



Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no.	141004/FH/2024
Date registered valid:	10 October 2024
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension to form additional living accommodation
Address:	62 Moss Hey Drive, Manchester, M23 0DH
Ward(s):	Northenden Ward
Applicant:	Mr Hemant Raste
Agent (if any):	Mr David Huxley, Platinum Architecture
Case officer:	Joshua Lee Tel: 0161 219 2851 Email: joshua.lee@manchester.gov.uk
Recommendation:	Approve
Date of recommendation:	19/11/2024

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Date of site visit: 23/10/2024

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 16 October 2024 Expired: 6 November 2024

Site notice

Posted: 23 October 2024 Expired: 13 November 2024

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The proposal is related to a newly built two storey dwellinghouse on Moss Hey Drive. The property features hardstanding to the front for off street vehicle parking and a garden to the rear. The property is part of a new residential development with restricted permitted development rights.

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable


Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable



Figure 1. Street scene

Description of area

The proposal is in a mostly residential part of the Northenden ward of Manchester. The house is part of a new 'Varsity Quarter' residential development.



© Crown copyright and database rights 2024. Ordnance Survey 100019568




Figure 2. Location plan (note the map has not been updated with the new housing development)

Decision: Approved 17/12/2021

It is noted that there is a condition removing the permitted development rights of the properties for building of extensions and outbuildings.

Consultation, notification & publicity responses

Notifications

Local Residents

As stated in Appendix 1, people who live near the area were told about the proposal.

No one commented, objected, or gave their opinion on this application.

Publications

Site Notice

A public site notice was posted due to the local addresses yet to be added to the system

No one commented, objected, or gave their opinion on this application.

Negotiations

Issues have arisen in the consultation phase.

To ensure that the materiality of the new housing development is maintained the applicant was asked to alter the proposal to use a terracotta render of brick finish to match existing.

Updated proposed development.

The updated scheme removed the white render and utilises a brick finish to match existing.




Figure 4. Updated proposed elevations

Consultation, notification & publicity responses to updated proposed development

Not required as minor material change with no massing impacts.

Relevant policies & the principle of development

Section 38 (6) of the Town and Country Planning Act 2004 states that applications for development should be determined in accordance with the adopted development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

After careful consideration, it is concluded that the proposal is acceptable and aligns with the following local, regional and national policies.

- [The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027](#)
 - Development Management and Planning Obligations
 - DM 1 - Development Management
 - Objective 1 - Spatial Principles
 - SP 1 - Spatial Principles (Partially replaced by PfE Policy JP-S 1)
 - Objective 6 Environment
 - EN 1 - Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas
- [Extant Unitary Development Plan \(UDP\)](#) –
 - Citywide Development Control Policies
 - DC1 - Residential extensions
- [Places for Everyone Plan Joint Development Plan Document 2022-2039-](#)
 - Strategy
 - JP-Strat9: Southern Areas
 - Places for People
 - JP-P1 Sustainable Places
- [The National Planning Policy Framework \(The NPPF\)](#) –
 - Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development
 - Section 4 – Decision-making
 - Section 12 – Achieving well-designed places

The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan (2012-2027)

We have assessed the proposal against the following policies of the Core Strategy.

Development Management and Planning Obligations

DM 1 – Development Management

New developments must adhere to the 'Development Principles' and design guidance in the Supplementary Planning Documents.

We have assessed the proposal against the following issues.

Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail

The new addition is designed to match the style and features of the current building. This includes the windows.

The proposal uses a brick finish. The brick finish would incorporate into the existing material palette of the new housing development.

The proposal is designed to be subservient to the original dwelling house being entirely at ground floor.

It is likely that the development would be considered permitted development if these properties did not have their PD rights removed.

Officers consider the new development to be acceptable in its siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials, and detail.

Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale, and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.

The proposal cannot be seen from the street, so it does not change the street's character.

The proposed development won't significantly change the area's character, so its appearance is acceptable.

The proposal's design would match the nearby properties. It would use the specified render to incorporate into the existing building.

In general, the proposed development has little impact on the surrounding areas. The design, scale, and appearance are all in line with the development management principle.

Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation.

The proposal includes adding large glass doors at the back of the building. The design of the boundary and its distance help reduce privacy concerns.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on local light.

The proposal in of itself has a negligible effect on local noise levels or vibration. We understand that demolition and construction can be loud and disruptive to the local residents. Contractors should use construction guidance to reduce emissions and minimize disruptions for residents.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on air quality or odours.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on litter, vermin, or birds.

The proposal is expected to have a negligible effect on road safety and traffic generation.

Overall, the proposal has a negligible impact on privacy, light, noise, air quality, and other factors. So, it follows this principle of development management.

Accessibility: buildings and neighbourhoods fully accessible to disabled people, access to new development by sustainable transport modes.

The proposal does not change how people can get in and out of the building.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Adequacy of internal accommodation and external amenity space.

The proposal adds space inside the property with minimal effect to the outdoor area.

This follows the development management principle.

Refuse storage and collection.

The proposal itself has little impact on waste from the site. It uses the current storage and collection methods on site. We recognize that demolition and/or construction works often generate commercial waste. We expect contractors to follow best practices in waste management.

The proposal is in accordance with this development management principle.

Vehicular access and car parking

The proposal doesn't change how vehicles access and park at the property, so it follows the development management principle.

Effects relating to biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage

The applicant and consultees did not find any contamination or stability issues at the site.

The proposal does not sit within a Conservation Area or near a designated heritage asset.

The proposal is exempt from biodiversity net gain rules.

On balance the proposal has minimal effect on local biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage and is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Green Infrastructure including open space, both public and private

The dwelling benefits from a garden beyond the siting of the proposed development.

The proposal would minimally affect green infrastructure and would balance new development with existing green space.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Flood risk and drainage.

The site is not located in a flood risk area and therefore does not require a flood statement or assessment.

The proposal has a negligible impact on drainage using the existing drainage on site.

The proposal is therefore in accordance with this development management principle.

Objective 1 - Spatial Principles

SP 1 - Spatial Principles

This policy outlines the guiding spatial principles and core development principles of Manchester City Council.

Most notably the core development principles highlight development should ‘make a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice’.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Objective 6 Environment

EN 1 – Design Principles and Strategic Character Areas

This policy outlines the key design principles for development in Manchester. All development in Manchester will be expected to follow the seven principles of urban design.

This proposal sits within the ‘Wythenshawe Character Area.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Extant Unitary Development Plan (UDP)

The Core Strategy replaced most of the UDP, but some UDP policies still apply to this proposal.

Citywide Development Control Policies

DC1 - Residential Extensions

This policy outlines the key issues when considering proposed residential extensions.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Places for Everyone Plan (2022 – 2039)

We have assessed the proposal against the following policies of the Places for Everyone Plan.

Strategy

JP-Strat9: Southern Areas

This policy outlines the spatial strategy for the Southern Areas of the City Region which includes Southern areas of Manchester.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

Places for People

JP-P1 Sustainable Places

This policy outlines how we aim to become one of the most liveable city regions in the world, consisting of a series of beautiful, healthy, and varied places.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of this policy.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The National Planning Policy Framework explains the government's planning policies for England. It also explains how to use these policies.

At all stages of the application, we have adhered to and considered the NPPF.

Section 2 - Achieving sustainable development

Section 2 of the NPPF outlines how the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, including the provision of homes, commercial development, and supporting infrastructure in a sustainable manner.

Section 4 - Decision-making

Section 4 of the NPPF outlines how local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way.

Section 4 of the NPPF has been adhered to and considered at all stages of the proposal.

Section 12 - Achieving well-designed places

Section 12 of the NPPF outlines the need to achieve well-designed places.

We consider this proposal to accord with the principles of the NPPF.

Other Material Considerations

Other material considerations & policy may be relevant to the proposal as follows:

- [Guide to Development in Manchester Supplementary Planning Document and Planning Guidance \(April 2007\)](#)
- [Manchester Residential Quality Guide \(July 2016\) \(MRQG\)](#)
- [Manchester, Salford, and Trafford Strategic Flood Risk Assessment](#)
- [Manchester City Council Highways Developer Design Guidance – Sustainable Drainage Systems](#)
- [Manchester City Council Planning and Noise Technical Guidance \(2022\)](#)
- [Air Quality & Planning Technical Guidance \(2022\)](#)
- [Planning and Contaminated Land Technical Guidance \(2021\)](#)
- [Greater Manchester Joint Waste Development Plan Document \(GMJWDPD\)](#)
- [Waste Storage and Collection Guidance for New Developments \(2017\)](#)
- [Hot Food Takeaway Supplementary Planning Document \(2017\)](#)

- [Manchester City Council Houses in Multiple Occupation Guidance and Amenity Standards \(2020\)](#)
- [Manchester Tree and Woodland Action Plan 2024-2034](#)
- [Manual for Streets \(2007\)](#)
- [National design guide & National Model Design Code \(2021\)](#)
- [Outdoor advertisements and signs: a guide for advertisers \(2007\)](#)

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Conclusion

The proposed single-storey rear extension at 62 Moss Hey Drive has been thoroughly assessed and found to comply with relevant local, regional, and national planning policies. The extension is designed to be in harmony with the existing property and surrounding area, ensuring minimal impact on local amenities and the environment. Given the proposal's alignment with development principles and negligible adverse effects, it is recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have collaborated with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner. We assessed the proposal with regards to policies outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework, Unitary Development Plan Saved Policies, Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan, and other material considerations. No significant problems have arisen during the consideration of this application.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval

1. 3-year time limit

The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. Specified plans

The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

a. Drawing - Location Plan	12 Sep 2024	THE LOCATION PLAN
b. Drawing - Site Plan	10 Oct 2024	
c. Drawing - Existing	12 Sep 2024	FLOOR PLANS
d. Drawing - Existing	12 Sep 2024	ELEVATIONS
e. Drawing - Proposed	12 Sep 2024	FLOOR PLANS
f. Drawing - Proposed	05 Dec 2024	ELEVATIONS

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans, pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy and JP-P1 of Places for Everyone.

3. Specified materials

The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the elevational drawings in type, size, colour, and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure. Pursuant to policies DM 1, SP 1 and EN 1 of the Core Strategy and JP-P1 of Places for Everyone.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

45 Stage Street, Manchester, M23 0JT

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4

Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -

Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH AREA