

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 140820/FH/2024
Date registered valid: 20 August 2024
Proposal: Erection of single storey side and rear extension
Address: 3 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
Ward(s): Northenden Ward

Applicant: Mr Frankio Charles
Agent (if any): Mr R Anwar, RA Design & Project Management Ltd
Case officer: Jake Walther
Tel: 0161 234 1632
Email: jake.walther@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve
Date of recommendation: 10/10/2024

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Date of site visit: 04/09/2024

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 28 August 2024 Expired: 18 September 2024

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The application is for a two-storey semidetached class C3 dwellinghouse on Newhall Drive. The property is built from brick with black slate roof tiles on a hipped roof. The property features a porch to the side with a lean-to roof, white uPVC windows and doors, hardstanding for parking for 3 cars, and a wraparound garden.



Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable

Description of area

Newhall Drive is a residential cul-de-sac, in the Northern Moor area of Northenden. The area is predominantly residential in terms of its character, comprising of mostly 2-storey terraced and

semi-detached residential dwellings. The site is in close proximity to Rack House Primary School, shops and services on Sale Road, and Wythenshawe Park.

Description of development

The applicant is seeking permission for the erection of a single storey side and rear extension to provide additional living accommodation.



Consultations & Notification Responses

Local residents, as per appendix 1 – 1 objection received, summarised as follows:
Concerns over the rear element of the proposal blocking sunlight from the rear windows of the adjoining property.

Policy

The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027 –

The City Council adopted the core strategy on July 11, 2012. It is the key document in the Manchester Local Plan. The plan determines how Manchester will grow and forms the framework that planning applications are assessed against. We consider the following Core Strategy Policies relevant to this proposal.

- Policy DM1 – Development Management
- Policy SP1 – Spatial Principles
- Policy EN1 – Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas
- Policy EN19 – Waste

Extant Unitary Development Plan (UDP)

The Core Strategy replaced much of the UDP, but some UDP Development Control policies are extant (remain). The following extant policy is still considered relevant to this proposal.

- DC1 - Residential Extensions

Places for Everyone

Places for Everyone (2022-2039) – Places for Everyone is a long-term plan of nine Greater Manchester districts for jobs, new homes, and sustainable growth. It has been published by the GMCA on behalf of the nine districts and took effect and became part of the statutory development plan on March 21, 2024.

The following policies are relevant:

- S1- Sustainable development

The National Planning Policy Framework (December 2023)

The document sets out the Government's Planning Policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. At the heart of the Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development in terms, "For plan-making" and "For decision-taking", (paragraph 11).

Paragraph 8 - Achieving sustainable development means that the planning system has three overarching objectives, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways (so that opportunities can be taken to secure net gains across each of the different objectives):

- an economic objective
- a social objective and
- an environmental objective.

The following specific policies are considered to be particularly relevant to the proposed development:

- Chapter 8. Promoting healthy and safe communities.
- Chapter 12. Achieving well-designed and beautiful places.

Principle

The submitted application is for the erection of single storey side and rear extension to form additional living accommodation. This is considered acceptable in principle as many people prefer to alter or extend homes, rather than move, to meet the changing residential needs of families. However, consideration must be given to the proposal's appearance, impact on the visual amenity of the area and impact on the neighbouring properties' residential amenity. As the property is to remain a single family dwellinghouse it is not considered that the proposed alteration would give rise to any significant additional waste or car parking pressures.

In this instance the principle of the proposal is considered acceptable, and it is not believed that there are any factors of sufficient weight in amenity terms that would warrant refusal of the application. The proposed extension is deemed to accord with saved policy DC1 of the UDP and policies DM1 and SP1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

Sitting, Scale and Mass

The proposed single storey side and rear extension will wrap around the rear corner of the property, with a hipped roof measuring 3699 at its pitch, sloping down to 2770mm at the eaves. The rear section of the proposed extension would project out by 4000mm from the existing rear wall, spanning 8975mm across the rear up to the shared boundary with the adjoining property. The side element of the extension would project out from the side wall by 1725mm, leaving a gap of 300mm to the boundary at the corner with no.5.

The proposed rear projection is only 350mm larger than the 3650mm advised in policy DC1 of the UDP, and the side extension projects out by only 1725mm. As such, the development is

considered to be subservient to the host dwellinghouse in terms of its dimensions and would not result in 'excessively large or bulky' addition to the property.

Residential Amenity

Given that the extension would be single storey with a maximum roof height 3699mm sloping down to 2770mm towards the boundaries and with the gardens being east and south facing, it is considered that the proposal should not cause issues associated with excessive overshadowing, loss of light, or loss of outlook, nor should it introduce an overbearing presence into the area.

There is 1no. window and 1no. glazed double door proposed on the rear elevation, with small window to the front elevation of the side extension, the side elevations feature 4no. windows and 1no. front door. Given the large side garden, and the existing sidewall featuring windows and doors. It is not anticipated that the proposed doors or windows would cause any privacy issues for the residents or neighbours.

The proposal therefore accords with policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

Design and Visual Amenity

The proposed extension would be built of brickwork and roof tiles to match the existing dwellinghouse. Its side elevations would be blank and featureless, whilst its rear elevation would feature a set of bi-folding doors and double doors. Its front elevation would feature one obscure glazed window.

The proposal is relatively standard in terms of its design and scale for developments of this type and would be in-keeping with the existing precedent of residential extensions in this locale. The proposal would be visible within the street scene; however, the use of matching materials and subservient scale means it should have a minimal impact upon the visual amenity of the area and should provide a blended appearance with the host dwellinghouse, thus ensuring that it does not form a prominent visual feature. Hence, the overall character of the property and its surrounding area should not be significantly harmed by these works.

The design and appearance of the proposed developments therefore accords with Core Strategy policies DM1 and SP1 as well as chapter 12 of the NPPF as their impact upon visual amenity is considered to be minor

Waste Storage

The storage of refuse bins would not be affected by the proposal.

Parking

The current parking arrangements would be unaffected by this proposal.

Conclusion

Given the above issues, it is considered that the proposed side and rear extension is acceptable in terms of its principle, siting, scale and massing, appearance, and impact upon existing levels of visual and residential amenity as well as waste storage and parking as it broadly complies with policy DC1 of the UDP, policies DM1, SP1, EN1 and EN19 of the Core Strategy and chapter 12 of the NPPF.

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a

protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. No significant problems have arisen during the consideration of this application and the application has been determined in a timely manner, in accordance with the policies with the Development Plan.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1. 3-year time limit:

The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. Specified plans:

The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

- a. Drawing – Location Plan, Proposed Elevations and Plans – 20th August 2024 – Ref: RAD/3117/24/1

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans, pursuant to policies DM1, SP1 and EN1 of the Core Strategy.

3. Specified materials:

The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the application form in type, size, colour, and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure. Pursuant to policies DM1, SP1 and EN1 of the Core Strategy.

4. Additional glazing restriction:

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) Order 2015, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), no additional glazing, windows, or doors, other than those shown on the approved plans, shall be inserted at the property unless Planning Permission is specifically granted.

Reason - In the interests of the amenities of the occupiers of the neighbouring residences, pursuant to policy DM 1 of the Core Strategy for the City of Manchester and saved policy DC1 of the Unitary Development Plan.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

36 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD
38 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD
133 Sale Road, Manchester, M23 0LA
4 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD
1 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
139 Sale Road, Manchester, M23 0LA
137 Sale Road, Manchester, M23 0LA
135 Sale Road, Manchester, M23 0LA
131 Sale Road, Manchester, M23 0LA
6 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD
40 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD
9 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
7 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
5 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
47 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
45 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
43 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
41 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
39 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LB
2 Newhall Drive, Manchester, M23 0LD

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA