

Comments for Planning Application 127300/FO/2020

Application Summary

Application Number: 127300/FO/2020

Address: 8 Birch Polygon Manchester M14 5HX

Proposal: Erection of a single storey rear extension and conversion of property to provide 5 no. self contained apartments with associated elevational alterations, car parking and landscaping

Case Officer: Dave Morris

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: 8 Birch Polygon M14 5HX. Planning proposal 127300/FO/2020 I wish to strongly oppose this planning application.

If approved.....

1. This planning application would mean the loss of a family home. 8 Birch Polygon should remain a family house as it has been for the past 120 years. The area known as Birch in Rusholme has a vibrant family community but too many of the Victorian houses, ideal for families, are being bought by property developers for excess amounts of money thus taking them out of the market for family homes.
 2. This planning application would be a further over- development of the street. The pavements of Birch Polygon are already crammed with parked cars as well as large quantities of unsightly wheelybins. Where would more cars and wheelybins go? As the pavements are no longer available, pedestrians are required to walk in the middle of the street.
 3. This planning application would be an over-development of the house. 9 bedrooms in a quantity of flats is no better than 9 bedrooms in 9 one-bedroom flats (previously refused), especially as most of these rooms are of minimum legal size or very little larger.
 4. This planning application would reduce the green space, wild life habitat as well as the wild life diversity corridor linking the row of gardens with Birchfields Park. Building on the garden whether with bricks or tarmac does nothing for the environment nor for the reduction of our carbon footprint, now part of Manchester's plan.
- I hope the planners will reject this proposal, strongly.