

Comments for Planning Application 142652/FO/2025

Application Summary

Application Number: 142652/FO/2025

Address: Chorlton Square Shopping Centre Barlow Moor Road Manchester M21 9AQ

Proposal: Erection of 6 no. residential buildings of 10, 8, 6 and 4 storeys in height to provide up to 262 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) with ground floor commercial uses (Use Class E (a-e)); infrastructure works including electric substation and associated utilities works, basement and ground level car parking, service yard, vehicular and pedestrian accesses; together with public realm works and hard/soft landscaping following the demolition of the existing buildings and structures on site.

Case Officer: Robert Griffin

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Group

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this development on three grounds. The first is that the development has taken away the shops that used to make up the precinct, and the proposed development will have less retail space. What was once a community hub may now be replaced by one or two 'Tesco Express' type shops etc. Secondly, the scale of the proposed development is out of kilter with the surrounding buildings. The proposed development is much higher than the existing office block and will stand out as an eye sore compared to the surrounding buildings which are at most 2 to 3 stories. Thirdly, the scale of the development, particularly the number of residential properties, is large compared to the proposed provision for parking spaces. This is already a very congested part of Chorlton with schools such as Oxwood Road Primary School surrounded on four sides by busy roads. The addition of 200 or so housing units would likely make this problem even worse. This is even more so if there are not adequate parking facilities and cars are left on the surrounding street. I appreciate developments may need to happen for the local area, but in this current form this is not suitable for the reasons outlined above.