



MANCHESTER
CITY COUNCIL

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 141088/FO/2024

Date registered valid: 6 October 2024

Proposal: Installation of rear roof dormer together with elevational alterations to the rear to install Juliet balconies

Address: 46 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF

Ward(s): Old Moat Ward

Applicant: Mr D Lee, Danray Property UK Ltd

Agent (if any): Alan Yarwood, Planning People

Case officer: Joshua Lee
Tel: 0161 219 2851
Email: joshua.lee@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve

Date of recommendation: 12/11/2024

Application details

Type of application: Full Application Consent

Development type: Minor Other

Date of site visit: 23/10/2024

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 21 October 2024 Expired: 11 November 2024

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The proposal relates to a terraced converted dwellinghouse currently housing 4no flats over 3no floors. The property features an existing two storey rear outrigger. The property features a small front yard and a small rear yard with access via an alleyway from Hague Road.

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable



Figure 1. Street scene

Description of area

The property is located in a mostly residential part of the Old Moat ward of Manchester.



© Crown copyright and database rights 2024. Ordnance Survey 100019568



4

Planning History

140285/FO/2024

Description: Conversion of existing basement to provide an additional apartment alongside alterations to the existing external fabric and roofscape to provide a new rear facing dormer and Juliet balconies

Decision: Refused 19/07/2024

It is noted that the proposal is similar to this previous application but removes the basement conversion.

Consultation, notification & publicity responses

Notifications

Local Residents

As stated in Appendix 1, people who live near the area were told about the proposal.

No one commented, objected, or gave their opinion on this application.

Relevant policies & the principle of development

Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act 2004 states that applications for development should be determined in accordance with the adopted development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

After careful consideration, it is concluded that the proposal is acceptable and aligns with the following local, regional and national policies.

- [The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027](#)
 - o Development Management and Planning Obligations
 - DM 1 - Development Management
 - o Objective 1 - Spatial Principles
 - SP 1 - Spatial Principles (Partially replaced by PfE Policy JP-S 1)
 - o Objective 6 Environment
 - EN 1 - Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas
- [Exant Unitary Development Plan \(UDP\)](#) –
 - o Citywide Development Control Policies
 - DC1 - Residential extensions
- [Places for Everyone Plan Joint Development Plan Document 2022-2039-](#)
 - o Strategy
 - JP-Strat9: Southern Areas
 - o Places for People
 - JP-P1 Sustainable Places
- [The National Planning Policy Framework \(The NPPF\)](#) –
 - o Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development
 - o Section 4 – Decision-making
 - o Section 12 – Achieving well-designed places

The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan (2012-2027)

We have assessed the proposal against the following policies of the Core Strategy.

Development Management and Planning Obligations

DM 1 – Development Management

New developments must adhere to the 'Development Principles' and design guidance in the Supplementary Planning Documents.

We have assessed the proposal against the following issues.

Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail

The new addition is designed to match the style and features of the current building. This includes the windows.

The proposal uses PPC and UPVC to match the existing property to match the colours of the existing property.

The proposal is designed to be subservient to the original building with minimal elevational changing and a box dormer that is appropriately sized.

In general, the elevation changes would have a benefit of occupants of the flats by providing more space and natural light without increasing occupancy of the property.

Officers consider the new development to be acceptable in its siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail.

Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale, and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.

The proposal cannot be seen from the street, so it does not change the street's character.

The proposed development won't significantly change the area's character, so its appearance is acceptable.

The proposal is similar in size and scale to others nearby roof dormers and roof interventions to properties of a similar style. Therefore, it can fit on the property site and won't disrupt the area's existing features.

The proposal's design would match the nearby properties. It would use the specified brick and tile materials.

In general, the proposed development has little impact on the surrounding areas. The design, scale, and appearance are all in line with the development management principle.

Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation.

The proposal adds 1 no window in the rear of the building. It doesn't unduly affect privacy because there are other windows to this elevation.

The proposal includes adding large glass doors at the back of the building. These doors face the rear of properties on Central Road. Given the Juliet doors replace existing windows to the elevation the impact to privacy is minimal and would be no more than what is expected between the rear elevations of properties on the streets.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on local light. The new dormer is off a scale that ensures light to neighbouring rooflights are maintained.

The proposal in of itself has a negligible effect on local noise levels or vibration. We understand that demolition and construction can be loud and disruptive to the local residents. Contractors should use construction guidance to reduce emissions and minimize disruptions for residents.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on air quality or odours.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on litter, vermin, or birds.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on road safety and traffic generation.

Overall, the proposal has a negligible impact on privacy, light, noise, air quality, and other factors. So, it follows this principle of development management.

Accessibility: buildings and neighbourhoods fully accessible to disabled people, access to new development by sustainable transport modes.

The proposal does not change how people can get in and out of the building.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Adequacy of internal accommodation and external amenity space.

The proposal adds space inside the property with no effect to the outdoor area.

This follows the development management principle.

Refuse storage and collection.

The proposal itself has little impact on waste from the site. It uses the current storage and collection methods on site. We recognize that demolition and/or construction works often generate commercial waste. We expect contractors to follow best practices in waste management.

The proposal is in accordance with this development management principle.

Vehicular access and car parking

The proposal doesn't change how vehicles access and park at the property, so it follows the development management principle.

Effects relating to biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage

The applicant and consultees did not find any contamination or stability issues at the site.

The proposal does not sit within a Conservation Area or near a designated heritage asset.

The proposal is exempt from biodiversity net gain rules.

On balance the proposal has minimal effect on local biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage and is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Green Infrastructure including open space, both public and private

The proposal has negligible impact on green infrastructure and balances development with existing green space.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Flood risk and drainage.

The site is not located in a flood risk area and therefore does not require a flood statement or assessment.

The proposal has a negligible impact on drainage using the existing drainage on site.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Objective 1 - Spatial Principles

SP 1 - Spatial Principles

This policy outlines the guiding spatial principles and core development principles of Manchester City Council.

Most notably the core development principles highlight development should ‘make a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice’.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Objective 6 Environment

EN 1 – Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas

This policy outlines the key design principles for development in Manchester. All development in Manchester will be expected to follow the seven principles of urban design.

This proposal sits within the ‘Southern Character Area’.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Extant Unitary Development Plan (UDP)

The Core Strategy replaced most of the UDP, but some UDP policies still apply to this proposal.

Citywide Development Control Policies

DC1 - Residential Extensions

This policy outlines the key issues when considering proposed residential extensions.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Places for Everyone Plan (2022 – 2039)

We have assessed the proposal against the following policies of the Places for Everyone Plan.

Strategy

JP-Strat9: Southern Areas

This policy outlines the spatial strategy for the Southern Areas of the City Region which includes Southern areas of Manchester.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Places for People

JP-P1 Sustainable Places

This policy outlines how we aim to become one of the most liveable city regions in the world, consisting of a series of beautiful, healthy, and varied places.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The National Planning Policy Framework explains the government's planning policies for England. It also explains how to use these policies.

At all stages of the application, we have adhered to and considered the NPPF.

Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development

Section 2 of the NPPF outlines how the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, including the provision of homes, commercial development, and supporting infrastructure in a sustainable manner.

Section 4 - Decision-making

Section 4 of the NPPF outlines how local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way.

Section 4 of the NPPF has been adhered to and considered at all stages of the proposal.

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Section 12 of the NPPF outlines the need to achieve well-designed places.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of the NPPF.

Other Material Considerations

Other material considerations & policy may be relevant to the proposal as follows:

- [Guide to Development in Manchester Supplementary Planning Document and Planning Guidance \(April 2007\)](#)
- [Manchester Residential Quality Guide \(July 2016\) \(MRQG\)](#)
- [Manchester, Salford, and Trafford Strategic Flood Risk Assessment](#)
- [Manchester City Council Highways Developer Design Guidance – Sustainable Drainage Systems](#)
- [Manchester City Council Planning and Noise Technical Guidance \(2022\)](#)
- [Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance \(2022\)](#)
- [Planning and Contaminated Land Technical Guidance \(2021\)](#)
- [Greater Manchester Joint Waste Development Plan Document \(GMJWDPD\)](#)
- [Waste Storage and Collection Guidance for New Developments \(2017\)](#)
- [Hot Food Takeaway Supplementary Planning Document \(2017\)](#)
- [Manchester City Council Houses in Multiple Occupation Guidance and Amenity Standards \(2020\)](#)
- [Manchester Tree and Woodland Action Plan 2024-2034](#)
- [Manual for Streets \(2007\)](#)
- [National design guide & National Model Design Code \(2021\)](#)
- [Outdoor advertisements and signs: a guide for advertisers \(2007\)](#)

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council

policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the proposed installation of a rear roof dormer and Juliet balconies at 46 Goulden Road is acceptable. The design is in keeping with the existing property and surrounding area, causing minimal impact on local amenities and the environment. The proposal aligns with relevant planning policies, and no objections were raised by local residents. Therefore, the recommendation is to approve the application.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. The proposal is considered to be acceptable and therefore determined within a timely manner.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval

1. 3-year time limit

The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. Specified plans

The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

- | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|
| a. Drawing - Location Plan | 23 Sep 2024 | | |
| b. Drawing - Existing | 06 Oct 2024 | DRAWING PACK | PLANS AND ELEVATION |
| c. Drawing - Proposed | 23 Sep 2024 | DRAWING PACK | PLANS AND ELEVATIONS |

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans, pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy and JP-P1 & of Places for Everyone.

3. Specified materials

The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the application form in type, size, colour, and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure. Pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy and JP-S1 and JP-P1 of Places for Everyone.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

Flat 2, 51 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 1, 51 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 4, 47 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 3, 47 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 2, 47 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 1, 47 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 4, 7 Hague Road, Manchester, M20 4YA
 Flat 3, 7 Hague Road, Manchester, M20 4YA
 Flat 2, 7 Hague Road, Manchester, M20 4YA
 Flat 1, 7 Hague Road, Manchester, M20 4YA
 Flat 5, 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 4, 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 2, 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 1, 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 2, 49 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 1, 49 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 3, 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 44 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 3, 46 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 39 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZE
 47 Central Road, Manchester, M20 4YE
 Flat 4, 46 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 2, 46 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 Flat 1, 46 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZF
 41 Goulden Road, Manchester, M20 4ZE

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
 Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -
 Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA