

Odour Assessment
Gun Street, Manchester

Client: Blossom Street Motors

Reference: 8756r1

Date: 12th December 2024



Report Issue

Report Title: Odour Assessment - Gun Street, Manchester

Report Reference: 8756

Field	Report Version			
	1	2	3	4
Prepared by	Alex Crayton			
Position	Associate Director			
Reviewed by	Ger Parry			
Position	Director			
Date of Issue	12 th December 2024			
Comments	-			

Serendipity Labs, Building 7, Exchange Quay, Salford, M5 3EP

info@red-env.co.uk | 0161 706 0075 | www.red-env.co.uk

This report has been prepared by Redmore Environmental Ltd in accordance with the agreed terms and conditions of appointment. Redmore Environmental Ltd cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.

Executive Summary

Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Blossom Street Motors to undertake an Odour Assessment in support of a covered market development which includes a number of commercial kitchen units at 27 Gun Street, Ancoats, Manchester, M4 5DN.

The proposals have the potential to cause odour impacts at sensitive locations in the vicinity of the site as a result of emissions associated with food preparation within the units. As such, an Odour Assessment was undertaken in order to assess potential effects as a result of the scheme and identify any necessary mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts.

An assessment using the standard industry methodology was undertaken to identify the potential odour risk associated with the proposals.

The results of the assessment indicated the potential odour risk was classified as **very high**. This prediction does not infer that the proposed development would result in significant odour impact or affect local amenity levels. However, it does suggest that appropriate mitigation is required to reduce potential effects to an acceptable level.

The recommended abatement options have been specified in line with the relevant guidance for kitchens with a **very high** risk of impact. It therefore follows that with this mitigation in place, potential odour impacts at nearby sensitive receptors would be reduced to an acceptable level.

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Site Location and Context	1
2.0	ODOUR BACKGROUND	3
2.1	Odour Definition	3
2.2	Odour Impacts	3
2.3	Legislative Control	4
2.4	National Planning Policy	5
2.5	Local Planning Policy	6
3.0	METHODOLOGY	7
3.1	Introduction	7
3.2	Assessment Methodology	7
4.0	ASSESSMENT	9
4.1	Odour Risk	9
4.2	Odour Control	10
5.0	CONCLUSION	11
6.0	ABBREVIATIONS	12

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Blossom Street Motors to undertake an Odour Assessment in support of a covered market development which includes a number of commercial kitchen units at 27 Gun Street, Ancoats, Manchester, M4 5DN.
- 1.1.2 The proposals have the potential to result in odour emissions and associated impacts at sensitive locations in the vicinity of the site. As such, an Odour Assessment was undertaken in order to evaluate potential effects as a result of the scheme and identify any necessary mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts.

1.2 Site Location and Context

- 1.2.1 The proposed development is located at Blossom Motor Company, 27 Gun Street, Ancoats, Manchester, M4 5DN, at approximate National Grid Reference (NGR): 384802, 398637. Reference should be made to Figure 1 for a map of the site and surrounding area.
- 1.2.2 The development is bordered to the north by Henry Street, the south by Gun Street, the east by a residential apartment block and the west by Gun Street car park. The immediate surrounding area includes a mixture of commercial and residential properties.
- 1.2.3 The proposals comprise the conversion of an existing M.O.T Garage to provide a multi-unit covered market which includes a number of commercial kitchen units.
- 1.2.4 The proposals have the potential to result in odour emissions as a result of food preparation activities on the premises and associated impacts at sensitive locations in the vicinity of the site. As such, an Odour Assessment has been undertaken in order to determine potential effects and identify any necessary mitigation to control impacts to an acceptable level. This is detailed in the following report.
- 1.2.5 It should be noted that details of the specific cuisine types that will be prepared at the premises and the specifications of the ventilation arrangements have not yet been finalised. However, consultation to determine an appropriate ventilation design is in

progress and the preferred option is a centralised system that serves the kitchen units. In lieu of specific information on the cuisine types and ventilation systems, appropriate worst-case assumptions have been utilised throughout the assessment in order to ensure robust appraisal of potential effects and to inform identification of the necessary mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts.

2.0 ODOUR BACKGROUND

2.1 Odour Definition

2.1.1 The Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance¹ defines odour as:

"[...] the human olfactory response (perception followed by psychological appraisal) to one, or more often a complex mixture of, chemical species in the air."

2.1.2 The stated definition is considered to be relevant in the context of this assessment.

2.2 Odour Impacts

2.2.1 The magnitude of odour impact depends on a number of factors and the potential for complaints varies due to the subjective nature of odour perception. The **FIDOL** acronym, is a useful reminder of the factors that will determine the degree of odour pollution. These are described by the IAQM² as follows:

- **F**requency - how often an individual is exposed to odour;
- **I**ntensity - the individual's perception of the strength of the odour;
- **D**uration - the overall duration that individuals are exposed to an odour over time;
- **O** odour unpleasantness - odour unpleasantness describes the character of an odour as it relates to the 'hedonic tone' (which may be pleasant, neutral or unpleasant) at a given odour concentration/ intensity. This can be measured in the laboratory as the hedonic tone, and when measured by the standard method and expressed on a standard nine-point scale it is termed the hedonic score; and,
- **L**ocation - the type of land use and nature of human activities in the vicinity of an odour source. Tolerance and expectation of the receptor. The 'Location' factor can be considered to encompass the receptor characteristics, receptor sensitivity, and socio-economic factors.

¹ Guidance on the Assessment of Odour for Planning v1.1, IAQM, 2018.

² Guidance on the Assessment of Odour for Planning v1.1, IAQM, 2018.

2.2.2 It is important to note that even infrequent emissions may cause loss of amenity if odours are perceived to be particularly intense or offensive.

2.2.3 The **FIDOL** factors can be further considered in relation to the following and the potential for an odour emission to cause a nuisance:

- The rate of emission of the compound(s);
- The duration and frequency of emissions;
- The time of the day that this emission occurs;
- The prevailing meteorology;
- The sensitivity of receptors to the emission i.e. whether the odorous compound is more likely to cause nuisance, such as the sick or elderly, who may be more sensitive;
- The odour detection capacity of individuals to the various compound(s); and,
- The individual perception of the odour (i.e. whether the odour is regarded as unpleasant). This is greatly subjective and may vary significantly from individual to individual. For example, some individuals may consider some odours as pleasant, such as petrol, paint and creosote.

2.3 **Legislative Control**

2.3.1 The main requirement with respect to odour control from premises not controlled under the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations (2016) and subsequent amendments, such as commercial kitchens, is that provided in Section 79 of Part III of the Environmental Protection Act (1990). The Act defines nuisance as:

"Any dust, steam, smell or other effluvia arising on industrial, trade or business premises and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance."

2.3.2 Enforcement of the Act, in regard to nuisance, is currently under the jurisdiction of the local Environmental Health Department, whose officers are deemed to provide an independent evaluation of nuisance. If the Local Authority is satisfied that a statutory nuisance exists, or is likely to occur or happen again, it must serve an Abatement Notice under Part III of the Environmental Protection Act (1990). The only defence is to show that the process to which the nuisance has been attributed and its operation are being controlled according to best practicable means.

2.3.3 The legislative controls described above were considered as necessary throughout the undertaking of the assessment.

2.4 National Planning Policy

2.4.1 The revised National Planning Policy Framework³ (NPPF) was published in December 2024 and sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.

2.4.2 The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. In order to ensure this, the NPPF recognises three overarching objectives including the following of relevance to odour:

"c) an environmental objective - to protect and enhance our natural, built and historic environment; including making effective use of land, improving biodiversity, using natural resources prudently, minimising waste and pollution, and mitigating and adapting to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy."

2.4.3 Chapter 12 of the NPPF details objectives in relation to achieving well-designed place. It states that:

"Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments:

f) create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesions and resilience."

2.4.4 The implications of the NPPF have been considered throughout this assessment.

³ NPPF, Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2024.

2.5 Local Planning Policy

2.5.1 The Core Strategy⁴ was adopted by Manchester City Council (MCC) on 11th July 2012. A review of the Core Strategy indicated the following policy of relevance to this assessment:

"Policy DM1 - Development Management

All development should have regard to the following specific issues for which more detailed guidance may be given within a supplementary planning document:

[...]

- Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation [...]."

2.5.2 The above policy was taken into consideration throughout the undertaking of the assessment.

2.5.3 The Places for Everyone Plan (PfEP)⁵ was produced by the nine Greater Manchester Combined Authorities (GMCAs), Bolton, Bury, Manchester, Oldham, Rochdale, Salford, Tameside, Trafford and Wigan, and became part of the statutory development plan for each of the councils on 21st March 2024. Review of the PfEP was undertaken. No policies of relevance were identified for use in this assessment.

⁴ Core Strategy Development Plan Document, MCC, 2012.

⁵ PfEP, GMCA, 2024.

3.0 **METHODOLOGY**

3.1 **Introduction**

3.1.1 The proposals have the potential to cause odour impacts as a result of emissions from cooking processes within the kitchen units. An assessment has therefore been undertaken in accordance with guidance document 'Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems' prepared by EMAQ+ for the Department for Environmental, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)⁶. This document provides an update to the 2005 guidance⁷ produced by DEFRA.

3.1.2 The relevant process is summarised in the following Sections.

3.2 **Assessment Methodology**

3.2.1 The EMAQ+ and DEFRA methodology provides an approach for identifying the risk of odour impact associated with food preparation premises and defining an appropriate level of mitigation to control potential effects to an acceptable level.

3.2.2 The first stage in the process is to score the proposed premises in accordance with the criteria outlined in Table 1.

Table 1 Risk Scoring Criteria

Criteria	Score	Score	Details
Dispersion	Very poor	20	Low level discharge, discharge into courtyard or restriction on stack
	Poor	15	Not low level but below eaves, or discharge at below 10m/s
	Moderate	10	Discharging 1m above eaves at 10 - 15m/s
	Good	5	Discharging 1m above ridge at 15m/s
Proximity of receptors	Close	10	Closest sensitive receptor less than 20m from kitchen discharge

⁶ Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, EMAQ+, 2022.

⁷ Guidance on the Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, DEFRA, 2005.

Criteria	Score	Score	Details
	Medium	5	Closest sensitive receptor between 20 and 100m from kitchen discharge
	Far	1	Closest sensitive receptor more than 100m from kitchen discharge
Size of kitchen	Large	5	More than 100 covers or large sized takeaway
	Medium	3	Between 30 and 100 covers or medium sized takeaway
	Small	1	Less than 30 covers or small take away
Cooking type (odour and grease loading)	Very high	10	Pub (high level of fried food), fried chicken, burgers or fish & chips, Turkish, Middle Eastern or any premises cooking with solid fuel
	High	7	Vietnamese, Thai, Indian, Japanese, Chinese or steakhouse
	Medium	4	Cantonese, Italian, French, Pizza (gas fired)
	Low	1	Most pubs (no fried food, mainly reheating and sandwiches etc) or Tea rooms

3.2.3 The score obtained using the values shown in Table 1 is subsequently used to define the associated risk and odour control requirement. The relevant criteria are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2 Odour Control Requirement

Significance Score	Impact Risk	Odour Control Requirement
Less than 20	Low to Medium	Low level odour control
20 to 35	High	High level odour control
More than 35	Very high	Very high level odour control

3.2.4 A suitable odour control system can then be identified from the techniques summarised in the EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance⁸.

⁸ Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, EMAQ+, 2022.

4.0 ASSESSMENT

4.1 Odour Risk

4.1.1 The odour risk associated with the proposals was rated in accordance with the EMAQ+ and DEFRA methodology⁹. The results are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 Odour Risk

Criteria	Score	Score	Notes
Dispersion	Very Poor	20	The ventilation strategy for the development has not yet been finalised. However, the development is surrounded on all sides by taller buildings which may affect dispersion depending on the finalised arrangements. As such, the highest risk score prescribed for dispersion within the EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance was applied in order to provide a precautionary assessment
Proximity of receptors	Close	10	The closest residential receptors are located adjacent to the north, south and east of the building at first floor level and upwards. As such, a score corresponding to close proximity was applied in accordance with the EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance criteria
Size of kitchen	Medium	3	The number of covers was not known at the time of assessment. However, based on the number of kitchen units and the floor area of the development, the kitchen size was classified as medium
Cooking type (odour and grease loading)	Very High	10	Details of the specific cuisine types that will be prepared at the premises were not available at the time of assessment. As such, a 'very high' score was applied to ensure a worst-case appraisal of potential odour and grease loading

4.1.2 As shown in Table 3, the odour risk was scored as 43. The risk was therefore classified as **very high**, in accordance with the EMAQ+ and DEFRA criteria.

⁹ Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, EMAQ+, 2022.

4.2 Odour Control

4.2.1 Based on the assessment results, the development requires mitigation suitable for a **very high** level of odour risk. There are a number of options for abatement identified within the EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance¹⁰ that provide this level of control. These include:

- Emission collection - Odour should be collected via extraction hoods situated above the hot food preparation area;
- Grease filtration system - Mesh type grease filters, such as baffle or cartridge filters, should be included for the purpose of grease filtration. Alternatively, Electrostatic Precipitators (ESPs) may be used;
- Fine dust filter - Bag, fan or High-Efficiency Particulate Arrestance (HEPA) filter should be included for removal of particulates from the air stream. Alternatively, ESPs may be used;
- Gaseous phase - An activated carbon filter rated with a 0.4 - 0.8 second residence time should be included for odour removal. Alternatively, an oxidation system such as ultraviolet light or ozone may be used; and,
- Dispersion - Emissions should be discharged vertically through a stack, high enough to ensure adequate dilution.

4.2.2 Based on the above, it is recommended that each kitchen unit is fitted with an extraction hood and grease filter directly above the cooking area which feeds into a centralised ventilation system. Prior to release to the atmosphere, the extracted air should pass through fine filtration and then a carbon filter with a residence time of 0.4 - 0.8s, or a suitable alternative gaseous phase treatment system such as ultraviolet light or ozone. These measures are suggested within the EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance¹¹ for cooking activities with a **very high** risk of impact. As such, they are considered to represent a suitable approach to reduce potential effects to an acceptable level.

4.2.3 The above mitigation could be secured through a planning condition if deemed necessary by MCC.

¹⁰ Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, EMAQ+, 2022.

¹¹ Control of Odour and Noise from Commercial Kitchen Exhaust Systems, EMAQ+, 2022.


5.0 CONCLUSION

- 5.1.1 Redmore Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Blossom Street Motors to undertake an Odour Assessment in support of a covered market development which includes a number of commercial kitchen units at 27 Gun Street, Ancoats, Manchester, M4 5DN.
- 5.1.2 The proposals have the potential to result in odour emissions and associated impacts at sensitive locations in the vicinity of the site. As such, an Odour Assessment was undertaken in order to assess potential effects as a result of the scheme and identify any necessary mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts.
- 5.1.3 The results of the assessment indicated the odour risk was classified as **very high**. It should be noted that this prediction does not infer that the proposed development would result in significant odour impact or affect local amenity levels. However, it does suggest that appropriate mitigation options may be required to reduce potential effects to an acceptable level.
- 5.1.4 The recommended abatement options for the site have been specified in line with EMAQ+ and DEFRA guidance for kitchens with a **very high** risk of impact. It therefore follows that with this mitigation in place, potential odour impacts at nearby sensitive receptors would be reduced to an acceptable level.
- 5.1.5 Based on the findings of the assessment, it is considered that odour should not be viewed as a constraint to planning consent for the development, subject to the installation of the specified mitigation.

6.0 ABBREVIATIONS

DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
ESP	Electrostatic Precipitation
GMCA	Greater Manchester Combined Authorities
HEPA	High-Efficiency Particulate Arrestance
IAQM	Institute of Air Quality Management
MCC	Manchester City Council
NGR	National Grid Reference
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
PfEP	Places for Everyone Plan

Figures



Legend



Site Boundary

Title

Figure 1 - Site Location

Project

Odour Assessment
Gun Street, Manchester

Project Reference

8756

Client

Blossom Street Motors

Contains Ordnance Survey Data
© Crown Copyright and Database Act 2023



www.red-env.co.uk | 0161 7060075