



VALIDATION REPORT
FOR 27-29 TRENCHARD DRIVE,
WYTHENSHAW, MANCHESTER

FOR
KANSO PROPERTY SERVICES LTD

Report Reference: 5210-24

GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd
4A Haggwood Stone Quarry
Woodhead Road
Honley
West Yorkshire
HD9 6PW

[www: geoenvirosolutions.com](http://www.geoenvirosolutions.com)

QUALITY ASSURANCE

	Name	Position	Date
Prepared by:	John Dickinson	Geoenvironmental Engineer	December 2024
Reviewed by:	Andrew Dickinson	Associate Director	December 2024
Approved by:	Andrew Dickinson	Associate Director	December 2024

ISSUE STATUS

Version	Date	Description	Prepared	Reviewed	Approved
1	December 2024	First Issue	-	-	-

APPENDICES

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. SITE SETTING.....	2
3. FIELDWORKS	3
4. LABORATORY TESTING – CONTAMINATION.....	4
5. CONTAMINATION.....	5
6. CONCLUSIONS.....	7
7. RELIANCE AND LIMITATIONS	8

APPENDICES

Appendix	Information
1	Drawings and Plans
2	Site Photographs
3	Chemical Testing Results
4	Chemical Screening Criteria

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Meaning
BGS	British Geological Survey
BH	Borehole
CDM	Construction Design and Management
CL:AIRE	Contaminated Land: Applications In Real Environments
CLR	Contaminated Land Report
COSHH	Control Of Substances Hazardous to Health
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DCP	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
DEFRA	Department for Environment Foods and Rural Affairs
DoE	Department of Environment
DP	Dynamic Probe
DWS	Drinking Water Standard
EA	Environment Agency
EQS	Environmental Quality Standard
GAC	Generic Acceptance Criteria
HA	Hand Auger
HP	Hand Pit
LPA	Local Planning Authority
LQM	Land Quality Management
mbgl	Metres Below Ground Level
MP	Mackintosh Probe
NGR	National Grid Reference
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
OS	Ordnance Survey
SGV	Soil Guideline Value
SPOSH	Significant Possibility of Significant Harm
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
SPZ	Source Protection Zone
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
SSV	Soil Screening Value
TP	Trial Pit
TT	Trial Trench
WS	Windowless Sample / Window Sample
WSV	Water Screening Value

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND INSTRUCTION

GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd (GES) was instructed by Kansho Property Services Ltd (the Client) to conduct a Remedial Strategy at the proposed 27-29 Trenchard Drive, Wythenshaw.

GES were instructed to undertake a validation report for the remediation undertaken as part of the development. A remediation strategy was provided by the Client. The report was compiled by Worms Eye in report ref: Trenchard Road / M22 5LZ.

The GES Validation Report 5210-24 was undertaken to confirm that recommendations made in Worms Eye Intrusive Report where all the gardens, landscaped areas, paths, and patios were stripped to 0.60 mbgl and then backfilled with imported soils that had been tested to confirm they were suitable for use on site. Within the validation investigation six trial pits (TP01 – TP06) were advanced to 0.60 mbgl across the site and sampled to determine if the imported soils were suitable for the intended site use.

The validation report concluded after chemical screening that a lead exceedance of 629 mg/kg located within TP01 at a shallow depth would require further work to delineate and remove the hotspot of elevated contamination. As such, a second investigation was undertaken to collect additional samples from six additional trial pits (TP101 – TP106) within close proximity of TP01 to delineate the extent of the lead hotspot. The following report displays the findings and remedial action undertaken.

1.2 PARTIES TO THE PROPOSED WORKS

The principal parties to the remediation and engineering works include:

- Kansho Property Ltd.
- Stroma Building Control.
- ABC+ Warranty

1.3 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Previous assessments conducted at the site comprise the following:

- Worms Eye report dated 25th March 2020, referenced Trenchard Road / M22 5LZ / 2020 and entitled 'Intrusive Report'.
- GES Validation Report referenced GES Trenchard Drive, Manchester Validation Report 5210-24 dated 20th November 2024, which should be read in conjunction with this report.

2. SITE SETTING

2.1 SITE INFORMATION

Location

The site is located at 27-29 Trenchard Road, Trenchard Drive, Moss Nook, Manchester, M22 5LZ, at approximate National Grid Reference NGR: 383893, 385454 (centre of the site).

The general location of the site can be reviewed in drawing 5210-24/01 within **Appendix 1**.

3. FIELDWORKS

3.1 GROUND INVESTIGATION

All ground investigation works were completed on the December the 9th 2024 under the supervision of a Geoenvironmental Engineer from GES.

The ground investigation has been carried out in accordance with BS5930: 2015+A1:2020 and the UK Specification for Ground Investigation Second Edition 2012.

All strata descriptions were undertaken in accordance with BS5930: 2015+A1:2020; EN ISO 14688-1&2:2018; and EN ISO 14689:2018.

Six sampling trial pits (TP101-TP106) were undertaken in the rear garden of Unit 1 around the hotspot location. In addition, the hotspot location of TP01 was excavated to 0.60 mbgl in a 1.00 m³ area and reinstated with clean topsoil.

The positions of the sampling trial pits in relation to the existing site layout can be reviewed on drawing 5210-24/02 which shows the locations of the trial pits, within [Appendix 1](#).

Photographs of the site works, and remediation of TP01 can be reviewed in [Appendix 2](#).

3.2 RESTRICTIONS

No restrictions were encountered during this ground investigation.

4. LABORATORY TESTING – CONTAMINATION

4.1 SOIL TESTING

All samples were sent to a UKAS accredited chemical testing laboratory, and MCERTS were used where available. The analytical strategy focussed on a metals suite of potential contaminants and the analytical suites are summarised in Table 4.1, below.

Table 4.1: Summary of Chemical Testing Suite

Testing	Comment	No. of soil samples analysed
General metals suite including Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, cyanide, lead, mercury, nickel, phenol, selenium, zinc, pH, and water soluble sulphate	General analysis of soils.	6

All chemical testing results are presented in [Appendix 3](#).

5. CONTAMINATION

5.1 SOIL TESTING

A total of six samples have been selected and analysed by Chemtech Ltd in their UKAS and MCERTS accredited laboratory-testing facility in accordance with laboratory protocol.

The testing completed comprised of a focused suite of metals and metalloids.

The results of this laboratory testing have been compared to the Soil Guideline Values (SGVs) as well as the CIEH 'LQM' guideline values for inorganic and organic contaminants in soils. The greater site area comprises of commercial use and therefore screening has been made against a land use of 'Residential with Homegrown Produce'.

A set of Screening Values are provided in [Appendix 4](#).

Contaminants have been screened against revised LQM/CIEH S4UL criteria¹ where available. These GAC have been designed for use under planning, using Health Criteria Values based on minimal risk, and updated exposure parameters.

There is neither an S4UL nor an EIC/ AGS/ CL:AIRE/ GAC available for lead. In the absence of a GAC based on minimal risk, the C4SL for lead has been used. It is recognised that this is based on a "low level of toxicological concern" rather than on a minimal risk level. However, it is considered appropriate for use under planning, especially for a site where there will effectively be no pathways for inorganic metals following redevelopment.

All the GAC are based on a sandy loam soil. This is considered appropriate for use for initial screening. Although it is apparent from the laboratory soil descriptions, that the material tested is heterogeneous, it is noted that many of the soil descriptions have a significant clay component.

All of the GAC assume unsaturated soils. However, the use of the GAC is considered to be conservative, because they assume a certain air-filled porosity and water-filled porosity.

The GAC also assume that no free phase product is present and are not intended for use in this instance. No free product was observed within soils during the ground investigation.

5.2 SOIL TESTING RESULTS AND SCREENING

All analytes are presented in the following table with units in mg/kg unless otherwise stated.

The results of the chemical laboratory testing are provided in [Appendix 4](#).

Tables 5.1 below summarizes the soil testing results.

Table 5.1: Metalloids Soil Exceedances

Determinant	Min	Max	GAC	Number of exceedances	Location of exceedances
Arsenic	5.2	15.1	37	0	-
Cadmium	<1.6	1.8	11	0	-
Chromium total	2	24.1	910	0	-
Chromium (VI)	<0.04	<0.04	6	0	-
Copper	1.6	41.7	2400	0	-

¹ Nathanail et al. (2015) The LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment. Land Quality Press, 2015. Copyright Land Quality Management Limited reproduced with permission; Publication Number S4UL3495

Determinant	Min	Max	GAC	Number of exceedances	Location of exceedances
Lead	2.3	262	200	2	TP104 and TP105
Mercury	<0.7	0.7	1.2	0	-
Nickel	2.1	21.7	180	0	-
Selenium	<3	3	250	0	-
Zinc	4	195	3700	0	-

**LQM/CIEH GAC for 'Residential with Homegrown Produce' use scenario based on a sandy loam soil and 6% SOM.*

a) Based on the inorganic mercury GAC as the conceptual site model does not suggest that other forms of mercury are likely to be present on site.

b) LQM issued an update to the nickel S4ULs in August 2015, and this has been taken into account.

6. CONCLUSIONS

6.1 SOIL VALIDATION

The target remediation depth is recommended as being 600 mm within the imported soils from the remediation strategy report. It should be appreciated that the cover material comprised multiple anthropogenic materials. The results of the chemical testing were compared against the values for 'Residential with Homegrown Produce'. The results suggest that the material is generally clean with the exception of 2 values of 252 mg/kg and 262 mg/kg for lead in TP104 and TP105 respectively.

As these contaminants will primarily be transferred via direct soil ingestion the effect of 'regression to the mean' stated in the CLEA model through direct soil and dust ingestion by Stanek and Calabrese, 2000 can be applied in this scenario. An approach of using the remaining values of lead to determine the long-term exposure of Lead across the entire site using the 95th percentile of the standard deviation confidence interval (standard deviation x 2) can be utilised. In addition, as the previously identified lead exceedance of 629 mg/kg within TP01 has been removed and replaced with clean topsoil as of the 9th December 2024 we can exclude this value from our calculations. The 95th percentile calculation of the remaining Lead samples equates to 145.008 mg/kg. To further enhance the overall confidence of the assessment, the 95th percentile of Lead data encompasses the previously noted exceedances within TP104 and TP105.

As the site wide 95th percentile of Lead data is below the SGV rate of 200 mg/kg, the exceedances found within TP104 and TP105 can be omitted as they fall within the statistically acceptable variance applied to the site using the CLEA Model.

As such the validation exercise can be considered robust and the site is suitable for use as residential gardens.

6.2 GAS MEMBRANE VALIDATION

The validation of the installation of the gas membrane has been undertaken by others and reported separately. As such this report does not include validation of the gas membrane installation.

7. RELIANCE AND LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared using published information and information provided by the Client and their professional advisers which has been made available to GES at the time of writing only. GES accepts no liability for any changes resulting from new information which has become available since this time.

This report is provided for the sole use of the client and their professional advisors and is confidential to them unless agreed otherwise in writing. This report may only be used and relied on once the work has been paid for in full. GES owes no duty of care and has no liability to any third party who is not authorised by GES to use this report. Any unauthorised third parties using information contained in this report do so at their own risk.

We are content that as a result of the site investigation works and subsequent soil testing undertaken, as outlined within this report, we have characterised the ground conditions and consequently the potential for contamination to exist on site. These works and ensuing assessment have been detailed in this report.

This assessment has been carried out to determine the potential risks posed to future end users, along with other key receptors, resulting from potential contamination at the site, based on the proposed development. Should any revisions in the development proposals result in a change any assessment parameters detailed in this report, a re-assessment of the risk should be carried out.

Whilst this report may reference observations made regarding the presence of features/ issues such as invasive species, ACM, site drainage and evidence of structural abnormalities, this report does not constitute specialist surveys on these matters. Should further specialist surveys be carried out in this regard, the findings of these should be reported to GES so that we may determine if this has any impact on the findings of this report.

The assessment and interpretation of the factual data obtained as part of this site investigation has been undertaken in accordance with standard consulting practise and with current national and international guidance.

This report presents the observations made during the site investigation and the factual data obtained. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are limited to those which can be made based on the findings of the survey and information provided by third parties. GES assumes all third party data to be true and correct. No responsibility can be accepted by GES for inaccuracies in the information provided by any other party.


This report is written in the context of an agreed scope of works and should not be used in a different context. Furthermore, new information, improved practises, and changes in legislation may require the reinterpretation of the report in whole or in part after its original issue. GES reserve the right to alter their conclusions and recommendations in the light of further information that may become available.

Ground conditions can be variable and change rapidly, especially in areas of Made Ground, however it is assumed that the ground conditions encountered and observed are typical and representative of the site as a whole. Most specifically with regard to this limited investigation, the ground conditions have been determined from a limited number of exploratory holes formed across the site, therefore only a small percentage of the total area of the site has been investigated. Interpolation between exploratory holes has enabled a general picture of the subsurface conditions to be produced. Conclusions drawn from the ground investigation should be read in this context. GES cannot accept responsibility for any situations resulting from locally unforeseen ground conditions occurring between exploratory holes.

In addition, subsurface conditions including contaminant concentrations and groundwater levels may vary spatially with time. This factor should be given due consideration in the event that the information contained within this report is used after any significant period of time has elapsed.

A green rounded rectangle with a thin black border, centered on the page. It contains the text 'APPENDIX 1' and 'DRAWINGS AND PLANS' in black, uppercase letters.

APPENDIX 1
DRAWINGS AND PLANS



General
Site
Location



GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd
4A Haggwood Stone Quarry,
Woodhead Road, Honley,
HD9 6PW
Tel: 01484 986010
Email: info@geoenvirosolutions.com
Web: www.geoenvirosolutions.com




PROJECT NAME	Trenchard Drive, Manchester
PROJECT NUMBER	5210-24
TITLE	Site Location Plan

DRAWING NO.	5210-24/01
--------------------	------------

SCALE	N.T.S
--------------	-------

DATE	December 2024
-------------	---------------

DRAWN BY	JD
-----------------	----



GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd
 4A Haggwood Stone Quarry,
 Woodhead Road, Honley,
 HD9 6PW
 Tel: 01484 986010
 Email: info@geoenvirosolutions.com
 Web: www.geoenvirosolutions.com

PROJECT NAME Trenchard Drive, Manchester

PROJECT NUMBER 5210-24

TITLE
 Sampling Point Location Plan

DRAWING NO.
 5210-24/02

DATE
 December 2024

SCALE
 N.T.S

DRAWN BY
 JD

A green rounded rectangle with a thin black border, centered on the page. It contains the text "APPENDIX 2" and "SITE PHOTOGRAPHS" in black, uppercase letters.

APPENDIX 2
SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Site: 27-29 Trenchard Drive
Client: Kansho Property Services Ltd
Job Reference: 5210-24



A.



B.



C.



D.



A. Sample location points (TP101-TP106) marked around hotspot area.

B. Sample location points (TP101-TP106) marked around hotspot area.

C. Sample location points advanced to 0.30 mbgl with collected samples.

D. Sample location points reinstatement.

Site: 27-29 Trenchard Drive
Client: Kansho Property Services Ltd
Job Reference: 5210-24 09-12-24



D.



E.



D. TP01 prior to excavations.

E. TP01 topsoil removed.

F. TP01 advanced to 0.60 mbgl with standing water at 0.58 mbgl.

G. TP01 with tape measure at 0.60 mbgl in the centre of the excavation pit.

F.



G.



Site: 27-29 Trenchard Drive
Client: Kansho Property Services Ltd
Job Reference: 5210-24 09-12-24



H.



I.



H. TP01 with tape measure at 0.60 mbgl at the edge of the excavation pit.

I. TP01 reinstatement.

J. TP01 with grass seeds.

K. TP01 reinstatement.

J.



K.



APPENDIX 3
CHEMICAL TESTING RESULTS



ANALYTICAL TEST REPORT

Report Number 24-04569, issue number 1

Contract name: Trenchard Drive Manchester

Client reference: 5210-24

Clients name: GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd

Clients address: GeoEnviro Solutions Ltd
Unit 7 Springvale Works
Brookfoot Lane
Brighouse
HD6 2RA

Samples received: 27/11/2024

Analysis started: 27/11/2024

Analysis completed: 02/12/2024

Report issued: 02/12/2024

Key

U	UKAS accredited test
M	MCERTS & UKAS accredited test
\$	Test carried out by an approved subcontractor
I/S	Insufficient sample to carry out test
U/S	Sample not suitable for testing
NAD	No Asbestos Detected

Approved by: Abbie Neasham-Bourn
Senior Reporting Administrator

SAMPLE INFORMATION

MCERTS (Soils):

Soil descriptions are only intended to provide a log of sample matrices with respect to MCERTS validation. They are not intended as full geological descriptions. MCERTS accreditation applies for sand, clay and loam/topsoil, or combinations of these whether these are derived from naturally occurring soils or from made ground, as long as these materials constitute the major part of the sample. Other materials such as concrete, gravel and brick are not accredited if they comprise the major part of the sample.

Lab ref	Sample ID	Depth (m)	Sample description	Material removed	% Removed	% Moisture
24584	TP101	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	17.4
24585	TP102	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	15.0
24586	TP103	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	15.7
24587	TP104	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	15.2
24588	TP105	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	18.3
24589	TP106	-	Brown Loamy Sand Clay with Gravel and Vegetation.	-	-	14.4

DEVIATING SAMPLE INFORMATION

Comments

Sample deviation is determined in accordance with the UKAS note "Guidance on Deviating Samples" and based on reference standards and laboratory trials.

For samples identified as deviating, test result(s) may be compromised and may not be representative of the sample at the time of sampling.

Chemtech Environmental Ltd cannot be held responsible for the integrity of sample(s) received if Chemtech Environmental Ltd did not undertake the sampling. Such samples may be deviating.

Key

- a Sampling date not provided
- b Sampling time not provided (waters only)
- c Sample not received in appropriate containers
- d Storage Temperature
- e Headspace present in sample container
- f Sample exceeded sampling to receipt
- g Sample exceeded holding time(s)

Lab ref	Sample ID	Depth (m)	Deviating	Tests (Reason for deviation)
24584	TP101	-	N	
24585	TP102	-	N	
24586	TP103	-	N	
24587	TP104	-	N	
24588	TP105	-	N	
24589	TP106	-	N	

SOILS

Lab Number					24584	24585	24586	24587	24588
Client Reference					SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
Sample ID					TP101	TP102	TP103	TP104	TP105
Sampling Date					26/11/2024	26/11/2024	26/11/2024	26/11/2024	26/11/2024
Test	Method	Accred	LoD	Units					
Metals									
Water Soluble Boron	CE063	N	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.50	0.72	0.74
Antimony	CE264	N	5	mg/kg	29.0	14.1	17.5	18.7	22.5
Arsenic	CE264	U	1.8	mg/kg	5.5	5.2	11.6	12.5	15.1
Barium	CE264	U	1.9	mg/kg	57.0	159	156	131	151
Cadmium	CE264	M	1.6	mg/kg	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6
Chromium	CE264	U	2	mg/kg	11.3	12.0	20.0	18.2	24.1
Cobalt	CE264	M	1.8	mg/kg	5.7	4.8	7.0	6.9	8.6
Copper	CE264	M	1.6	mg/kg	28.9	23.6	30.5	33.4	41.7
Lead	CE264	U	2.3	mg/kg	41.5	56.9	177	252	262
Mercury	CE264	U	0.7	mg/kg	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
Molybdenum	CE264	U	1.6	mg/kg	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	1.8	2.3
Nickel	CE264	M	2.1	mg/kg	12.2	14.7	19.1	18.9	21.7
Selenium	CE264	U	3	mg/kg	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0
Zinc	CE264	M	4	mg/kg	40.9	43.9	110	164	195
Combustion									
Moisture Content	CE001	N	0.1	%	17.4	15.0	15.7	15.2	18.3

SOILS

Lab Number					24589
Client Reference					SOIL
Sample ID					TP106
Sampling Date					26/11/2024
Test	Method	Accred	LoD	Units	
Metals					
Water Soluble Boron	CE063	N	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.50
Antimony	CE264	N	5	mg/kg	13.6
Arsenic	CE264	U	1.8	mg/kg	6.1
Barium	CE264	U	1.9	mg/kg	81.8
Cadmium	CE264	M	1.6	mg/kg	1.8
Chromium	CE264	U	2	mg/kg	29.8
Cobalt	CE264	M	1.8	mg/kg	12.2
Copper	CE264	M	1.6	mg/kg	65.3
Lead	CE264	U	2.3	mg/kg	110
Mercury	CE264	U	0.7	mg/kg	2.6
Molybdenum	CE264	U	1.6	mg/kg	7.1
Nickel	CE264	M	2.1	mg/kg	26.3
Selenium	CE264	U	3	mg/kg	< 3.0
Zinc	CE264	M	4	mg/kg	84.4
Combustion					
Moisture Content	CE001	N	0.1	%	14.4

METHOD DETAILS

METHOD	TESTNAME	METHOD SUMMARY	ANALYSIS BASIS
CE063	Water soluble boron	ICPOES	Air dried sample
CE264	Metals by ICP in Soil	ICPOES	Air dried sample

REPORT INFORMATION

Report No.:24-04569, issue number 1

Key

U	ISO17025 Accredited Result
M	ISO17025 and MCERTS Accredited Result
N	Do not currently hold accreditation
^	MCERTS accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
*	ISO17025 accreditation not applicable for sample matrix
S	Subcontracted
I/S	Insufficient Sample
U/S	Unsuitable sample
N/T	Not tested
<	Means "less than"
>	Means "greater than"

LOD refers to limit of detection, except in the case of pH soils and pH waters where it means limit of discrimination.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the UKAS accreditation scope.

All testing carried out at Unit 6 Parkhead, Stanley, DH9 7YB, except for subcontracted testing.

The results relate only to the sample received.

Unless otherwise stated, sample information has been provided by the client. This may affect the validity of the results.

Moisture Content Calculated on a Wet Weight basis

Unless otherwise stated, Chemtech Environmental Ltd was not responsible for sampling.

Sampling was undertaken by Chemtech Environmental Limited and is outside the UKAS accreditation scope.

Methods, procedures and performance data are available on request.

Results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory.

BTEX compounds are identified by retention time only and may include interference from co-eluting compounds.

For soils and solids, all results are reported on a dry basis. Samples dried at no more than 30°C in a drying

For soils and solids, analytical results are inclusive of stones, where applicable.

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 4 weeks from the point of receipt

All water samples will be retained for a period of 2 weeks from the point of Reporting

Charges may apply to extended sample storage

TPH Classification - HWOL Acronym System

HS	Headspace analysis
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics only
AR	Aromatics only
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry

APPENDIX 4
CHEMICAL SCREENING CRITERIA

[illegible]

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)						
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
PAHs																			
Acenaphthene	210	510	1100	3000 (57)	4700(141)	6000 (336)	34	85	200	84000 (57)	97000 (141)	100000	15000	15000	15000	29000	30000	30000	LQM (2014)
Acenaphthylene	170	420	920	2900 (86.1)	4600 (212)	6000 (506)	28	69	160	8300 (86.1)	97000 (212)	100000	15000	15000	15000	29000	30000	30000	LQM (2014)
Anthracene	2400	5400	11000	31000 (1.17)	35000	37000	380	950	2200	520000	540000	540000	74000	74000	74000	150000	150000	150000	LQM (2014)
Benzo(a)anthracen e	7.2	11	13	11	14	15	2.9	6.5	13	170	170	180	29	29	29	49	56	62	LQM (2014)
Benzo(a)pyrene	2.2	2.7	3	3.2	3.2	3.2	0.97	2	3.5	35	35	36	5.7	5.7	5.7	11	12	13	LQM (2014)
Benzo(b)fluoranth ene	2.6	3.3	3.7	3.9	4	4	0.99	2.1	3.9	44	44	45	7.1	7.1	7.1	13	15	16	LQM (2014)
Benzo(g,h,i)peryle ne	320	340	350	360	360	360	290	470	640	3900	4000	4000	640	640	640	1400	1500	1600	LQM (2014)
Benzo(k)fluoranth ene	77	93	100	110	110	110	37	75	130	1200	1200	1200	190	190	190	370	410	440	LQM (2014)
Chrysene	15	22	27	30	31	32	4.1	9.4	19	350	350	350	57	57	57	93	110	120	LQM (2014)
Dibenz(a,h)anthra cene	0.24	0.28	0.3	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.14	0.27	0.61	3.5	3.6	3.6	0.57	0.57	0.58	1.1	1.3	1.4	LQM (2014)
Fluoranthene	280	560	890	1500	1600	1600	52	130	290	23000	23000	23000	3100	3100	3100	63	6300	6400	LQM (2014)
Fluorene	170	400	860	2800 (30.9)	3800 (76.5)	4500 (183)	27	67	160	63000 (30.9)	68000	71000	9900	9900	9900	20000	20000	20000	LQM (2014)
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	27	36	41	45	46	46	9.5	21	39	500	510	510	82	82	82	150	170	180	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
Naphthalene	2.3	5.6	13	2.3	5.6	13	4.1	10	24	190 (76.4)	460 (183)	1100 (432)	4900	4900	4900	1200 (76.4)	1900 (183)	3000	LQM (2014)
Phenanthrene	95	220	440	1300 (36)	1500	1500	15	38	90	22000	22000	23000	3100	3100	3100	6200	6200	6300	LQM (2014)
Pyrene	620	1200	2000	3700	3800	3800	110	270	620	54000	54000	54000	7400	7400	7400	15000	15000	15000	LQM (2014)
Coal Tar (BaP as surrogate marker)	0.79	0.98	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.32	0.67	1.2	15	15	15	2.2	2.2	2.2	4.4	4.7	4.8	LQM (2014)
BTEX and TPH																			
Benzene	0.087	0.17	0.37	0.38	0.7	1.4	0.017	0.034	0.075	27	47	90	72	72	73	90	100	110	LQM (2014)
Toluene	130	290	660	880 vap (869)	1900	3900	22	51	120	56000 vap (869)	110000 vap (1920)	180000 vap (4360)	56000	56000	56000	87000 vap (869)	95000 vap (1920)	100000 vap (4360)	LQM (2014)
Ethylbenzene	47	110	260	83	190	440	16	39	91	5700 vap (518)	13000 vap (1220)	27000 vap (2840)	24000	24000	25000	17000 vap (518)	22000 vap (1220)	27000 vap (2840)	LQM (2014)
Xylene - o	60	140	330	88	210	480	28	67	160	6600 (478)	15000 (1120)	33000 (2620)	41000	42000	43000	17000 (478)	24000 (1120)	33000 (2620)	LQM (2014)
Xylene - m	59	140	320	82	190	450	31	74	170	6200 (625)	14000 (1470)	31000 (3460)	41000	42000	43000	17000 (625)	24000 (1470)	32000 (3460)	LQM (2014)
Xylene - p	56	130	310	79	180	430	29	69	160	5900 (576)	14000 (1350)	30000 (3170)	41000	42000	43000	17000 (576)	23000 (1350)	31000 (3170)	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC 5-6	42	78	160	42	78	160	730	1700	3900	3200 (304)	5900 (558)	12000 (1150)	570000 (304)	590000	600000	95000 (304)	130000 (558)	180000 (1150)	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC >6-8	100	230	530	100	230	530	2300	5600	13000	7800 (144)	17000 (322)	40000 (736)	600000	610000	620000	150000 (144)	220000 (322)	320000 (736)	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)						
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
Aliphatic EC >8-10	27	65	150	27	65	150	320	770	1700	2000 (78)	4800 (190)	11000 (451)	13000	13000	13000	14000 (78)	18000 (190)	21000 (451)	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC >10-12	130 (48)	330 (118)	760 (283)	130 (48)	330 (118)	760 (283)	2200	4400	7300	9700 (48)	23000 (118)	47000 (283)	13000	13000	13000	21000 (48)	23000 (118)	24000(2 83)	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC >12-16	1100 (24)	2400 (59)	4300 (142)	1100 (24)	2400 (59)	4300 (142)	11000	13000	13000	59000 (24)	82000 (59)	90000 (142)	13000	13000	13000	25000 (24)	25000 (59)	26000 (142)	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC >16-35	65000 (8.48)	92000 (21)	110000	65000 (8.48)	92000 (21)	110000	260000	270000	270000	1600000	1700000	1800000	250000	250000	250000	450000	480000	490000	LQM (2014)
Aliphatic EC >35-44	65000 (8.48)	92000 (21)	110000	65000 (8.48)	92000 (21)	110000	260000	270000	270000	1600000	1700000	1800000	250000	250000	250000	450000	480000	490000	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC 5-7	70	140	300	370	690	1400	13	27	57	26000 (1220)	46000 (2260)	86000 (4710)	56000	56000	56000	76000 (1220)	84000 (2260)	92000 (4710)	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >7-8	130	290	660	860	1800	3900	22	51	120	56000 (869)	110000 (1920)	180000 (4360)	56000	56000	56000	87000 (869)	95000 (1920)	100000 (4360)	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >8-10	34	83	190	47	110	270	8.6	21	51	3500 (613)	8100 (1500)	17000 (3580)	5000	5000	5000	7200 (613)	8500 (1500)	9300 (3580)	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >10-12	74	180	380	250	590	1200	13	31	74	16000 (364)	28000 (899)	34000 (2150)	5000	5000	5000	9200 (364)	9700 (899)	10000	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >12-16	140	330	660	1800	2300 (419)	2500	23	27	130	36000 (169)	37000	38000	5100	5100	5000	10000	10000	10000	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >16-21	260	540	930	1900	1900	1900	46	110	260	28000	28000	28000	3800	3800	3800	7600	7700	7800	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >21-35	1100	1500	1700	1900	1900	1900	370	820	1600	28000	28000	28000	3800	3800	3800	7800	7800	7900	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
Aromatic EC >35-44	1100	1500	1700	1900	1900	1900	370	820	1600	28000	28000	28000	3800	3800	3800	7800	7800	7900	LQM (2014)
Aromatic EC >44-75	1600	1800	1900	1900	1900	1900	1200	2100	3000	28000	28000	28000	3800	3800	3800	7800	7800	7900	LQM (2014)
VOCs																			
1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0071	0.011	0.019	0.0092	0.013	0.023	0.0046	0.0083	0.016	0.67	0.97	1.7	29	29	29	21	24	28	LQM (2014)
1,1,1-trichloroethane	8.8	18	39	9	18	40	48	110	240	660	1300	3000	140000	140000	140000	57000 (1425)	76000 (2915)	100000 (6392)	LQM (2014)
1,1,2,2,tetrachloroethane	1.6	3.4	7.5	3.9	8	17	0.41	0.89	2	270	550	1100	1400	1400	1400	1800	2100	2300	LQM (2014)
tetrachloroethene	0.18	0.39	0.9	0.18	0.4	0.92	0.65	1.5	3.6	19	45	95	1400	1400	1400	810 (424)	1100 (951)	1500	LQM (2014)
tetrachloromethane (Carbon tetrachloride)	0.026	0.056	0.13	0.026	0.056	0.13	0.45	1	2.4	2.9	6.3	14	890	920	950	190	270	400	LQM (2014)
Trichloroethene	0.016	0.034	0.075	0.017	0.036	0.08	0.041	0.091	0.21	1.2	2.6	5.7	120	120	120	70	91	120	LQM (2014)
Trichloromethane (chloroform)	0.91	1.7	3.4	1.2	2.1	4.2	0.42	0.83	1.7	99	170	350	2500	2500	2500	2600	2800	3100	LQM (2014)
Chloroethene (Vinyl chloride)	0.00064	0.00087	0.0014	0.00077	0.001	0.0015	0.00055	0.001	0.0018	0.059	0.077	0.12	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.8	5	5.4	LQM (2014)
2,4,6 Trinitrotoluene (TNT)	1.6	3.7	8.1	65	66	66	0.24	0.58	1.4	1000	1000	1000	130	130	130	260	270	270	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
RDX	120	250	540	13000	13000	13000	17	38	85	210000	210000	210000	26000	26000	27000	49000 (18.7)	51000	53000	LQM (2014)
HMX	5.7	13	26	6700	6700	6700	0.86	1.9	3.9	110000	110000	110000	13000	13000	13000	23000 (0.35)	23000 (0.39)	24000 (0.48)	LQM (2014)
Aldrin	5.7	6.6	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.5	3.2	6.1	9.6	170	170	170	18	18	18	30	31	31	LQM (2014)
Dieldrin	0.97	2	3.5	7	7.3	7.4	0.17	0.41	0.96	170	170	170	18	18	18	30	30	31	LQM (2014)
Atrazine	3.3	7.6	17.4	610	620	620	0.5	1.2	2.7	9300	9400	9400	1200	1200	1200	2300	2400	2400	LQM (2014)
Dichlovos	0.032	0.066	0.014	6.4	6.5	6.6	0.0049	0.01	0.022	140	140	140	16	16	16	26	26	27	LQM (2014)
Alpha-Endosulfan	7.4	18	41	160 (0.003)	280 (0.007)	410 (0.016)	1.2	2.9	6.8	5600 (0.003)	7400 (0.007)	8400 (0.016)	1200	1200	1200	2400	2400	2500	LQM (2014)
alpha- Hexachlorocyclohe xane	0.23	0.55	1.2	6.9	9.2	11	0.035	0.087	0.21	170	180	180	24	24	24	47	48	48	LQM (2014)
beta- hexachlorocyclohe xanes	0.085	0.2	0.46	3.7	3.8	3.8	0.013	0.032	0.077	65	65	65	8.1	8.1	8.1	15	15	16	LQM (2014)
gamma- hexachlorocyclohe xanes	0.06	0.14	0.33	2.9	3.3	3.5	0.0092	0.023	0.054	67	69	70	8.2	8.2	8.2	14	15	15	LQM (2014)
Chlorobenzene	0.46	1	2.4	0.46	1	2.4	5.9	14	32	56	130	290	11000	13000	14000	1300 (675)	2000 (1520)	2900	LQM (2014)
1,2- Dichlorobenzene	23	55	130	24	57	130	94	230	540	2000 (571)	4800 (1370)	11000 (3240)	90000	95000	98000	24000 (571)	36000 (1370)	51000 (3240)	LQM (2014)
1,3- Dichlorobenzene	0.4	1	2.3	0.44	1.1	2.5	0.25	0.6	1.5	30	73	170	300	300	300	390	440	470	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	61	150	350	61	150	350	15	37	88	4400 (224)	10000 (540)	25000 (1280)	17000	17000	17000	36000 (224)	36000 (540)	36000 (1280)	LQM (2014)
VOCs Continued																			
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1.5	3.6	8.6	1.5	3.7	8.8	4.7	12	28	102	250	590	1800	1800	1800	770 (134)	1100 (330)	1600 (789)	LQM (2014)
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.6	6.4	15	2.6	6.4	15	55	140	320	220	530	1300	15000	17000	19000	1700 (318)	2600 (786)	4000 (1880)	LQM (2014)
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	0.33	0.81	1.9	0.33	0.81	1.9	4.7	12	28	23	55	130	1700	1700	1800	380 (36.7)	580 (90.8)	860 (217)	LQM (2014)
1,2,3,4-Tetrachlorobenzen e	15	36	78	24	56	120	4.4	11	26	1700 (122)	3080 (304)	4400 (728)	830	830	830	1500 (122)	1600	1600	LQM (2014)
1,2,3,5-Tetrachlorobenzen e	0.66	1.6	3.7	0.75	1.9	4.3	0.38	0.9	2.2	49 (39.4)	120 (98.1)	240 (235)	78	79	79	110 (39)	120	130	LQM (2014)
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzen e	0.33	0.77	1.6	0.73	1.7	3.5	0.06	0.16	0.37	42 (19.7)	72 (49.1)	96	13	13	13	25	26	26	LQM (2014)
Pentachlorobenzen e	5.8	12	22	19	30	38	1.2	3.1	7	640 (43)	770 (107)	830	100	100	100	190	190	190	LQM (2014)
Hexachlorobenzen e	1.8 (0.2)	3.3 (0.5)	4.9	4.1 (0.2)	5.7 (0.5)	6.7 (1.2)	0.47	1.1	2.5	110 (0.2)	120	120	16	16	16	30	30	30	LQM (2014)
Phenol	280	550	1100	750	1300	2300	66	140	280	760 _{dir} (31000)	1500 _{dir} (35000)	3200 _{dir} (37000)	760 _{dir} (31000)	1500 _{dir} (35000)	3200 _{dir} (37000)	760 _{dir} (31000)	1500 _{dir} (35000)	3200 _{dir} (37000)	LQM (2014)

Parameter	Residential <u>with</u> homegrown produce			Residential <u>without</u> homegrown produce			Allotment			Commercial / Industrial			Public Open Space near Residential			Public Open Space - Park			Source
	(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)			(mg/kg, unless otherwise stated)						
SOM	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	1%	2.50%	6%	
Chlorophenols (excluding pentachlorophenol)	0.87 (g)	2	4.5	94	150	210	0.13 (g)	0.3	0.7	3500	4000	4300	620	620	620	1100	1100	1100	LQM (2014)
Pentachlorophenol	0.22	0.52	1.2	27 (16.4)	29	31	0.03	0.08	0.19	400	400	400	60	60	60	110	120	120	LQM (2014)
Carbon Disulphide	0.14	0.29	0.62	0.14	0.29	0.62	4.8	10	23	11	22	47	11000	11000	12000	1300	1900	2700	LQM (2014)
Hexachlorobutadie ne	0.29	0.7	1.6	0.32	0.78	1.8	0.25	0.61	1.4	31	66	120	25	25	25	48	50	51	LQM (2014)

(g) derived based on 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol; dir - based on a threshold protective of direct skin contact with phenol (guideline in brackets based on health effects following long term exposure provided for illustration only); (vap) calculated for vapour phase only. SOM - Soil Organic Matter; (4.5) solubility.