

Comments for Planning Application 142652/FO/2025

Application Summary

Application Number: 142652/FO/2025

Address: Chorlton Square Shopping Centre Barlow Moor Road Manchester M21 9AQ

Proposal: Erection of 6 no. residential buildings of 10, 8, 6 and 4 storeys in height to provide up to 262 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) with ground floor commercial uses (Use Class E (a-e)); infrastructure works including electric substation and associated utilities works, basement and ground level car parking, service yard, vehicular and pedestrian accesses; together with public realm works and hard/soft landscaping following the demolition of the existing buildings and structures on site.

Case Officer: Robert Griffin

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This is huge overdevelopment of the site and I STRONGLY object.

The existing Graeme house is 8 story and set back from the road, If this development is allowed to continue unchanged it will remove the most fundamental and basic needs to human well being; day light.

The shadow on Manchester Road and darkness on Manchester road will change Chorlton forever.

The development has too many properties and not enough retail space.

The 5 story development on the site of the former swimming baths is imposing enough, I can't imagine something twice the size and multiple of them. Chorlton already has a car and travel nightmare. Has there been an assessment on the impact on air quality for this number of vehicles?

Will every flat have somewhere to leave a car? What about the impact on neighbouring streets, The original plans had houses as well as flats.

the original plans did not involve destruction of mature trees.

I support the development of houses and some flats on this site but not the level of proposed development.

There needs to be a community or civic building in the development.

I feel the existing residents of Chorlton have been completely ignored and we don't seem to have the same number of rights.