

# Comments for Planning Application 142652/FO/2025

## Application Summary

Application Number: 142652/FO/2025

Address: Chorlton Square Shopping Centre Barlow Moor Road Manchester M21 9AQ

Proposal: Erection of 6 no. residential buildings of 10, 8, 6 and 4 storeys in height to provide up to 262 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) with ground floor commercial uses (Use Class E (a-e)); infrastructure works including electric substation and associated utilities works, basement and ground level car parking, service yard, vehicular and pedestrian accesses; together with public realm works and hard/soft landscaping following the demolition of the existing buildings and structures on site.

Case Officer: Robert Griffin

## Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The addition of over 260 new dwellings in the centre of Chorlton will put intolerable pressure on local facilities and resources. The availability of local parking for the health centre (GPs), dentist and opticians is already severely curtailed due to the introduction of the adjacent cycle lanes on Barlowmoor Road. Loss of the only car park and addition visitor traffic to the proposed dwellings will increase the congestion and parking on nearby streets and present an additional hazards for the local school.

The high density housing proposed is out of character with the area and an unacceptable change from the original redevelopment proposals for the precinct.

The proposed development provides for no additional facilities, amenities or public spaces for existing or new residents..

Finally the development is reminiscent of the high density / high rise developments that failed to provide an attractive living environment, for example so spectacular in Hulme, in the 60s and 70s and were demolished within 40 years - unlike the existing medium density / low rise terraces and HMO's in the area which are close to 100 years old in most cases.

I object most strongly to this development in its revised form.