

24 Amherst Road, M20 4NS

Reference: 702 FRA-v1

Aug-24

www.rida-reports.co.uk

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

	Section
Introduction	1
Site Assessment	2
National and Local Planning Policy	3
The Sequential and Exception Test	4
Flood Hazard Assessment	5
Flood Risk Management	6
Off-Site Impacts	7
Residual Risk	8
Conclusions	9
Appendices	
Site Location Plan	A
Existing and Proposed Site Layouts	B
Site Characteristics	C
Flood Level Data	D



FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

24 Amherst Road, M20 4NS

Reference: 702 FRA-v1

Report Limitations

All comments and proposals contained in this report, including any conclusions, are based on information available to RIDA Reports during investigations. The conclusions drawn by RIDA Reports could therefore differ if the information is found to be inaccurate or misleading. RIDA Reports accepts no liability should this be the case, nor if additional information exists or becomes available with respect to this scheme.

Except as otherwise requested by the client, RIDA Reports is not obliged to and disclaims any obligation to update the report for events taking place after the date on which the assessment was undertaken.

RIDA Reports makes no representation whatsoever concerning the legal significance of its findings or the legal matters referred to in the following report.

All Environment Agency mapping data used under special licence. Data is current as the data on the correspondence given by the Environment Agency and is subject to change.

The information presented and conclusions drawn are based on statistical data and are for guidance purposes only. The study provides no guarantee against flooding of the study site or elsewhere, nor of the absolute accuracy of water levels, flow rates and associated probabilities.

This report has been prepared for the sole use of our direct client. No other third parties may rely upon or reproduce the contents of this report without the written permission of RIDA Reports. If any unauthorised third party comes into possession of this report they rely on it at their own risk and the authors do not owe them any Duty of Care or Skill.

Purpose of this report

- 1.1 RIDA Reports Ltd has been appointed to undertake a Level 2 – Scoping Study Flood Risk Assessment for a development located at M20 4NS.

Objectives

- 1.2 The objectives of this FRA are to demonstrate the following:
 - Whether the proposed development will likely be affected by current or future flooding.
 - Whether the proposed development will increase flood risk elsewhere.
 - Whether the flood risks associated with the proposed development can be satisfactorily managed.
 - Whether the measures proposed to deal with the flood risk are sustainable.

Documents Consulted

- 1.3 To achieve these objectives, the following documents have been consulted and referenced:

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
CIRIA C753 document The SuDS Manual, 2015
Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS)
Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)
Aerial photographs and topographical survey of the site
British Geological Society Records
Local Council flood Maps
Environment Agency flood maps
The CIRIA publication 'C635 Designing for exceedance in urban drainage Good practice'



Development Site and Location

- 2.1 The site is located at Amherst Road, Fallowfield, Manchester. The nearest postcode is M20 4NS. Refer to appendix A for site location plan.
- 2.2 The current use of the site is the garden of an existing dwelling. The current use vulnerability classification of the site is Water compatible. The site is located in the River Flood Zone 3. Refer to Appendix B for more details.

Development Proposals

- 2.3 The proposed development includes a minor extension to the dwelling, including an outbuilding containing a living area, a kitchen and toilets. Refer to Appendix B for the layout of the proposed development.
- 2.4 The vulnerability classification of the proposed development is More vulnerable with an estimated lifetime between 50 and 100 years.

Site Hydrology and Hydrogeology

- | | | |
|------------------------|-----|--|
| Hydrology | 2.5 | The Cringle brook is located approximately 330 m away from the development. |
| Aquifer | 2.6 | The development is located within an unproductive strata. These are rock layers or drift deposits with low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow. |
| Source Protection Zone | 2.7 | The site is not located within a Source Protection Zone. |
| Groundwater Levels | 2.8 | The ground water levels for this site are unknown. |

Site Geology

- | | | |
|----------------------|------|---|
| Bedrock | 2.9 | The British Geological Survey records of the site show that it is located within the Chester Formation - Sandstone. |
| Superficial Deposits | 2.10 | The British Geological Survey records show that the superficial deposits are Till, Devensian - Diamicton. |



National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

- 3.1 The NPPF and its technical guidance is a set of planning policies with the key objective of contributing to sustainable development. As part of it, they ensure that flood risk and sustainability are considered during the planning process. This ensures that developments are not located in flood risk areas and directs developments to lower risk areas. The NPPF applies a sequential risk-based approach to determining land suitability for development in flood risk areas. The NPPF also encourages developers to seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk through the development layout and the application of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).

The Flood and Water Management Act (2010)

- 3.2 The Flood and Water Management Act aims to reduce the flood risk associated with extreme weather events. It provides robust flood risk management for people, homes and businesses and encourages using SuDS for developments. A robust SuDS strategy should consider the recommendations in this Flood Risk Assessment.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)

- 3.3 Planning policy with regard to development and flood risk in the area is detailed in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) which was published in 2010. The proposed development site is located within the administrative boundary of the Manchester City, Salford City and Trafford Councils.
- 3.4 The SFRA commits to direct new development to locations at the lowest flood risk. The SFRA provides information on the levels and flood hazards that could result from flooding. The Environment Agency flood zone maps and the SFRA ignore the presence of existing flood defences when defining the potential extent of flooding.
- 3.5 This report follows the guidance given in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment by evaluating the flood risk and providing relevant flood mitigation.



- 4.1 The NPPF guidance states that the sequential test "is designed to ensure that areas at little or no risk of flooding from any source are developed in preference to areas at higher risk. This means avoiding, so far as possible, development in current and future medium and high flood risk areas considering all sources of flooding including areas at risk of surface water flooding."

Applicability of the Sequential Test

- 4.2 The flood risks were determined by identifying all the sources of flooding and assessing their possible impact and likelihood to development. It is confirmed that the development is:

- In Flood Zone 3, based on the Planning Flood Risk Map
- In Flood Zone 3, based on the Flood Level information Provided by the Environment Agency
- At between 300mm and 900mm risk of surface flooding
- At high risk of groundwater flooding
- Within a critical drainage area
- Outside of an area with sewer flooding

- 4.3 This type of development is exempt from applying the sequential test as per the National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 174, footnote 60. The development has been made safe and has not increased the risk to other properties. See copy of note below "(60) This includes householder development, small non-residential extensions (with a footprint of less than 250m²) and changes of use; except for changes of use to a caravan, camping or chalet site, or to a mobile home or park home site, where the sequential and exception tests should be applied as appropriate."

Exception Test

- 4.4 Fluvial flood risk for this minor development was assessed using the Environment Agency Flood Zone Maps and the standing advice approach recommended in the NPPF guidelines. The standing advice considers the development's size and the flood risk vulnerability of land use.

- Step 1** 4.5 The proposed development falls within The Environment Agency (EA) Flood Flood Zone



The Sequential and Exception Test 4

categorisation

Zone 3. The Flood Zone 3 is considered to have a high probability of flooding with a 100 years or greater annual probability or >1%AEP.

Step 2 4.6

The Exception Test is not required for this development.

The Exception Test



- 5.1 The development has been assessed for the following potential flood risks, river and tidal flood risk, surface water flooding, flooding from groundwater, reservoir flood risk and drainage systems.

Flood Defence and Historic Flooding

- 5.2 The Environment Agency records show that the site does not benefit from flood defences. They also show that the area around the site has not been flooded in the past. See Appendix C for details.

Flooding from river and sea

- 5.3 The site is affected by River Flooding. The proposed development falls within The Environment Agency (EA) Flood Zone 3. The Flood Zone 3 is considered to have a high probability of flooding with a 100 years or greater annual probability or >1%AEP.
- 5.4 The climate change allowance has been taken from the EA peak river flow map. The vulnerability of the development, the design life of the building, and the flood zone classification were used to determine it. The climate change allowance for this site is 41%. The nearest climate change allowance of 35% provided by the EA was used as the most representative to complete this assessment.
- 5.5 The levels provided by the Environment Agency are shown in table 1 below. Further details are provided in Appendix D.

Flood levels at Site	
Return Period	Flood Level (m AOD)
1 in 20 (5%)	36.342
1 in 30 (3.3%)	36.379
1 in 100 (1%)	36.469
1 in 100 + 35%(CC)	36.528
1 in 1000 (0.1%)	36.895



- 5.6 The river flood risk level is 36.528m AOD. The external depth of water is 0.328m given the average site level in the area of flooding of 36.2 mAOD.

Surface water (overland flows) flood risk

- 5.7 The Environment Agency maps show that the flood risk from surface water is very low. A residual risk of localised ponding remains unlikely. The Environment Agency surface water flood risk maps are defined by applying a specific procedure based on digital terrain models and assumptions regarding infiltration and urban drainage losses. The surface water flood maps are determined by the Environment Agency as follows:

- 5.8 *"The nationally produced surface water flood mapping only indicates where surface water flooding could occur due to local rainfall. It does not fully represent flooding that occurs from:*

- Ordinary watercourses
- Drainage systems or public sewers caused by catchment-wide rainfall events
- Rivers
- Groundwater

Due to the modelling techniques, the mapping picks out depressions in the ground surface. It simulates some flow along natural drainage channels, rivers, low areas in floodplains, and flow paths between buildings. Although the maps appear to show flooding from ordinary watercourses, they should not be taken as definitive mapping of flood risk from these as the conveyance effect of ordinary watercourses or drainage channels is not explicitly modelled. Also, structures (such as bridges, culverts and weirs) and flood risk management infrastructure (such as defences) are not represented.

The nationally produced surface water flood mapping does not consider the effect of pumping stations in catchments with pumped drainage. No allowance is made for tide locking, high tidal or fluvial levels where sewers cannot discharge into rivers or the sea."

- 5.9 The strategic flood risk for the Manchester City, Salford City and Trafford Councils confirms that the flood risk for the site is Low. The surface water flood data has not been produced to determine the flood levels at individual properties. This data does not contain the climate change allowances for depth levels. Therefore, the Design flood level given below is an assumption. The new development may have greater or lower surface water flood depths.



- 5.10 Based on the Environment Agency and the Strategic flood risk assessment's surface water mapping, together with the presence of surface water drainage systems at the site and surrounding area, it is concluded that the site is at Low risk of flooding from surface water sources. The depth of water is potentially between 300mm and 900mm. For this assessment, a depth of water of 0.6m with a climate change allowance of m has been taken as the most appropriate depth to the site. The average ground level at the site is 36.2m AOD. The surface water flood level on this site could be in the region of 36.8m AOD.

Flooding from drainage systems in adjacent areas

- 5.11 The council records have been reviewed. The flooding from drainage incidents maps were not found in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Therefore, for the purpose of this report, it has been assumed that the risk of flooding from drainage systems is low.

Reservoirs Risks

- 5.12 The Reservoir Flood Map (RFM) produced by the Environment Agency do not show the risk to individual properties of dam breach flooding. The maps do not indicate or relate to any particular probability of dam breach flooding. The maps were prepared for emergency planning purposes. They can be used to help reservoir owners produce on-site plans, and the Local Resilience Forum produce off-site plans and to prioritise areas for evacuation/early warning in the event of a potential dam failure. The RFM shows that the development could be within the possible dam breach flooding path. See Appendix C.

Groundwater flood risk

- 5.13 The British Geological Survey's flood risk susceptibility maps show that the development has limited susceptibility to ground water flooding. The risk of groundwater flood to the site is considered very low. Refer to appendix C for record drawings.



Critical Drainage Areas

- 5.14 The development falls within a Critical Drainage Area. The risks of critical problems and the increase of downstream flooding are high. Critical Drainage Area (CDA) is an area that has critical drainage problems and which has been notified to the local planning authority by the Environment Agency.



6.1 The Flood hazard assessment has demonstrated that the site is:

- In Flood Zone 3, based on the Planning Flood Risk Map
- In Flood Zone 3, based on the Flood Level information Provided by the Environment Agency
- At between 300mm and 900mm risk of surface flooding
- At high risk of groundwater flooding
- Within a critical drainage area
- Outside of an area with sewer flooding

6.2 Under the NPPF it is necessary to demonstrate that, for any new development on the site, it is possible to provide an adequate level of flood protection for personnel working or living at the development.

Design Flood Level

6.3 The design flood level is the maximum estimated water level during the design storm event including an allowance for climate change in line with current best practice and the national planning policy guidance.

6.4 The Design Flood Level for this development has been determined by evaluating the levels from the Pluvial/Sea, Surface Water and Groundwater flood levels.

6.5 For this site, the Design Flood Level is 36.8m AOD. This is the highest level and corresponds to the Surface Water Flood Level.

Flood Protection


6.6 The National Planning Guidance standing advice and Environment Agency recommends that where possible, flood avoidance is provided by establishing the development's finished floor level 600mm above (freeboard) the design flood level. However, this level can be reduced if there is a high level of certainty about the estimated flood level. For this site the estimated free board has been determined to be 0.3m above the Design Flood Level due to the quality of the flood risk information available and the type of risk. The finished floor should be 37.1m AOD. It would involve a height differential of 0.9m. This is the distance between the average external level (36.2m AOD) and



the potential Finished Floor Level.

- 6.7 It is possible to achieve this FFL. As the foundations and other parts of the building may be in contact with water, the following flood mitigation is proposed.
- 6.8 The flood mitigation strategies for the development has been based on the CLG 2007 Improving the Flood Performance of New Buildings. See the figure below for the strategy highlighted in red. The strategy is based on the water level within the proximity to the building.

Rationale for flood resilient and/or resistant design strategies



- 6.9 The design water depth for this site is 0.6m. The development should utilise building materials that are suitable for a 'water exclusion strategy'. Materials classified as "Good" (highlighted in red) in the Figure below shall be used for new construction up to 37.4m AOD.



Flood resilience characteristics of building materials (based on laboratory testing)

Material	Resilience characteristics*		
	Water penetration	Drying ability	Retention of pre-flood dimensions, integrity
Bricks			
Engineering bricks (Classes A and B)	Good	Good	Good
Facing bricks (pressed)	Medium	Medium	Good
Facing bricks (handmade)	Poor	Poor	Poor
Blocks			
Concrete (3.5N, 7N)	Poor	Medium	Good
Aircrete	Medium	Poor	Good
Timber board			
OSB2, 11mm thick	Medium	Poor	Poor
OSB3, 18mm thick	Medium	Poor	Poor
Gypsum plasterboard			
Gypsum Plasterboard, 9mm thick	Poor	Not assessed	Poor
Mortars			
Below d.p.c. 1:3(cement:sand)	Good	Good	Good
Above d.p.c. 1:6(cement:sand)	Good	Good	Good


*Resilience characteristics are related to the testing carried out and exclude aspects such as ability to withstand freeze/thaw cycles, cleanability and mould growth

- 6.10 New services and fittings (communications wiring, heating systems, electrical services, water, electricity and gas meters) should be placed at above the level of 37.4m AOD. All service entries should be sealed (e.g. with expanding foam or similar closed cell material).
- 6.11 Closed-cell insulation should be used for pipes. Sealed PVC external framed doors or good fit and sealed wooden frames should be used. Hollow core timber internal doors should not be used unless sufficient flood warning is given, butt hinges, can be used to allow internal doors to be easily removed and stored. Carpets are to be avoided and use of tiles recommended in floor below the predicted design flood level.
- 6.12 Fittings should be designed to be replaced after a flood, it is advisable to specify durable fittings that are not appreciably affected by water and can be easily cleaned (e.g. use of plastic materials, or stainless steel). The cost of these units may need to be balanced against the predicted frequency of flooding. Avoid wood fiber based carcasses and use easily removable solid wood doors and drawers.
- 6.13 On new foundations or where they are being replaced: Suspended concrete floor slab at least 150mm thick is preferred. Beam and Block slabs with geomembrane and 75mm min screed can also be used. There should be a




minimum space of 150mm ventilated void between the ground level and the bottom of the floor slab. Damp-proof membranes should be included in the design. Floor insulation should be of the closed-cell type. Underfloor services using ferrous materials should be avoided. Ceramic/concrete-based floor tiles, sitting on a bed of sand, cement render and water-resistant grout can be used. Ground bearing slabs are also an option. See the figures below.

Suspended Concrete Slab detail



Ground bearing Concrete Slab detail




6.14 Concrete blocks used in foundations should be sealed with an impermeable material or encased in concrete to prevent water movement from the ground to the wall construction. In new walls use extended periscope subfloor ventilators or fit removable airbrick covers; fix plasterboard sheets horizontally rather than vertically, or split sheets mid-height with a dado rail, to reduce the extent of replacement; specify lime- or cement-based renovating plasters or renders rather than gypsum-based, with water-resistant paint finishes. The use of water-proof, water-resistant or micro-porous surface coatings on masonry should be avoided as they can inhibit the drying-out of the building fabric.

6.15 Good quality facing bricks or external renders with water-repellent properties can be used for the external face. See the examples below of external walls that can be used.




Solid External Wall



- External cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry. The following mixes have good resilient properties:
 - 1 cement : 6 sand on bricks;
 - 1 cement : 4 sand: 1/2 lime on concrete blockwork or bricks;
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete blocks.
 - Masonry with minimum thickness of 300mm (thin mortar joint construction using Aircrete blocks is effective as demonstrated in laboratory tests) or alternatively reinforced concrete wall
 - Internal cement-based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry; the following mix is effective for flood resilience:
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
- Apply external and internal renders, following good practice guidance, ensuring minimum total thickness of 20mm and at least two coats.
- Use external insulation in preference to internal insulation.


Cavity External Walls – Clear cavity



Clear cavity

- External cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry; the following mixes are effective for flood resilience:
 - 1 cement : 4 sand: 1/2 lime on concrete blockwork (or bricks);
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
- Apply render following good practice guidance, ensuring minimum total thickness of 20mm and two coats.
- Internal cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry. The following mix works well:
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
- Stainless steel wall ties should be used to minimise corrosion and consequent staining.

Cavity External Walls- Part fill Option A




Option A

Part-filled cavity

- Part-filled cavity – Option A**
- External face consisting of engineering bricks up to required level for flood protection (up to 0.6m maximum above floor level plus one course). Other external facing materials can be used above this level, but ensure interface is watertight.
 - Rigid insulation.
 - Internal face consisting of blocks.
 - Internal cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry; the following mix is effective:
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
 - Ensure stainless steel wall ties are used to minimise corrosion and consequent staining.
 - Sacrificial plasterboard can be used, but it needs to be removed between ground floor and flood level. The board should be fitted horizontally to make removal easier. In some cases a dado rail can be used to cover the joints.

Cavity External Walls- Part fill Option B



Option B

Part-filled cavity – Option B

- External cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry; the following mixes are effective:
 - 1 cement : 4 sand: 1/2 lime on concrete blockwork
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
- External face consisting of blocks.
- Rigid insulation.
- Internal face consisting of blocks.
- Internal cement based render, preferably with lime content. Composition depends on masonry; the following mix is effective for flood resilience:
 - 1 cement : 6 sand: 1 lime on Aircrete.
- Ensure stainless steel wall ties are used to minimise corrosion and consequent staining.

6.17 The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment shows that the site is outside of an area of sewer flooding, therefore no mitigation on sewer flood is required.

6.18 The Development Management Procedure Order (2015) requires that the Environment Agency and Council is consulted on developments within Areas with Critical Drainage Problems (ACDPs). The development is within a Critical Drainage Area, the local authority expects that the new development to reduce flood risks downstream, rather than having just neutral impact. It also expects that Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) are used for managing surface water. Refer to section 7 of this report.



7.1

The NPPF specifically stipulates that consideration should be given to potential off-site flood impacts of any proposed development. These off-site impacts are in relation to the following:

- Surface water management
- Flood flow conveyance, storage and climate change

Surface Water Management

7.2

The surface water run-off will be disposed of using SuDS techniques. The aim is to provide a sustainable design that accommodates the proposed attenuation volume and replicates the existing drainage regime using the SuDS hierarchy, is shown in the figure below.

7.3

The Landis Top Soil classification is impeded drainage. The SuDS techniques highlighted in red below could be used on-site. This assessment is based on the LANDIS Top Soil infiltration, ground conditions and available potential discharge points.

The SuDS Hierarchy (Source:EA Thames region, SuDS a practical guide)

Most Sustainable	SUDS technique	Flood Reduction	Pollution Reduction	Landscape & Wildlife Benefit
↑	Living roofs	✓	✓	✓
	Basins and ponds	✓	✓	✓
	- Constructed wetlands			
	- Balancing ponds			
	- Detention basins			
↓	Filter strips and swales	✓	✓	✓
	Infiltration devices	✓	✓	✓
	- soakaways			
	- infiltration trenches			
	- basins			
Least Sustainable	Permeable surfaces and filter drains	✓	✓	
	- gravelled areas			
	- solid paving blocks			
	- porous paviers			
	Tanked systems	✓		
	- over-sized pipes/tanks			
	- storms cells			

7.4

With no increase in the rate of surface water discharge from the site, compared to the site in its current configuration, the proposed development would have no adverse impact on surface water flood risk at the site or surrounding area. The SuDS should be designed at the detailed project stage.



Flood Flow conveyance and storage

- 7.5 Due to the size of the development and its location in the flood risk zone, flood compensation for this development is not required.



- 8.1 This flood risk assessment has identified the potential flooding mechanisms that could affect the site. As part of this, the following residual risks have been evaluated.

Public safety and Site Access

- 8.2 This assessment has demonstrated that the proposed development will have no adverse impact on flood risk in the area surrounding the site. Available evidence indicates that the development would not change surface water generation. Therefore, there is no basis to indicate that, with respect to flood risk, the proposed development would adversely impact public safety.
- 8.3 It will be necessary to ensure that all building users are fully informed of procedures to be implemented during the threat of imminent flooding.

Flood Warning and evacuation

- 8.4 The site is located within an area covered by the Environment Agency Flood Alert service. It is recommended that the users of the proposed development are registered with this service to receive early warning of imminent flooding.
- 8.5 The occupants of the site are encouraged to sign up for the alerts. The Table 4 below shows the actions that must be taken for each flood warning.
- 8.6 Action to be taken in the event of an Alarm being Raised or a Flood Warning Received:
- Raise the alarm and evacuate the site following the established Fire Drill procedures. The main assembly is as per the main house fire drill assembly point.
 - Contact Emergency Fire Services (999) if necessary and Environment Agency Floodline: (0845 988 1188) if the event is unexpected.
 - If safe to do so, locate and turn off critical services, e.g. water, gas & electricity.
 - Follow the routes below to evacuate the site altogether.



Actions that will be taken for each flood warning

Warning	Message	Timing	Action
 FLOOD ALERT	Flooding is possible. Be prepared.	2 hours to 2 days in advance of flooding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Be prepared for flooding. - Prepare a flood kit.
 FLOOD WARNING	Flooding is expected. Immediate action required.	Half an hour to 1 day in advance of flooding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Act now to protect your property. - Block doors with flood boards or sandbags and cover airbricks and other ventilation holes. - Move pets and valuables to a safe place. - Keep a flood kit ready. - Move any critical equipment and information to a safe location
 SEVERE FLOOD WARNING	Severe flooding. Danger to life.	When flooding poses a significant threat to life and different actions are required.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Be ready should you need to evacuate from the property. - Co-operate with the emergency services and call 999 if you are in immediate danger.
Warning Removed	No further flooding is currently expected for your area.	Issued when a flood warning is no longer in force.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Flood water may still be around and could be contaminated. - If you've been flooded, ring your buildings and contents insurance company as soon as possible.

Useful local phone numbers


Please write your local phone numbers in the space provided below. Make sure they are easy to find in the event of a flood.

	Local authority:
	Local police:
	Gas and electricity company:
	Insurance company and policy details:
	Doctor:
	Pharmacy:
	Electrician:
	Gas safe engineer:
	Plumber:
	Builder:



- 8.7 The proposed evacuation route below shows how the development could be evacuated before the 1 in 1000 or 0.1% annual probability of flooding extreme flood occurs. Safe egress is achievable by following Amherst Road, up to then following and reaching Parsonage Road which is shown to be beyond the extent of flooding. See figure below for details.

Evacuation Route



- 9.1 It is concluded that subject to the proposed mitigation measures, the site can be developed in accordance with the provisions of the NPPF and the requirements of the Environment Agency and the local planning authority.
- 9.2 This report demonstrates that the proposal will be safe, in terms of flood risk, for its design life and will not increase the flood risk elsewhere.
- 9.3 It is proposed that a formal Flood Warning and Emergency Response Plan is developed for the proposed development to communicate flood emergency response procedures to all the occupants of the site.




Appendix A



1:1000


Appendix B




SCALE BAR 1:1250




Location Plan
1:1250@A3



SCALE BAR 1:500



Existing Site Plan
1:500@A3



Proposed Site Plan
1:500@A3

GENERAL NOTES

1. The contractor must check the site and the approved drawings before commencing the works and must take any working measurements as necessary and any discrepancy must be reported to the client.
2. Work shall comply with any current relevant BS/codes of practices and confirm to the Building Regulations including the latest amendment. The contractor is responsible for setting out the works.

PROJECT ADDRESS

24 Amherst Road
Manchester
M20 4NS

PROJECT

Erection of outbuilding

DRAWING No.

PP171-1/23

SCALE

1:1250
1:500

REVISION	DATE

DATE	STATUS
14/06/2024	Planning


DRAWN BY

AT


PAPER SIZE

A3

AT A. Design
12 Hastings Gardens
Stockport
SK5 8BF
ata_design@hotmail.com
07830039347




WEST ELEVATION VIEW
Scale 1:50




NORTH ELEVATION VIEW
Scale 1:50




EAST ELEVATION VIEW
Scale 1:50




SOUTH ELEVATION VIEW
Scale 1:50




PLAN VIEW
Scale 1:50



MEZZANINE PLAN VIEW
Scale 1:50



ROOF PLAN VIEW
Scale 1:50



GENERAL NOTES

1. The contractor must check the site and the approved drawings before commencing the works and must take any working measurements as necessary and any discrepancy must be reported to the client.
2. Work shall comply with any current relevant BS/codes of practices and confirm to the Building Regulations including the latest amendment. The contractor is responsible for setting out the works.

KEY

--- Boundary

PROJECT ADDRESS

24 Amherst Road
Manchester
M20 4NS

PROJECT

Erection of outbuilding

DRAWING No.

PP171-1/23

SCALE

1:50

REVISION	DATE

DATE 27/06/24 STATUS Planning

DRAWN BY

AT

PAPER SIZE

A1

AT A. Design
12 Hastings Gardens
Stockport
SK5 8BF
ata_design@hotmail.com
07830039347

Appendix C

Flood map for planning

Your reference
<Unspecified>

Location (easting/northing)
385460/393158

Created
6 Aug 2024 18:01

Your selected location is in flood zone 3, an area with a high probability of flooding.

This means:

- you must complete a flood risk assessment for development in this area
- you should follow the Environment Agency's standing advice for carrying out a flood risk assessment (see www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-standing-advice)


Notes

The flood map for planning shows river and sea flooding data only. It doesn't include other sources of flooding. It is for use in development planning and flood risk assessments.

This information relates to the selected location and is not specific to any property within it. The map is updated regularly and is correct at the time of printing.

Flood risk data is covered by the Open Government Licence which sets out the terms and conditions for using government data. <https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>

Use of the address and mapping data is subject to Ordnance Survey public viewing terms under Crown copyright and database rights 2022 OS 100024198. <https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/os-terms>



Flood map for planning

Your reference
<Unspecified>

Location (easting/northing)
385460/393158

Scale
1:2500


Created
6 Aug 2024 18:01

-  Selected area
-  Flood zone 3
-  Flood zone 2
-  Flood zone 1
-  Flood defence
-  Main river
-  Water storage area



0 20 40 60m






GEOLOGY - BEDROCK - CHESTER FORMATION - SANDSTONE



GEOLOGY - SUPERFICIAL DEPOSITS - TILL, DEVENSIAN - DIAMICTON





- When river levels are normal
- When there is also flooding from rivers

SITE SURFACE WATER FLOOD RISK


High risk means a chance of flooding greater than 3.3% (1:30)
 Medium risk means a chance of flooding of btw 1% (1:100) and 3.3%
 Low risk means a chance of flooding of btw 0.1% (1:1000) and 1%
 Flooding from surface water is difficult to predict as rainfall location and volume are difficult to forecast. In addition, local features can greatly affect the chance and severity of flooding




Flood risk from surface water



Extent of flooding



- High risk**
More than 3.3% chance each year
- Medium risk**
Between 1% and 3.3% chance each year
- Low risk**
Between 0.1% and 1% chance each year



- Above 90cm**
- 30cm to 90cm**
- Below 30cm**

MAGIC RESULTS



Site Check Results

Site Check Report Report generated on Tue Aug 06 2024

You selected the location: Centroid Grid Ref: SJ85469315

The following features have been found in your search area:

Aquifer Designation Map (Bedrock) (England)

Typology

Principal

Aquifer Designation Map (Superficial Drift) (England)

Typology

Secondary (undifferentiated)

Source Protection Zones merged (England)

No Features found


OK

Cancel

Export to CSV


Print

HISTORIC FLOOD MAP




 Historic Flood Outline

GROUND WATER FLOOD RISK




FLOOD WARNING AREA



 Flood Warning areas

CRITICAL DRAINAGE AREA



Appendix D

FLOOD LEVELS

PSO Warrington



The water levels for the site are as follow:

Defended

Node	Easting	Northing	1 in 20	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 100 + 30CC	1 in 100 + 35CC	1 in 100 + 70CC	1 in 200	1 in 1000
1	385444.86	393160.08	36.308	36.347	36.458	36.487	36.499	36.544	36.477	36.664
2	385460.80	393158.26	36.308	36.347	36.458	36.487	36.499	36.544	36.477	36.664
3	385479.11	393155.10	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	36.891



1 in 20



1 in 30



1 in 100



1 in 100 + 30CC



1 in 100 + 35CC



1 in 100 + 70CC



1 in 200



1 in 1000

Undefended

Node	Easting	Northing	1 in 20	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 100 + 30CC	1 in 100 + 35CC	1 in 100 + 70CC	1 in 200	1 in 1000
1	385444.86	393160.08	36.342	36.379	36.469	36.520	36.528	36.570	36.491	36.677
2	385460.80	393158.26	36.342	36.379	36.469	36.521	36.528	36.570	36.491	36.677
3	385479.11	393155.10	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	36.895



1 in 20



1 in 30



1 in 100



1 in 100 + 30CC



1 in 100 + 35CC



1 in 100 + 70CC



1 in 200



1 in 1000