Comments for Planning Application 142652/FO/2025

Application Summary

Application Number: 142652/FO/2025
Address: Chorlton Square Shopping Centre Barlow Moor Road Manchester M21 9AQ
Proposal: Erection of 6 no. residential buildings of 10, 8, 6 and 4 storeys in height to provide up to 262 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) with ground floor commercial uses (Use Class E (a-e)); infrastructure works including electric substation and associated utilities works, basement and ground level car parking, service yard, vehicular and pedestrian accesses; together with public realm works and hard/soft landscaping following the demolition of the existing buildings and structures on site.
Case Officer: Robert Griffin

Customer Details

Name: Not Available
Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public
Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application
Comment Reasons:
Comment:I have been a resident of Chorlton-cum-Hardy since 1978 and I contribute actively to life in my street. I was a good customer of the shops previously operating in Chorlton precinct. Some of these shops were of excellent quality. The loss of Martins the Baker, Frosts the Butcher, the Greengrocer, Boots and Quality Save have had a very significant impact on my life, because I do not own a car. The proposed development does not provide an equivalent number and size of shops.
The proposed buildings, apart from block D, of an entirely different scale to the existing buildings in the district. Block F is marginal, but would be more acceptable at 3 storeys high. The current application bears very little resemblance with what was proposed originally. The proposal would fit much better along the Mancunian way!
There appears to be little concern for the well-being of the future inhabitants of the block. It may well lead to a great deal of night-time noise and a huge increase in traffic levels, making Chorlton less livable for the current and future populations. A high carbon footprint is to be expected if the buildings are not heated by heat-pumps with heat pipes in the ground.
A development with a maximum height of 15 m would be acceptable, if steeped down to 10 m on the fringes of the site.
I strongly object to the proposals in the current form. I suspect that the developer purposely delayed the application in the hope that people would accept huge buildings just to get back to a semblance of normality, rather than a waste land in the middle of Chorlton.