

ATELIER.MB

HERITAGE STATEMENT ADDENDUM

1830 WAREHOUSE SCIENCE + INDUSTRY MUSEUM MANCHESTER

REVISION

-

DATE ISSUED

FEBRUARY 2025

PROJECT REF.

2002

ARCHITECTURE + HERITAGE

AMAZON HOUSE • BRAZIL STREET

MANCHESTER • M1 3PW

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
PROPOSALS + IMPACT	4
PLANNING CONTEXT	5
CONCLUSION	6



Image: External view of the 1830 Warehouse.

1.0

INTRODUCTION

This is an addendum to the existing Heritage Statement prepared for the 1830 Warehouse, Science and Industry Museum. It follows application 137070/L0/2023 and relates to amendments to that application.

The History and Significance of this Grade I listed former asset is set out in the original Heritage Statement from 2023. This document concerns proposals and impact of specific items only. Refer to drawings accompanying the report.



Image: Example interior of the 1830 Warehouse.

2.0 PROPOSALS + IMPACT

Proposals relate to changes to existing Listed Building Consent ref. 137070/LO/2023 and items are numbered to cross-reference the accompanying submitted drawings.

Reference: V001
Location: 1830s Basement
Proposal: A new door is proposed within an existing door opening with steps to deal with existing level changes.
This item was missing from the original fire survey.
Impact upon significance: Neutral, the doorway is already existing and the proposals will not exert any degree of harm upon heritage significance.

Reference: V002
Location: 1830s Basement
Proposal: Removal of redundant duct and infill of the resultant hole with brick to match surrounding.
The existing duct has no heritage value. It is non-original and is no longer required. Infill is necessary to ensure fire protection.
The reinstatement of the brick is a return to a more original form. The matching of bricks and mortar will ensure that the repair will be sensitive to, and compatible with, historic fabric.
Impact upon significance: Minor, beneficial.




Image: Poposed Basement.

3.0 PLANNING CONTEXT

The main heritage planning considerations affecting this site are its Grade I listed status and its position within the Castlefield Conservation Area.

Statutory legislation states that special regard should be given to 'the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any feature of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.' Clause 66. Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990.

The NPPF recognises that sympathetic changes to heritage assets are necessary to ensure their viable ongoing use, but that alterations must be justified and their impact upon significance assessed.

This report meets the requirements of NPPF, paragraph 200, 'In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting'.

Paragraph 210 states,

'In determining applications, local planning authorities should take account of:

- a) the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to uses consistent with their conservation;
- b) the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities including their economic vitality; and
- c) the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.'

The high significance of this complex of buildings will be retained and enhanced through ongoing improvement. Works are considered compatible with historic fabric and do not equate to harm to significance.

Paragraph 212 states, 'When considering the impact of proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation.'

Proposals have been designed to protect historic fabric and features and to minimise risk of harm resulting from spread in the event of a fire.

Sustainability is a key overarching aim of NPPF and this application is a positive response to that, seeking to protect and conserve existing historic fabric and significance.

Local Manchester City Council policy follows the principles established in the NPPF and is contained within the Local Development Framework Core Strategy, 2012. Of particular relevance are CC9, which states that development should preserve or enhance listed assets; and EN3 which states the Council will encourage development that complements and takes advantage of historic and heritage features of neighbourhoods.

Places for Everyone is Greater Manchester's joint development plan. Policy JP-P2 relates to heritage and states that particular consideration will be given to ensuring 'the significance of key elements of the historic environment which contribute to Greater Manchester's distinct identity and sense of place are protected from harm' and that positive management and integration of heritage should be informed by 'delivering positive benefits that sustain and enhance the historic environment as well as contributing to the economic vitality, accessibility and environmental quality of a place.'

The proposals are considered to accord with local and national policy.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The proposals have been designed to achieve fire protection for the Grade I listed building, with minimal intervention. Proposals are necessary, appropriate, and proportionate. There is no harm to the integrity of the asset, nor to its significance, as a result of these works. The proposed changes are considered to be safe-guarding measures and will positively enhance the listed asset by reducing potential risks to the building.

OLD PLACES • NEW STORIES

ATELIER.MB

ARCHITECTURE + HERITAGE

AMAZON HOUSE • BRAZIL STREET
MANCHESTER • M1 3PW