

Comments for Planning Application 142652/FO/2025

Application Summary

Application Number: 142652/FO/2025

Address: Chorlton Square Shopping Centre Barlow Moor Road Manchester M21 9AQ

Proposal: Erection of 6 no. residential buildings of 10, 8, 6 and 4 storeys in height to provide up to 262 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) with ground floor commercial uses (Use Class E (a-e)); infrastructure works including electric substation and associated utilities works, basement and ground level car parking, service yard, vehicular and pedestrian accesses; together with public realm works and hard/soft landscaping following the demolition of the existing buildings and structures on site.

Case Officer: Robert Griffin

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This proposal is radically different from the earlier public proposals. The civic offer is almost halved in terms of retail but there is very little infrastructure (and social infrastructure) to support this. The green spaces appear much smaller than previous versions the public has seen which I doubt any consultation with the public requested. A scheme of this density with this lack of social housing is an embarrassment. There is opportunity to support and increase the offer that Chorlton provides as a thriving civic local centre however this has the potential to overwhelm, and stifle the current place. Adequate social infrastructure is essential to this size of scheme. Please read the Greater London Authority's document Connective Social Infrastructure research, as well as it's Making London Child Friendly guidance - there is a huge amount that this scheme can learn. The family a housing appears to have gone so unclear how this will support children and young people. This proposal has undergone the classic faux consultation that has led the community to believe their comments have been heard. Less green space, deleted social housing and less civic offer are a disgrace and absolutely the opposite of what the community has discussed as part of this process.