didsbury East Ward
Applicant:	Mr & Mrs G Thomas
Agent (if any):	Mr P Anderton B2 Architecture Ltd
Case officer:	Joshua Lee Tel: 0161 219 2851 Email: joshua.lee@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve

Date of recommendation: **06/03/2024**

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Date of site visit: 21/02/2024

Standard consultations:
Printed: 13 February 2024 Expired: 5 March 2024

Neighbour notifications
Printed: 13 February 2024 Expired: 5 March 2024

Site notice
No site notice has been produced

Press advert
No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The proposal is related to a semi detached dwellinghouse on Dalston Drive. The property features a small two storey outrigger. The property has hardstanding to the front for off street vehicle parking and a garden to the rear. It is of note that a Certificate of Lawful Development was approved for the enlargement of dwellinghouse with a hip to gable roof alteration, installation of rear dormer, installation of three front roof lights

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable




Figure 1. Street scene



Figure 2. Rear elevation

Description of area

The property is in a mostly residential part of the Didsbury East ward of Manchester. The rear boundary borders the Didsbury St James Conservation Area.



Description of development

The applicant proposes the erection of single storey porch, single storey side and rear extension to provide additional living accommodation.

Single storey porch

The front porch projects 1236mm from the existing front elevation and spans 4140mm to the proposed side extension elevation. The front porch features a pitched roof with gable front and glazing.

Single storey side extension

The single storey side extension projects 1670mm from the existing side elevation leaving a 1000mm gap to the shared boundary. The side extension runs 12030mm from the front elevation of the proposed porch to the rear elevation of the proposed rear extension. The side extension has a pitched roof and glazing to the front, side, and rear.

Single storey rear extension

The rear extension projects 3000mm from the existing rear elevation and spans the full 7966mm from the side elevation of the proposed side extension to 150mm off the shared boundary. The rear extension features a flat roof with rooflights and large glazing to the rear.



Figure 3. Plans and Elevations

Planning History

138337/LP/2023

Description: Enlargement of dwellinghouse with a hip to gable roof alteration, installation of rear dormer, installation of three front roof lights

Decision: Approved 28/12/2023

Consultations & Notification Responses

We did not consult any consultees.

Notifications

Local Residents

As stated in Appendix 1, people who live near the area were told about the proposal.

No one commented, objected, or gave their opinion on this application.

Policies & Principle

Summary of relevant policy

Applications for planning permission must be assessed against the local development plan, unless there are important reasons not to, as stated in planning law.

In considering this application, we consider the following policies relevant.

Local

- The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027 The City Council adopted the core strategy on July 11, 2012. It is the key document in the Manchester Local Plan. The plan determines how Manchester will grow and is used to review development applications. We consider the following Core Strategy Policies relevant to this proposal.
    - DM 1 - Development Management
    - SP 1 - Spatial Principles
    - EN 1 - Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas
- Extant Unitary Development Plan (UDP) - The Core Strategy replaced most of the UDP. However, some UDP Development Control policies are still in effect. The following extant policy are still considered relevant to this proposal.
    - DC1 - Residential Extensions

Regional

- Places for Everyone Plan - The Places for Everyone Plan is a strategic plan for nine of the 10 boroughs in Greater Manchester. Once Manchester adopts the Places for Everyone Plan, it will become part of the city's development plan.

National

- The National Planning Policy Framework (The NPPF) – The NPPF was first published on 27 March 2012 and updated on 24 July 2018, 19 February 2019, 20 July 2021, 5 September 2023 and 19 December 2023. It sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. We consider the following sections relevant to this proposal.
    - Section 4 – Decision-making
    - Section 12 – Achieving well-designed places

Principle of the development

Residents can usually expand their homes for more space, as long as it doesn't affect the neighbourhood or property's character.

The proposal's principle is acceptable. No factors in amenity terms would warrant refusal.

After careful consideration, it is concluded that the proposed development aligns with the Manchester Core Strategy, Extant UDP, and the NPPF. This conclusion is based on the assessment in the sections that follow.

The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan (2012-2027)

We have assessed the proposal against the following policies of the Core Strategy.

DM 1 – Development Management

New developments must adhere to the 'Development Principles' and design guidance in the Supplementary Planning Documents.

We have assessed the proposal against the following issues.

Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail

The applicant is seeking the erection of single storey porch, single storey side and rear extension to provide additional living accommodation.

The new addition is designed to match the style and features of the current building. This includes the windows.

The proposal uses render and tile to match the existing property (according to the application form).

The proposal is designed to be subservient to the original dwelling house.

Officers consider the new development to be acceptable in its siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail.

Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale, and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.

The proposal is visible from the street scene however due to the design, scale and materials the effect on the character of the street is minimal.

The proposed development won't significantly change the area's character, so its appearance is acceptable.

The proposal is similar in size and scale to others nearby. Therefore, it can fit on the property site and won't disrupt the area's existing features.

The proposal's design would match the nearby properties. It would use the specified brick and tile materials.

In general, the proposed development has little impact on the surrounding areas. The design, scale, and appearance are all in line with the development management principle.

Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation.

The proposal adds glazing to the front of the building. It doesn't affect privacy much because there are other windows to this elevation.

The proposal includes adding large glass doors at the back of the building. These doors face into the rear garden of 58 Didsbury Park. The design of the boundary and its distance help reduce privacy concerns especially given the existing glazing in the elevation.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on Air Quality.

The proposal in of itself has a negligible effect on local noise levels. We understand that demolition and construction can be loud and disruptive to the local residents. Contractors should use construction guidance to reduce emissions and minimize disruptions for residents.

The proposal has no effect on road safety and traffic generation.

Overall, the proposal has a negligible impact on privacy, light, noise, air quality, and other factors. So, it follows this principle of development management.

Accessibility: buildings and neighbourhoods fully accessible to disabled people, access to new development by sustainable transport modes.

The proposal does not change how people can get in and out of the building.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Adequacy of internal accommodation and external amenity space.

The proposal adds space inside the property with minimal effect to the outdoor area.

This follows the development management principle.

Refuse storage and collection.

The proposal itself has little impact on waste from the site. It uses the current storage and collection methods on site. We recognize that demolition and/or construction works often generate commercial waste. We expect contractors to follow best practices in waste management.

The proposal is in accordance with this development management principle.

Vehicular access and car parking

The proposal doesn't change how vehicles access and park at the property, so it follows the development management principle.

Effects relating to biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage

The applicant and consultees did not find any contamination or stability issues at the site.

The proposal does not sit within a Conservation Area or near a designated heritage asset.

On balance the proposal has minimal effect on local biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage and is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Green Infrastructure including open space, both public and private

The dwelling benefits from a garden beyond the siting of the proposed development.

The proposal would minimally affect green infrastructure and would balance new development with existing green space.

The proposal has negligible impact on green infrastructure and balances development with existing green space.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Flood risk and drainage.

The site is not located in a flood risk area and therefore does not require a flood statement or assessment.

The proposal has a negligible impact on drainage using the existing drainage on site.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

SP 1 - Spatial Principles

This policy outlines the guiding spatial principles and core development principles of Manchester City Council.

Most notably the core development principles highlight development should ‘make a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice’.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

EN 1 – Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas

This policy outlines the key design principles for development in Manchester. All development in Manchester will be expected to follow the seven principles of urban design.

This proposal sits within the ‘Southern Character Area’.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Extant Unitary Development Plan (UDP)

The Core Strategy replaced most of the UDP, but some UDP policies still apply to this proposal.

DC1 - Residential Extensions

This policy outlines the key issues when considering proposed residential extensions.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Places for Everyone Plan

Places for Everyone is the emerging long-term plan of nine Greater Manchester districts for jobs, new homes, and sustainable growth. It has been published by the GMCA on behalf of the nine districts.

The Inspectors' Report, received on 14 February 2024, marks the end of the examination into the plan which included public hearing sessions which took place in 2022 and 2023. The report sets out the findings of the examination of the Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE). The Inspectors conclude that all legal requirements have been met and that with the recommended main modifications set out in the Appendix of their report, the Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document for Bolton, Bury, Manchester, Oldham, Rochdale, Salford, Tameside, Trafford and Wigan satisfies the requirements referred to in Section 20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act and is sound.

The next step is for all nine Places for Everyone Councils to consider the Inspectors' recommendations and adoption of the Plan. Currently it is anticipated that these Council meetings will be held in Spring 2024.

Given the stage the Plan has reached, the Plan and its policies is now a material planning consideration in the determination of planning applications. The Plan and its policies must therefore be given significant weight in the planning balance.
This proposal is considered to accord with the aspirations of the Places for Everyone Plan.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The National Planning Policy Framework explains the government's planning policies for England. It also explains how to use these policies.

At all stages of the application, we have adhered to and considered the NPPF.

Section 4 - Decision-making

Section 4 of the NPPF outlines how local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way.

Section 4 of the NPPF has been adhered to and considered at all stages of the proposal.

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Section 12 of the NPPF outlines the need to achieve well-designed places.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of the NPPF.

Other Material Considerations

Other material considerations & policy may be relevant to the proposal as follows:

- Guide to Development in Manchester Supplementary Planning Document and Planning Guidance (April 2007)
- Manchester Residential Quality Guide (July 2016) (MRQG)
- Manchester, Salford, and Trafford Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
- Manchester City Council Highways Developer Design Guidance – Sustainable Drainage Systems
- Manchester City Council Planning and Noise Technical Guidance (2022)
- Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance (2022)
- Planning and Contaminated Land Technical Guidance (2021)
- Greater Manchester Joint Waste Development Plan Document (GMJWDPD)
- Waste Storage and Collection Guidance for New Developments (2017)
- Hot Food Takeaway Supplementary Planning Document (2017)
- Manchester City Council Houses in Multiple Occupation Guidance and Amenity Standards (2020)
- Manual for Streets (2007)
- National design guide & National Model Design Code (2021)

- Outdoor advertisements and signs: a guide for advertisers (2007)

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved polices of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Conclusion

We find the proposal's design, size, and appearance acceptable for this location. It won't harm nearby properties or the street's character. There are no other material considerations of enough weight to say otherwise. Due to these reasons, the proposal is not likely to have a negative impact. It aligns with the relevant Core Strategy, Extant UDP, NPPF policies, and other material considerations.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have collaborated with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner. We assessed the proposal with regards to policies outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework, Unitary Development Plan Saved Policies, Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan, and other material considerations. No significant problems have arisen during the consideration of this application.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval

1. **3-year time limit**

   The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

   Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. **Specified plans**

   The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

   a. Drawing - Location Plan 31 Jan 2024 THE LOCATION PLAN

   b. Drawing - Site Plan 31 Jan 2024 BLOCK PLAN OF THE SITE

c. Drawing - Existing & Proposed Elevations 20 Feb 2024 23-68_04B_Plans and

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans, pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy.

3. **Specified materials**

The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the application form in type, size, colour, and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure. Pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy.

4. **Additional glazing restriction**

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) Order 2015, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), no additional glazing, windows, or doors, other than those shown on the approved plans, shall be inserted at the property unless Planning Permission is specifically granted.

Reason - In the interests of the amenities of the occupiers of the neighbouring residences, pursuant to policy DM 1 of the Core Strategy for the City of Manchester and saved policy DC1 of the Unitary Development Plan.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

MCC Flood Risk Management

2 Dalston Drive, Manchester, M20 5LL
3A Dalston Drive, Manchester, M20 5LL
1A Dalston Drive, Manchester, M20 5LL
58 Didsbury Park, Manchester, M20 5LJ

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 90M
Conservation Area - Didsbury St James Conservation Area 1970
Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA