

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. CDN/24/0430

Date registered valid: 22 May 2024

Proposal: Discharge of conditions 4 (ground conditions) and 11 (CMP) attached to planning permission referenced 138296/FO/2023.

Address: 96 Bury Old Road, Manchester, M8 5BW

Ward(s): Crumpsall Ward

Applicant: Mr Khaser Ahmed Yayas Restaurant

Agent (if any): Core Architectural Studio

Case officer: Janine Renshaw-Livesey
Tel: 0161 234 4555
Email: janine.renshaw-livesey@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve

Date of recommendation: 24 July 2024

Application details

Type of application: Approval of condition application

Development type: Notifications

Date of site visit:

Standard consultations:

Printed: 3 June 2024 Expired: 24 June 2024

Neighbour notifications

No neighbour notifications have been printed and sent.

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area:

Tree Preservation Order:

Description of area

Description of development

Consultations & Notification Responses

Issues

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a

protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

4) The following information has been submitted to discharge condition 4 (Ground Conditions),

Core Architectural Studio and the Site Plan, stamped as received on the 22 May 2024 and the email from Core Architectural Studio date 7 July 2024.

The information provided is satisfactory. Therefore, condition 4 is discharged. However, if contaminants are found a remediation strategy, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the City Council as local planning authority and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed Remediation Strategy. If no contamination are found, then a post-completion report shall be submitted to evidence this.

11) The following information has been submitted to discharge condition 11 (CMP),

Core Architectural Studio and the Site Plan, stamped as received on the 22 May 2024 and the email from Core Architectural Studio date 7 July 2024.

The information provided is satisfactory. Therefore, condition 11 is discharged.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

Highway Services
Environmental Health

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - North Manchester PD Restriction - Class B1(a) To C3
Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding - Not Affected
Coal Authority - Development Low Risk - Name: DEVELOPMENT_LOW_RISK_AREA
Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -
Area Team Boundary - Name: NORTH AREA