

Comments for Planning Application 142986/VO/2025

Application Summary

Application Number: 142986/VO/2025

Address: Field Of Os South Of Claridge Road Manchester

Proposal: City Council Development - Erection of modular-building, including associated landscaping to provide a flexible space for youth and community activities (Class F.2)

Case Officer: Steven McCoombe

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I would like to register my objection to the planning document for the following reasons :

1/ the document contains false information : the Field of Os Pocket Park group do NOT support the current plan - the document states that they do.

2/ The consultation process has been utterly lacking in rigour - some verbal consultation has been conducted with Claridge road residents and a mixed response was given to the plan. Beyond this planning application I, a resident of Fielden Ave, have received no further information about the proposals.

3/ I personally made a point of attending the first available consultation meeting, held by Collette Crosdale of Unity Arts. I and my wife were the only local residents to attend the meeting. Collette described the planned development as a 'small pod' - clearly this a major misrepresentation of the actual plans!! She very clearly stated the 'Pod' would have a very small footprint and impact on the playing field - the current plans are completely at odds with her description.

4/ The field of Os playing field has been a community resource for three decades and is a cherished community space.

5/ The playing fields should fall under Sport Englands commitment to playing field protection.

6/ the playing field is daily used by children, youths and adults alike - for sports, relaxation, socialising, dog walking, holding fetes, etc The proposals do not accurately reflect the breadth and depth of use of the playing field.

As it stands the proposal does not adequately address the above concerns - Consequently I strongly object.