

Comments for Planning Application 141642/JO/2024

Application Summary

Application Number: 141642/JO/2024

Address: 9 Egerton Crescent Manchester M20 4PN

Proposal: Variation of condition 3 (hours of opening) from planning approval reference 123650/FO/2019 to allow the premises to be open: Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday 08:00 - 22:30 ; Thursday, Friday and Saturday 08:00 - 01:00; and Sunday 08:00 - 22:30

Case Officer: Holly Wright

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Comments on two mistakes on the application, and a comment about the application itself.

1. Description of the Proposal section, Date of decision subsection: "(date must be pre-application submission)"

But it's listed as, 03/10/2029, as per the advice from the Pre-application Advice section.

03/10/2019 was intended instead?

2. Ownership Certificates and Agricultural Land Declaration section, Certificate Of Ownership - Certificate B subsection:

Date notice served is listed as 28/11/2024

But the owner(s), the [REDACTED] moved house from the address listed on 16th October 2024, having notified me and my co-tenants of this on 4th October. The concern is that the notice of this variation application was not served to the Owner's current address and that they are unaware.

3. It's a surprise to see that the current official hours are supposedly Monday to Sunday/Bank Holidays 09:00 - 21:00. 08:00 weekday opening has been the case for over a year, as well as late nights (until 22:30, Thursday-Saturday) following a supposedly successful condition variation application much earlier this year. It's also surprising to see the business announce that this application was successful even before its consultation had closed -- even when the case officer says after the announcement they have not been -- and that 1am closing times have already started as of Friday 3rd Jan. Given the importance of maintaining a premises alcohol licence and

the jobs of those employed at SMP, I would have thought that they'd play by the book at least until a decision was made.