

SANDY BROWN

Consultants in Acoustics, Noise & Vibration

025583-R01-B

6 March 2025

Zurich Insurance, Freetrade Exchange

Noise survey and plant noise egress assessment

London, Manchester, Edinburgh, Birmingham, Leeds, Bristol

Sandy Brown Ltd

Registered in England & Wales

No. 13227735

post@sandybrown.com

www.sandybrown.com

Registered Office: 55 Charterhouse Street, London EC1M 6HA

SANDY BROWN

Consultants in Acoustics, Noise & Vibration

Version	Date	Comments	Author	Reviewer
A	7 Feb 25		GM	VdH
B	6 Mar 25	Client comments update	GM	VdH

Disclaimer

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit and use of our client based on their instructions and requirements. Sandy Brown Ltd extends no liability in respect of the information contained in the report to any third party.

Summary

Sandy Brown has been commissioned by Jones Lang LaSalle Limited to provide acoustic advice in relation to the proposed development at Freetrade Exchange, 37 Peter Street, Manchester, M2 5GB.

An environmental noise survey has been carried out to determine the existing sound levels in the area. The noise survey was carried out between 12:00 on 30 January 2025 and 12:00 on 5 February 2025.

The representative background sound levels from the noise survey were $L_{A90,15min}$ 57 dB during the day, and $L_{A90,15min}$ 56 dB during the night.

Based on the requirements of the Local Authority, the relevant plant noise limits at the facade of the worst affected existing noise sensitive premises are L_{Aeq} 55 dB during the day, and L_{Aeq} 54 dB during the night.

These limits are cumulative, and apply with all plant operating under normal conditions. If plant items contain tonal or attention catching features, the limits will be more stringent than those set out above.

An assessment of the proposed plant items associated with the development has been carried out. Results indicate that the proposed plant is not expected to adversely impact noise sensitive receptors.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Site description	5
3	Development proposals	6
4	Building services noise egress criteria	7
5	Noise survey method	7
6	Noise survey results	9
7	Plant noise egress assessment	11
8	Conclusion	13
	Appendix A	14
	Survey details	14
	Appendix B	17
	Results of unattended measurements at Position A	17
	Appendix C	19
	BS 4142 corrections for attention catching features	19
	Appendix D	21
	Plant noise egress calculations	21

1 Introduction

Sandy Brown has been commissioned by Jones Lang LaSalle Limited to provide acoustic advice in relation to the proposed development at Freetrade Exchange, 37 Peter Street, Manchester, M2 5GB.

As part of this, an environmental noise survey has been conducted, the purpose of which is to establish the existing background sound levels in the vicinity of nearby noise sensitive premises and to set appropriate limits for noise egress from building services plant.

This report presents the survey method and results, and a discussion of acceptable limits for noise emissions from building services plant.

A plant noise egress assessment has been undertaken.

2 Site description

2.1 The site and its surrounding

The site is located in central Manchester on Peter Street. It is bounded to the north by Bootle Street, to the east by Southmill Street, to the south by Peter Street, and to the west by commercial premises on Marron Place. The site location in relation to its surroundings is shown in Figure 1, outlined in red.



Figure 1 Aerial view of site (Courtesy of Google Earth Pro)

2.2 Adjacent premises

The adjacent premises are as follows:

- Forty-Seven Hotel to the east shown in purple in Figure 1
- Radisson Hotel to the south shown in green
- Commercial premises to the west shown in orange
- Commercial premises to the north shown in blue.

3 Development proposals

The proposals include for the installation of a new condenser unit to the rooftop plant area. It is understood that the condenser unit will provide cooling to the comms room and therefore may be required to operate throughout the day and the night.

The proposed unit is shown in red in Figure 2.




Figure 2 Proposed condenser unit location

4 Building services noise egress criteria

4.1 Local Authority criteria

Manchester City Council (MCC) provides guidance in relation to plant noise emissions in Planning and Noise Technical Guidance document dated October 2022 states the following in relation to plant noise egress:

‘Externally mounted ancillary plant, equipment and servicing shall be selected and/or acoustically treated in accordance with a scheme designed so as to achieve a rating level of 5dB (L_{Aeq}) below the typical background (L_{A90}) level at the nearest noise sensitive location’

Corrections for tonal or attention catching characteristics would need to be applied to the rating level in accordance with BS4142: 2014.

4.2 British Standard 4142

BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 *Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound* (BS 4142) provides a method for assessing noise from items such as building services plant against the existing background sound levels at nearby noise sensitive premises.

BS 4142 suggests that if the noise level is 10 dB or more higher than the existing background sound level, it is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact. If the level is 5 dB above the existing background sound level, it is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact. If the level does not exceed the background sound level, it is an indication of having a low impact.

If the noise contains ‘attention catching features’ such as tones, bangs etc, a penalty, based on the type and impact of those features, is applied.

5 Noise survey method

The survey included unattended and attended noise measurements.

The measurements and information described in this report are provided in accordance with requirements of BS 7445, as referenced in Appendix A.

5.1 Unattended measurements

Unattended noise monitoring was undertaken at the site over 5 days.

The measurements were taken over consecutive 15-minute periods between 12:00 on 30 January 2025 and 12:00 on 4 February 2025.

The microphone for the sound level meter was installed on a tri-pod which was installed approximately 1.5 m above roof level in free-field conditions. The measurement position is indicated in Figure 1, denoted by the letter ‘A’, and a photograph showing the measurement

position is provided in Figure 3. This location was chosen to minimise the influence of existing rooftop plant on the Freetrade building (screened by rooftop access) and to be reasonably representative of noise levels outside the nearest noise sensitive premises.

The measurement position is completely screened from road noise and as such it is likely that noise levels at the facades of noise sensitive receptors are greater than that measured at this position. Therefore, this location provides a worst case representation of noise levels outside the nearest noise sensitive premises.

Details of the equipment used and the noise indices measured are provided in Appendix A.



Figure 3 Logger position A

5.2 Weather conditions

Weather conditions during the survey are described in Appendix A.

6 Noise survey results

6.1 Observations

The dominant noise sources observed at the site during the survey were from rooftop plant at 47 Peter Street.

Less significant noise sources included noise from existing plant on the Freetrade building and distant road traffic.

6.2 Noise measurement results

6.2.1 Unattended measurement results

A graph showing the results of the unattended measurements is provided in Appendix B.

Ambient noise levels measured during the unattended survey are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Ambient noise levels measured during the unattended survey

Date	Day (07:00 – 23:00) $L_{Aeq,16h}$ (dB)	Night (23:00 – 07:00) $L_{Aeq,8h}$ (dB)
30 January 2025	59 ^[1]	58
31 January 2025	59	58
1 February 2025	59	60
2 February 2025	58	57
3 February 2025	58	57
4 February 2025	59 ^[1]	-
Average	59	58

^[1] Measurement not made over full period due to monitoring start and end time (the measurement on 30 January 2025 was over 11 hours, and on 4 February 2025 over 5 hours); not included in the average.

In line with BS 4142:2014+A1:2019, representative background sound levels have been determined using statistical analysis of the continuous measurements.

Day and night statistical analysis of representative values for the site are given in Figure 4 and Figure 5.




Figure 4 Measured background sound levels – Histogram




Figure 5 Measured background sound levels – Cumulative

From this analysis, the representative background sound levels measured during the survey were $L_{A90,15min}$ 57 dB during the day and $L_{A90,15min}$ 56 dB at night.

7 Plant noise egress assessment

7.1 Basic limits

Based on the above criteria and the measurement results, the cumulative noise level from the operation of all new plant should not exceed the limits set out in Table 2.

The limits apply at 1 m from the worst affected windows of the nearest noise sensitive premises and are presented as facade levels. These have been corrected relative to the measured free-field sound levels by the addition of 3 dB (as per the guidance provided in BS 8233:2014 Section G.2.1). In this case these limits would apply at the Forty-Seven Hotel, the Radisson Hotel, and commercial receptors to the north and east shown in Figure 1.

Table 2 Plant noise limits at 1 m from the nearest noise sensitive premises

Time of day	Maximum sound pressure level at 1 m from noise sensitive premises, $L_{Aeq,15min}$ (dB)
Day (07:00-23:00)	55
Night (23:00-07:00)	54

^[1] The limits set out in Table 2 do not include any attention catching features. Penalty corrections for attention catching features may be significant and will need to be considered as the building services design progresses. This is discussed in Appendix C.

Compliance with limits shall be determined with reference to BS 7445: Part 3: 1991 *Description and measurement of environmental noise, Part 3. Guide to application to noise limits*.

7.2 Proposed plant item

One Mitsubishi MXZ-2F42VF3 condenser unit is proposed to be installed on the rooftop.

Table 3 details the acoustic data available for this unit.

Table 3 Proposed plant sound levels

Operation mode	Sound pressure level L_A , dB ^[1]	Sound power level L_{WA} , dB
Heating	50	-
Cooling	44	59

^[1] No measurement distance detailed on the datasheet

The calculations have been undertaken based on the condenser unit in cooling mode, as this is anticipated mode of use for temperature control in the comms room.

As no octave band data is available, a representative spectrum has been used.

The representative spectrum from a similar condenser unit has been adopted for this assessment and is shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Representative condenser unit spectrum

	Sound power level L_w , (dB)							
	Octave-band centre frequency (Hz)							
	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000
Representative spectrum	64	62	63	57	54	46	38	37

7.3 Plant noise assessment

The predicted noise levels due to plant noise egress are shown in Table 5.

The calculations are presented in Appendix D.

Table 5 Predicted noise levels at noise sensitive receptors

	Predicted free-field noise level at 1 m from the facade of noise sensitive premises, $L_{Aeq,15\text{ min}}$ (dB)
Forty-Seven Hotel	25
The Radisson Hotel	18
Commercial premises to the north	34
Commercial premises to the west	32

The noise levels presented here do not include screening losses. As such, these noise levels are considered worst case and are expected to be lower in-situ.

7.3.1 BS 4142 Character corrections

In our professional experience, newly commissioned and well-maintained condensers do not normally have tonal characteristics or attention catching features such as impulsivity. Even were penalties applied in line with the guidance within BS 4142, these are not likely to exceed 10 dB.

The predicted noise levels fall (even with a penalty correction – where appropriate) fall well below the plant noise egress criteria for both day and night periods. Therefore, it is expected that the installation of proposed plant will not adversely impact noise sensitive receptors.

8 Conclusion

The representative background sound levels from the noise survey were $L_{A90,15min}$ 57 dB during the day, and $L_{A90,15min}$ 56 dB during the night.

Based on the requirements of the Local Authority, the relevant plant noise limits at the facade of the worst affected existing noise sensitive premises are L_{Aeq} 52 dB during the day, and L_{Aeq} 51 dB during the night.

These limits are cumulative and apply with all plant operating under normal conditions. If plant items contain tonal or attention catching features, the limits will be more stringent than those set out above.

An initial assessment of the proposed plant items associated with the development has been carried out. Results indicate that the proposed plant is not expected to adversely impact noise sensitive receptors.

Appendix A

Survey details

Reference standards

- BS 7445 Part 1: 2003 *Description and measurement of environmental noise, Part 1. Guide to quantities and procedures*
- BS 7445: Part 2: 1991 *Description and measurement of environmental noise, Part 2. Guide to the acquisition of data pertinent to land use.*

Equipment

The unattended and attended noise measurements were taken using a Rion NL-52 sound level meter.

Calibration details for the equipment used during the survey are provided in Table A1.

Table A1 Equipment calibration data

Equipment description	Type/serial number	Manufacturer	Calibration expiry	Calibration certification number
<i>NL-52 G</i>				
Sound level meter	NL-52/01143564	Rion	12 Dec 26	TCRT24/1898
Microphone	UC-59/10153	Rion	12 Dec 26	TCRT24/1898
Pre-amp	NH-25/43581	Rion	12 Dec 26	TCRT24/1898
Calibrator	NC-74/34257023	Rion	12 Dec 26	TCRT24/1895

^[1] Calibration of the meters used for the measurements is traceable to national standards. Calibration certificates for the sound level meter used in this survey are available upon request.

Calibration checks were carried out on the meters and their measurement chains at the beginning and end of the survey. No significant calibration deviation occurred.

Noise indices

Noise indices recorded included the following:

- $L_{Aeq,T}$ The A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a period of time, T.
- $L_{AFmax,T}$ The A-weighted maximum sound pressure level that occurred during a given period, T, with a fast time weighting.
- $L_{A90,T}$ The A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded for 90% of the measurement period. Indicative of the background sound level.

Sound pressure level measurements are normally taken with an A-weighting (denoted by a subscript 'A', eg, L_{A90}) to approximate the frequency response of the human ear.

A more detailed explanation of these quantities can be found in BS 7445: Part 1: 2003 *Description and measurement of environmental noise, Part 1. Guide to quantities and procedures*.

Weather conditions

During the unattended noise measurements, weather reports for the area indicated that temperatures varied between 2°C at night and 10°C during the day, and the wind speed was less than 5 m/s.


During the attended noise measurements, the weather was generally clear and dry and no rain occurred.

These weather conditions are considered suitable for obtaining representative measurements.

Appendix B

Results of unattended measurements at Position A

Zurich Insurance, Freetrade Exchange
Results of noise logging survey at A
30 January 2025 to 4 February 2025



Appendix C

BS 4142 corrections for attention catching features

The following applies where plant noise is assessed in accordance with BS 4142:2014+A1:2019.

If the proposed plant noise contains attention catching features (such as tonal elements, whines, whistles, bangs etc), penalty corrections should be applied based on the type and impact of the features.

If appropriate, a subjective assessment of the plant features can be adopted. Where the plant noise contains tonal elements, the following corrections can be made depending on how perceptible the tone is at the noise receptor:

- 0 dB where the tone is not perceptible
- 2 dB where the tone is just perceptible
- 4 dB where the tone is clearly perceptible
- 6 dB where the tone is highly perceptible.

Where the plant noise is impulsive, the following corrections can be made depending on how perceptible the impulsivity is at the noise receptor:

- 0 dB where the impulse is not perceptible
- 3 dB where the impulse is just perceptible
- 6 dB where the impulse is clearly perceptible
- 9 dB where the impulse is highly perceptible.

For noise which is equally both impulsive and tonal, then both features can be accounted for by linearly summing the corrections for both characteristics.

If the plant has other distinctive characteristics, such as intermittency, then a 3 dB correction can be made.

If a subjective assessment of tonality is not appropriate, an objective assessment can be made by analysis of time-averaged, third-octave band sound pressure levels. A noise source is deemed to be tonal if the level in a third-octave band exceeds the level in adjacent third-octave bands by the level differences given below:

- 15 dB in the low frequency third-octave bands (25 Hz to 125 Hz)
- 8 dB in the mid frequency third-octave bands (160 Hz to 400 Hz)
- 5 dB in the high frequency third-octave bands (500 Hz to 10000 Hz).

If an objective assessment identifies the plant noise to be tonal then a 6 dB correction must be made.

Appendix D

Plant noise egress calculations

Consultants in Acoustics, Noise & Vibration

Project number	025583	Project name	Freetrade Manchester
Calculation revision	A		
Calculation by	GM	Date created	05/03/2025
Checked by	VdH	Date checked	06/03/2025
Calculation description	Commercial premises to the west - Daytime limits		

[illegible]