MANCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no.	127758/FH/2020
Date registered valid:	18 August 2020
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension together with the installation of rear dormer extension to form additional living accommodation
Address:	13 Baldock Road, Manchester, M20 6JG
Ward(s):	Didsbury East Ward
Applicant:	Mr Luke Davidson, 14 Arundel Avenue, Manchester, M416NQ
Agent (if any):	Mr Luke Davidson, 14 Arundel Avenue, Manchester, M416NQ
Case officer:	Melanie Tann Tel: 0161 234 4538 Email: melanie.tann@manchester.gov.uk
Recommendation:	Approve
Date of recommendation:	9 October 2020

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Standard consultations:
No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications
Printed: 20 August 2020 Expired: 10 September 2020

Site notice
No site notice has been produced

Press advert
No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Description of site/building

The property is a traditional, two-storey, semi-detached house with a garden to the rear

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area: Not applicable

Tree Preservation Order: Not applicable

Description of area

The area is residential in character.

Description of development

Erection of a single storey rear extension together with the installation of rear dormer extension to form additional living accommodation

Consultations & Notification Responses

Neighbours – no comments received

Policies

Unitary Development Plan

Saved Policy DC1.1 states that in determining planning applications for extensions to residential properties, the Council will have regard to:

a. The general character of the property;
b. The effect upon the amenity of the neighbouring occupiers;

c. The desirability of enabling people to adapt their houses in appropriate ways to meet changing household needs;
d. The overall appearance of the proposal in the a street scene;
e. The effect of the loss of any on-site car parking.

DC1.2 states that extensions to residential properties will be allowed subject to compliance with other relevant policies of the Plan and the following criteria:
a. They are not excessively large or bulky (for example resulting in structures which are not subservient to original houses or project out too far in front of original buildings);
b. They do not create undue loss of sunlight, daylight or privacy;
c. They are not out of character with the style of development in the area or the surrounding scene by virtue of design, use of materials or construction details.

DC1.3 Notwithstanding the generality of the above policies, the council will not normally approve:
a) rearward extensions greater than 3.65m (12ft) in length
b) 2 storey extensions with a flat roof, particularly those which would be visible from the public highway
c) 2 storey extensions to terraced properties which occupy the full width of the house
d) flat roofed extensions to bungalows
e) extensions which conflict with the councils guidelines on privacy distances (which are published as supplementary guidance).

The Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012 -2027 ("the Core Strategy") was adopted by the City Council on 11th July 2012. It is the key document in Manchester's Local Development Framework. The Core Strategy replaces significant elements of the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) as the document that sets out the long term strategic planning policies for Manchester's future development. A number of UDP policies have been saved until replaced by further development plan documents to accompany the Core Strategy. Planning applications in Manchester must be decided in accordance with the Core Strategy, saved UDP policies and other Local Development Documents.

Policy SP1 identifies the City Council's Core Development Principles and states that development in all parts of the City should:-

Make a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice including:-
- Creating well designed places that enhance or create character
- Making a positive contribution to the health, safety and wellbeing of residents
- Considering the needs of all members of the community regardless of age, gender, disability, sexuality, religion, culture, ethnicity or income.
- Protect and enhance the built and natural environment

Minimise emissions, ensure efficient use of natural resources and reuse previously developed land wherever possible.

Improve access to jobs, services, education and open space by being located to reduce the need to travel and provide good access to sustainable transport provision.

Policy DM 1 states that all development should have regard to the following specific issues for which more detailed guidance may be given within a supplementary planning document:-
- Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials and detail.
- Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.

- Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality and road safety and traffic generation. This could also include proposals which would be sensitive to existing environmental conditions, such as noise.
- Accessibility: buildings and neighbourhoods fully accessible to disabled people, access to new development by sustainable transport modes.
- Community safety and crime prevention.
- Design for health.
- Adequacy of internal accommodation and external amenity space.
- Refuse storage and collection.
- Vehicular access and car parking.
- Effects relating to biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage.
- Green Infrastructure including open space, both public and private.
- Flood risk and drainage.
- Existing or proposed hazardous installations.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) - This Framework came into effect on 27th March 2012 and was amended and updated in February 2019. It sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. It defines the Government's requirements for the planning system `only to the extent that it is relevant, proportionate and necessary to do so'. It provides a mechanism through `which local people and their accountable councils can produce their own distinctive local and neighbourhood plans, which reflect the needs and priorities of their communities'.

The Framework re-iterates that planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory status of the development plan remains as the starting point for decision making. However, the NPPF states that `at the heart of the Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development' and, in 'decision-taking', this means that development proposals should accord with the development plan should be approved without delay unless: any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole or specific policies in this Framework indicate development should be restricted

National guidance can be found in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The central theme to the NPPF is to achieve sustainable development. The Government states that there are three overarching objectives to achieving sustainable development: an economic objective, a social objective and an environmental objective (paragraph 8).

Issues

Principle

As the proposal seeks to extend a residential property policy DM1 and saved policy DC1 are applicable. Consideration has, however, been given as to whether the scale, massing, design and appearance of the extension is acceptable. In addition, consideration has been given to any impact the proposed development may have on the residential and visual amenity of neighbouring occupiers and the residential area.

Scale, Massing and Design

The proposed single-storey rear extension would fill the width of the property and would have a rearward projection of 4.5 metres. The proposed rear extension would have a flat roof.

The proposed rear dormer would sit below the ridge of the main house and just above the eaves.

The proposals are considered to be proportionate to the main house and would form subservient additions to the property.

The design and choice of materials for the proposal reflect the existing house and neighbouring properties. This is considered to be acceptable in this location and is not considered to be detrimental to the character of the property and would not form an incongruous feature within the street scene.

Loss of light/overshadowing

The orientation of the property is east facing so the rear extension would be west facing therefore, the proposed extension would be to the south of the adjoining neighbour. There is potential for the proposed extension to create some loss of light to the neighbouring occupier, however, given the limited height and rearward projection of the proposed extension it is not considered that this would be so significant as to warrant the withholding of planning permission.

Impact/Visual Amenity/Residential Amenity

It is not considered that the proposal would create any undue loss of residential amenity to neighbouring occupiers.

The proposal would not be readily visible within the street scene.

No windows are proposed in the side elevations.

Conclusion

It is therefore considered that the proposals are in accordance with City Council saved policies DC1.1, DC1.2, DC1.3 of the Unitary Development Plan and policies DM1, SP1 of the Core Strategy.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved polices of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation:

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:
Proposed plans and elevations 003 rev A, stamped as received 17th August 2020;
Proposed ground-floor plan 000 rev A, stamped as received 17th August 2020.

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans. Pursuant to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

3) The materials to be used on the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those of the existing building in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason - In order to ensure a satisfactory appearance in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with saved policies DC1 of the Unitary Development Plan for the City of Manchester and policy DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy, and the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.

4) The flat roof of the rear extension hereby approved shall not be used for any purpose other than as a means of escape in an emergency or for maintenance of the building.

Reason - To safeguard the amenities of the adjoining premises from overlooking or perceived overlooking and in accordance with policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

351 Parrs Wood Road, Manchester, M20 6JF
349 Parrs Wood Road, Manchester, M20 6JF
347 Parrs Wood Road, Manchester, M20 6JF
14 Baldock Road, Manchester, M20 6JG
11 Baldock Road, Manchester, M20 6JG
15 Baldock Road, Manchester, M20 6JG
16 Baldock Road, Manchester, M20 6JG

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 90M
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH