

From: Sanderson-Clark, Ellen < >
Sent: 04 November 2020 15:52
To: Angela Leckie < >
Cc: Brabrook, Jemma < >
Subject: RE: [EXT] CDN/20/0615 and CDN/20/0625 - Plots 2,3 and 4 and Plot 14

Hi Angela,

To confirm, happy to agree the below on both 2,3&4 and Plot 14.

Many thanks,
Ellen

Ellen Sanderson-Clark
Senior Planner | FA - Real Estate | Deloitte LLP
| www.deloitterealstate.co.uk

From: Angela Leckie < >
Sent: 03 November 2020 17:37
To: Sanderson-Clark, Ellen < >
Cc: Brabrook, Jemma < >
Subject: Re: [EXT] CDN/20/0615 and CDN/20/0625 - Plots 2,3 and 4 and Plot 14

Hi Ellen

I think the condition in question on this one is older than the one which we currently attach which has evolved as we have become more experienced at dealing with complaints.

Can it be agreed that if any complaint is received within 12 months of Practical Completion (lets say 16-12-20) that if any complaints are received about interference and it is deemed to have been caused by the Development, appropriate mitigation in line with your e-mail will be installed as soon as reasonably practicable but no later than 3 months from submission of the initial investigation to the Local Planning Authority.

Best Wishes

Angela

From: Sanderson-Clark, Ellen < >
Sent: 02 November 2020 14:40
To: Angela Leckie < >
Cc: Brabrook, Jemma < >
Subject: RE: [EXT] CDN/20/0615 and CDN/20/0625 - Plots 2,3 and 4

Hi Angela,

In response to the below email, in relation to Condition 34 for Buildings 2,3&4, pager power have provided the following response:

The most common solutions for degradation to television signal are below, sometimes in isolation and sometimes in combination:

- Re-tuning the aerial to an alternative transmitter.
- Upgrading the aerial.
- Increasing the height of the aerial.
- Provision of signal amplifiers / newer cables.
- Provision of alternative receiving equipment such as satellite, cable, or internet television.

The optimal solution at a given address will depend on parameters specific to that location e.g. the quality and height of the existing aerial. It is therefore best practice to have a local aerial installer visit locations that report interference – if there is reason to believe this may be due to the development – in order to establish the best way forward, likely based on the list of options above. This is a better approach than committing to one specific solution ahead of time because it allows the most appropriate course of action to be taken on a case-by-case basis.

As such, the strategy is to act on the recommendations of the local aerial installer to implement the most appropriate solution.

I hope this answers your query below, but if you need anything further please do let me know.

Kind regards,
Ellen

Ellen Sanderson-Clark

Senior Planner | FA - Real Estate | Deloitte LLP

| www.deloitterealstate.co.uk

From: Angela Leckie < >

Sent: 30 October 2020 09:32

To: Sanderson-Clark, Ellen < >

Subject: [EXT] CDN/20/0615 and CDN/20/0625 - Plots 2,3 and 4

Hi Ellen

On C11 d can it be confirmed that the modular system will contain top soil and subsoil conforming to BS 3882:2015 and BS 8601:2013 respectively. That the gravel around the tree is bonded and permeable - A detail of a tree grille and Arbour slot has been submitted but this is not shown on dwg CS-PLA-ZZ-XX-DR-L-0203 so not sure why this is included.

No details have been submitted on 11a?

Items e, and f are with the Access Officer for comments

I think I am also just waiting for a Spec on the Grass as well?

CDN/20/0625

Condition 19 (Signage and external furniture strategy) - External seating and shop front signage strategy ok - bus stop sign needs more discussion - is this needed as it would off set the symmetry of the shop fronts? Can we have a larger scale detail of how this might work - I need to have a look on site.

Condition 34 (TV Reception): Report Concludes that -If interference to local multiplexes was to be reported in the shadow zone for Circle Square within approximately 2 km of the building, the reported effects should be evaluated in the context of the survey results. It would be good practice to arrange for a local aerial installer to visit the reported interference location if the affected channels corresponded to one of the weaker multiplexes. - what is the strategy for implementing any mitigation required following on from the recommendation

An updated note is attached

Thanks

Angela

Angela Leckie
Senior Planner
City Centre Team

Tel (External) 0161 234 4651
Tel (Internal) 80034651
email
www.manchester.gov.uk

I am currently working from home if you need me to contact you please drop me an e-mail and I will call you.

I am available to dial into pre -arranged meetings via Zoom, Microsoft Teams or Google Meet

Upcoming Leave

Postal Address
Angela Leckie
City Centre Team
Planning
Growth and Development
Manchester City Council
Floor 6 Town Hall Extension
Albert Square

P.O Box 532,
Manchester, M60 2LA