

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 142403/FH/2025
Date registered valid: 12 March 2025
Proposal: Erection of two storey side and single storey rear extension
Address: 21 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PG
Ward(s): Ardwick Ward

Applicant: Mr Mohammad Azad
Agent (if any): Mr Muhammad Dabeer Rana MD Design Consultancy
Case officer: Ellie Knowles
Tel: 0161 219 6633
Email: ellie.knowles@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve
Date of recommendation: 27 May 2025

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Date of site visit: 25 July 2024

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 1 April 2025 Expired: 24 April 2025

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building/area

21 Britnall Avenue is a two storey end terraced property in Ardwick. The property is constructed in buff brickwork and is designed with a gable roof finished in grey roof tiles. The application property affords a corner plot, with amenity space to the front, side and rear. To the front is a single driveway. Boundary treatment comprises low brick walling with railings atop along the front and high timber fencing around the private rear garden area.

The application property is situated in a former council built residential estate, comprising properties of uniform scale, character and arrangement. Directly opposite the site is a large, grassed verge and industrial warehouse beyond.



Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable

Description of development

The proposal seeks permission for a two storey side extension and single storey rear extension.

This application is a resubmission of 140296/FH/2024, albeit with revised roof form to the proposed single storey rear extension and additional first floor side window.

The proposed two storey side extension would project 3m from the original side elevation and run the full length of the original dwelling (7.35m). This element would be set back 500mm from the principal elevation and accommodate a gable roof form with matching eaves height, set down 280mm from the original ridge level. Windows are proposed to the front (ground and first floors), first floor side (obscure glazed) and first floor rear elevations.

The proposed single storey rear extension would project 2.47m from the original rear elevation and measure 10.14m in total width. This element would accommodate a lean-to roof, 2.7m in eaves height and 3.2m in total ridge height, with three rooflights. Bifold doors, access door and single window are proposed in the rear elevation only.

Matching materials are proposed.

Consultations & Notification Responses

Local responses

None

Consultee responses

None

Relevant Planning History

None

History of negotiations

No negotiations were entered into with the agent, given that the scheme was considered policy compliant.

Local Planning Policies

Places for Everyone (2022-2039) – Places for Everyone is a long-term plan of nine Greater Manchester districts for jobs, new homes, and sustainable growth. It has been published by the GMCA on behalf of the nine districts and took effect and became part of the statutory development plan on March 21, 2024.

The PfE Plan and its policies are now a material planning consideration in the determination of planning applications. The PfE Plan and its policies must therefore be given full weight in the planning balance.

Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document (2012-2027) - The Core Strategy was adopted on the 11 July 2012 and replaces a large number of policies in Manchester's Unitary Development Plan. Parts of the policies have been superseded by the Places for Everyone Plan.

The Unitary Development Plan (UDP) for the City of Manchester (1995) - The Unitary Development Plan for the City of Manchester was adopted in 1995 and has largely been replaced with the policies contained within the Core Strategy. However, there are a number of policies that are extant.

The relevant **Places for Everyone** policies for this application are as follows:

JP-S1 – Sustainable Development

JP-P1 – Sustainable Places

The relevant **Core Strategy** policies for this application are as follows:

DM 1 – Development Management

SP 1 – Spatial Principles (partially superseded by PfE)

The relevant saved **UDP** policies for this application are:

DC1– Residential Extensions

A relevant material consideration is also:

- Manchester Residential Quality Guidance March 2017 (Manchester's Emerging Space Standards)

National Planning Policy Framework

The NPPF (December 2024) sets out broad national policies on themes such as housing, historic environment, town centres, design, climate change and the Green Belt. Central to the broad policy direction contained within the NPPF is the notion of sustainable development; development which effectively balances economic, social and environmental factors. The Local Planning Authority consider the following sections relevant to this proposal.

- Section 2 – Achieving sustainable development
- Section 4 – Decision-making
- Section 12 – Achieving well-designed places

Paragraph 135 (f) states that developments “*create a high standard of amenity for existing and future user.*”

Issues

Principle of development:

The proposed development is for a two storey side extension and single storey rear extension to a residential dwelling, this is considered acceptable in principle as many people prefer to extend their homes rather than move in order to meet the changing residential needs of families.

However, consideration must be given to the proposal's appearance, impact on the visual amenity of the area and impact on the neighbouring properties' residential amenity.

Impact on visual amenity and the streetscene:

The proposal seeks permission for a two-storey side extension and single storey rear extension. The height of the proposed extensions appropriately relate to the height parameters of the existing building, with the two-storey element sufficiently set-down from the original ridge, achieving a subservient addition. The two-storey extension would be designed with a gable roof form considered sympathetic to the host property. The single storey rear extension would be designed with a lean-to roof and is considered a modest addition. It is considered that the proposed extensions respond well to the dimensions, design and siting of the neighbouring properties.

The proposed two storey side extension would be set back 500mm from the principal elevation. Whilst this is acknowledged as being below the 2m recommendation, it is considered to be acceptable on balance in this specific instance, given the limited risk of terracing due to there being no immediate properties due north. The nearest neighbouring property is circa 13.8m from the development, separated by a highway.

It is recommended a materials condition is attached to ensure that the materials are adhered to, pursuant with the requirements of Core Strategy policies DM1, EN1 and SP1 and saved UPD policy DC1.

Overall, the proposed extensions are considered to be subservient to the existing property therefore, this proposal does not create any significant impacts in terms of visual amenity within the character of the general area and is considered acceptable against the principles set within Saved DC1 and SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

Impact on residential amenity:

The proposed two storey side extension would sit flush with the original rear elevation, as such this element would be entirely screened by the original property and would pose no impact upon the adjoining property, no.19 Britnall Avenue due south. No.23 Britnall Avenue is the neighbouring property due north, separated by Rona Close. The proposed side extension would feature 2.no obscure glazed windows in this north facing side elevation at first floor, be inset 1.1m from the side boundary and retain 13.8m between the nearest with no.23, as such no impacts are considered.

The proposed single storey rear extension would project 2.47m from the original rear elevation and measure 3.2m in total ridge height. Given the modest scale proposed, this element is not considered to give rise to any undue residential amenity impacts upon neighbouring properties.

As such, the revised proposal is not considered to give rise to undue impacts upon the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants. The proposal is therefore considered compliant with policies DM1 of the Core Strategy saved UDP policy DC1.

Highways:

The proposed development would not interfere with the existing parking provision on street and a single driveway, as such is considered acceptable in this regard.

Other Legislative Requirements

Equality Act 2010

Section 149 (Public Sector Equality Duty) of the Equality Act 2010 requires due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act and; Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The Equality Duty does not impose a legal requirement to conduct an Equality Impact Assessment. Compliance with the Equality Duty involves consciously thinking about the aims of the Equality Duty as part of the process of decision-making.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant/agent in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. In this instance, no negotiations were necessary but appropriate conditions have been attached to the end of this report. The scheme is considered to be in accordance with the guidance contained within saved policy DC1 of the Manchester Unitary Development Plan and to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval

1.The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2.The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the documents labelled:

- 'Proposed floor plans', numbered 'A200 F', stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on the 27/05/2025.
- 'Proposed elevations', numbered 'A201 F', stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on the 27/05/2025.
- 'Proposed 3D Plans', numbered 'A202 F', stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on the 27/05/2025.
- 'Proposed 3D views', numbered 'A203 F', stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on the 27/05/2025.
- 'Proposed site plan', numbered 'A204 F', stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on the 27/05/2025.

Reason - To ensure the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans, pursuant to Policies SP1 and DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

3.The materials to be used on the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those of the existing dwellinghouse in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the extension, pursuant to saved policies DC1.1, DC1.2, and DC1.4 of the Unitary Development Plan for the City of Manchester and policy DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

27 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PQ
4 Rona Close, Manchester, M12 4RE
27 Berigan Close, Manchester, M12 4QT
6 Rona Close, Manchester, M12 4RE
2 Rona Close, Manchester, M12 4RE
21 Berigan Close, Manchester, M12 4QT
19 Berigan Close, Manchester, M12 4QT
17 Berigan Close, Manchester, M12 4QT
25 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PQ
23 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PQ
19 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PG
17 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PG
15 Britnall Avenue, Manchester, M12 4PG

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Coal Authority - Development Low Risk - Name: DEVELOPMENT_LOW_RISK_AREA
Area Team Boundary - Name: NORTH AREA