

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 129704/FH/2021

Date registered valid: 15 March 2021

Proposal: Erection of part single, part two storey side extension and single storey side extension to provide additional living accommodation

Address: 11A Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY

Ward(s): Didsbury East Ward

Applicant: Ms Carlotta Bosso 11A Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY

Agent (if any): Mr MSA Material Studio Architects Jactin House, 24 Hood Street, Manchester, M4 6WX

Case officer: Tracie Simpson
Tel: 0161 234 4537
Email: tracie.simpson@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve

Date of recommendation: 10th May 2021

Application details

Type of application:	Full Householder Application
Development type:	Householder
Date of site visit:	
Standard consultations:	No consultations have been printed and sent.
Neighbour notifications	Printed: 19 March 2021 Expired: 9 April 2021
Site notice	No site notice has been produced
Press advert	No press advert had been published
Departure from Development Plan:	No
Environmental Statement:	Not required

Description of site/building

The application site is a 2-storey semi-detached dwelling situated on Brooklawn Drive in the Didsbury East ward. It is a traditional bay fronted property constructed of brown brick with hipped roof. There are gardens at the front and rear with access along the side.



Listed building:	Not applicable
Conservation area:	Not applicable
Tree Preservation Order:	Not applicable

Description of area

The area is predominantly residential in nature.

Description of development

Erection of part single, part two storey side extension and single storey side extension to provide additional living accommodation

Consultations & Notification Responses

Local residents/neighbours: There has been one objection to the proposal, summary of comments as follows:

- The design has been shared with us independently of communication from the council

- Access for the works to be completed - will this affect our garden or fence
- The plans show no new windows overlooking would want this to remain in the case.

Policy and Issues

The following policies are considered relevant in the consideration of this application.

- o Unitary Development Plan (UDP) - The UDP has been superseded by the Core Strategy Development Plan.
- o Policy DC1 for Residential Extensions is still considered of relevance, in particular policy DC1.5 - The Council will consider on their merits exemptions to the above policies in the case of applications from disabled people who may require particular adaptations to their homes.
- o The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027 ("the Core Strategy") was adopted by the City Council on 11th July 2012.
- o Policy DM 1 - Development Management
- o Policy SP1 - Spatial Principles
- o Policy EN1 - Design Principles
- o Policy EN19 Waste
- o The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

For reasons to be outlined below, it is considered the proposal does not accord with the principles of this policy document.

Principle

The principle of allowing residents to extend their homes to provide enlarged or improved accommodation is generally acceptable provided that there is no overriding, undue impact upon either the character of the property or upon the residential and visual amenity of nearby residential occupiers.

In this instance the principle of the proposal is considered acceptable and it is not believed that there are any factors of sufficient weight in amenity terms that would warrant refusal of the application.

On balance, the proposed extension is deemed to accord with saved policy DC1 of the UDP and policies DM1 and SP1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

History

There are no planning application relating to this site.

Residential Amenity

The applicant proposes the erection of part single, part two-storey side and single storey side extension to form additional living accommodation. The site benefits from an existing single storey side and rear extension wrap around.

Part 2/part single,ground floor element

The ground floor element of the proposal would be in line with the front wall of the house extending rearwards along the side of the property by approximately 3.3 metres and towards the shared boundary with no. 15 Brooklawn Drive measuring 4.6 metres approximately retaining a gap to

the shared boundary. The roof would be hipped with the inclusion of double doors on the side elevation.

First floor side

The proposal would be in line with the front wall of the house extending rearwards approximately 3.3 metres with a projection to the side of approximately 1.7 metres above the proposed ground floor element. The roof would be set down from the original ridge height of the roof considerably with a hipped roof and the insertion of a window on the front elevation.

Single storey side

The applicant also proposes a single storey side extension which would be positioned on the existing side extension towards the rear of the property measuring 1.2 metres x 2.3 metres approximately on the side. The extension would have a mono pitch sloping roof with the inclusion of double doors on the side. A gap of approximately 2.3 metres would be retained to the shared boundary.

The impression of subservience is achieved by the height of the proposed roof being set down significantly from the height of the existing roof. Given the height, projection and gap retained to the shared boundary with no. 15 Brooklawn, it is considered that the proportions of the extensions are acceptable. The footprint is not considered to create a bulky or disproportionate scale addition to the property.

In terms of residential amenity, it is not considered that there will be any significant impact and the proposed development therefore accords with Core Strategy Policy DM1 and saved policy DC1 of the UDP.

Siting, scale and massing

The size and footprint of the proposed extension is comparable in size to many others found in the area and is not believed to provide an overbearing impact upon the residential amenities of surrounding properties. The appearance is acceptable and its impact upon visual amenity is considered negligible.

It is therefore considered that the scale and mass of the proposed extensions are satisfactory and are considered to accord with the principles for residential extensions set out in saved UDP policy DC1, DM1 and EN1 of the Core Strategy.

Design

The design of the proposal would be in keeping with the existing and surrounding properties in the area. In terms of the proposed materials it is intended to use matching bricks and tiles as specified in the application form. The design of the extension has been tailored to fit the shape of the existing property/plot and respect the relationship with the neighbouring property at the side and rear and is considered acceptable and detailed as what is normally expected of such a structure.

On balance it is considered that the design and appearance of the proposed development accords with Core Strategy Policies DM1 and EN1 and in general the design is considered acceptable.

Disabled access

Not applicable

Bins

Bins storage is not affected by this proposal as space is retained along the side of the property and therefore the storage of refuse bins accords with policy EN19 of the Core Strategy.

Parking

Off street car parking would be retained to the site and therefore it is considered that car parking arrangements are not affected by this proposal.

Conclusion

Although the proposal would be visible from the public highway and therefore would be a feature within the street scene, the character of the area is still retained. The proposal has been sited and designed to ensure that it is subservient to the original dwellinghouse and the proposal is considered acceptable in this location, in terms of design, scale and massing, allowing the occupiers to adapt their home to meet their needs, without unduly detrimentally affecting the amenities of the occupiers of surrounding properties, or the character and appearance of the surrounding street scene, and there are no material considerations of sufficient weight to indicate otherwise.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Positive and proactive working Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. The application has been determined in a timely manner and in accordance with the policies within the Development Plan.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

Received on 15th March 2021 numbered LP2038-3-200 Proposed Floor Plans

Received on 15th March 2021 numbered LP2038-3-201 Proposed Loft and Roof Plans

Received on 15th March 2021 numbered LP2038-3-300 Proposed Front and Side Elevations

Received on 15th March 2021 numbered LP2038-3-301 Proposed Side and Rear Elevations

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans. Pursuant to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

3) The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the application form/drawings in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure, pursuant to policy DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

16 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GZ
14 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GZ
17 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY
14 Roseland Avenue, Manchester, M20 3QY
8 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GZ
12 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GZ
10 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GZ
21 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY
19 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY
15 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY
11 Brooklawn Drive, Manchester, M20 3GY

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 90M
Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -
Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Middle) -
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH