

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 129711/FH/2021

Date registered valid: 15 March 2021

Proposal: Erection of a two storey side extension to form additional living accomodation

Address: 12 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY

Ward(s): Cheetham Ward

Applicant: Mr Bela 12 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY

Agent (if any): MR Daniel Llado TDM Architectural Ltd 538 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham, Manchester, OL2 6SF

Case officer: Eve Woolstencroft
Tel: 0161 234 4533
Email: eve.woolstencroft@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve

Date of recommendation: 8 June 2021

Application details

Type of application: Full Householder Application

Development type: Householder

Date of site visit:

Standard consultations:

No consultations have been printed and sent.

Neighbour notifications

Printed: 18 March 2021 Expired: 8 April 2021

Site notice

No site notice has been produced

Press advert

No press advert had been published

Departure from Development Plan: No

Environmental Statement: Not required

Listed building: Not applicable

Conservation area:

Tree Preservation Order:

Description of Site/Area

The application site relates to a two storey end terraced dwelling house located on a Cul-de-sac in the Cheetham Area of North Manchester.

Description of Development

The submitted application seeks planning permission for the erection of a two-storey side extension to form additional living accommodation. The extension would have a depth of approx. 4.6 metres, a width of 3.5 metres and would be set in from the rear elevation by approx. 2 metres and set back at first floor from the front elevation by 0.5 metres.

Consultations & Notification Responses

Local residents were notified however no responses were received.

Environmental Health - recommendation of a Gas Membrane condition.

Policy

NPPF (2019)

The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied.

The NPPF states that the planning system has three overarching objectives, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways, these are:

- a) an economic objective - to help build a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right types is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth, innovation and improved productivity; and by identifying and coordinating the provision of infrastructure;
- b) a social objective - to support strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by ensuring that a sufficient number and range of homes can be provided to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by fostering a well-designed and safe built environment, with accessible services and open spaces that reflect current and future needs and support communities' health, social and cultural well-being; and
- c) an environmental objective - to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; including making effective use of land, helping to improve biodiversity, using natural resources prudently, minimising waste and pollution, and mitigating and adapting to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy.

The following specific policies are considered to be particularly relevant to the proposed development:

- i. Chapter 8. Promoting healthy and safe communities- States that planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places and policies and decisions should promote public safety and take into account wider security and defence requirements.
- ii. Chapter 9: Promoting sustainable transport - States that transport policies have an important role to play in facilitating sustainable development but also in contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives.
- iii. Chapter 12: Achieving well-designed places- Reflects upon the importance of design to the built environment and its contribution to sustainable development and making places better for people. With this in mind, the design of the substantive development has been assessed in relation to the quality and cohesion of its composite building, as well as the function and appearance of public and private spaces.

Extant UDP Policies

A number of UDP policies have remained until replaced by further development plan documents to accompany the Core Strategy. The following remaining policies are considered relevant to this application:

Policy DC1.1 - Relates to residential extensions and in this case requires consideration of: the impact of the general character of the property; the effect upon the amenity of neighbouring occupiers; the desirability of enabling people to adapt their houses in appropriate ways to meet changing household needs and the overall appearance of the proposal in the street-scene. Given the requirements of the resident it is considered that this policy is relevant, and the proposed design represents an appropriate response.

Policy DC1.2 - Requires consideration of the extensions to ensure that it is not excessively large or bulky. It is considered that the extension is a proportionate response to meet changing occupational needs.

Policy DC1.3 states that notwithstanding the generality of the above policies, the Council will not normally approve:

- a). rearward extensions greater than 3.65 metres in length;
- b). 2-storey extensions with a flat roof, particularly those which would be visible from the public highway;
- c). 2-storey extensions to terraced properties which occupy the full width of the house;
- d). flat roofed extensions to bungalows;

e). extensions which conflict with the Council's guidelines on privacy distances (which are published as supplementary guidance).

DC1.4 In considering proposals for 2-storey side extensions, the Council will have regard to the general guidance above and also to supplementary guidance to be issued. In particular, the council will seek to ensure that:

a. the development potential of the gap between detached and semi-detached houses is capable

of being shared equally by the owners or occupiers of the two properties concerned;

b. the actual or potential result of building the extension will not be the creation of a terracing effect, where this would be unsympathetic to the character of the street as a whole;

c. the actual or potential result of building the extension will not be the creation of a very narrow gap between the properties, or any other unsatisfactory visual relationships between elements of the buildings involved.

As a guide, and without prejudice to the generality of this policy, the Council will normally permit 2-storey house extensions which, when built, would leave a minimum of 1.52m (5 ft) between the side wall and the common boundary, and which meet the other requirements of this policy. Proposals which cannot meet these requirements will be judged on their merits, but with weight being given to (a) and (c) above.

DC1.5 The Council will consider on their merits exemptions to the above policies in the case of applications from disabled people who may require particular adaptations to their homes.

Core Strategy

Manchester's Core Strategy was adopted on 11th July 2012 and is the Key Development Plan Document in the Local Development Framework. It states that all new developments should follow the 'Development Principles' and accord with the design guidance set out in the Supplementary Planning Documents.

The following policies are relevant for this application:

Policy DM 1 - Development Management

All development should have regard to the following specific issues for which more detailed guidance may be given within a supplementary planning document:

-Appropriate siting, layout, scale, form, massing, materials and detail.

-Impact on the surrounding areas in terms of the design, scale and appearance of the proposed development. Development should have regard to the character of the surrounding area.

-Effects on amenity, including privacy, light, noise, vibration, air quality, odours, litter, vermin, birds, road safety and traffic generation. This could also include proposals which would be sensitive to existing environmental conditions, such as noise.

-Accessibility: buildings and neighbourhoods fully accessible to disabled people, access to new development by sustainable transport modes.

- Community safety and crime prevention.

-Design for health.

-Adequacy of internal accommodation and external amenity space.

-Refuse storage and collection.

-Vehicular access and car parking.

-Effects relating to biodiversity, landscape, archaeological or built heritage.

-Flood risk and drainage.

Policy SP 1 - Specifies the Core Development Principles for parts of the City. In this case the relevant principles relate to the extent to which the development:

-Makes a positive contribution to neighbourhoods of choice including the creation of well-designed places that enhance or create character; making a positive contribution to the health, safety and well-being of residents, considering the needs of all members of the community

regardless of age, gender, disability, sexuality, religion, culture, ethnicity or income and to protect and enhance the built and natural environment;

-Minimise emissions, ensure efficient use of natural resources and reuse previously developed land wherever possible;

-Improve access to jobs, services, education and open space by being located to reduce the need to travel and provide good access to sustainable transport provision.

Issues

Principle

The proposed development is an extension to a residential dwelling, this is considered acceptable in principle as many people prefer to extend their homes rather than move in order to meet the changing residential needs of families. However, consideration must be given to the proposal's appearance, impact on the visual amenity of the area and impact on the neighbouring properties' residential amenity. As the property is to remain a single-family dwelling house it is not considered that the proposed extension would give rise to any significant additional waste or parking pressures.

Residential Amenity

The proposal has been during the application process revised in order to address concerns with the original submission. The original submission showed the side extension the full depth of the original house and a projection of 1.7 metres past the rear wall of the original property. In this instance due to the differencing rear building lines, it was considered that the proposed extension, by reason of its height, sideward projection, and close proximity to the shared boundary would create an undue loss of light and have an overbearing impact on the adjacent dwelling no. 14 Barry Lawson Close, to the detriment of the residential amenity currently enjoyed by neighbouring occupiers. The proposed development is therefore considered contrary to the provisions of saved policy DC1 of the Unitary Development Plan for the City of Manchester, policies SP1 and DM1 of Manchester's Core Strategy Development.

The proposal has now been revised to show the two-storey side extension with a reduced depth which does not surpass the rear building line of the neighbouring property no. 14 Barry Lawson Close. Furthermore there are no proposed windows in the side elevation and therefore there are not considered to be any significant overlooking impacts associated with the extension.

The proposal in its revised form is not considered to give rise to any detrimental impacts on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

The extension will allow the occupiers of 12 Barry Lawson Close to adapt their home to meet their needs, without unduly detrimentally affecting the amenities of the occupiers of neighbouring properties in accordance with UDP policy DC1 and Core Strategy DM1.

Visual Amenity

The proposed extension is set back from the front elevation at ground and first floor by approx. 0.5 metres. Due to the layout of the street there is a staggered building line resulting in the building line of no. 14 Barry Lawson Close approx. 2 metres forward of no. 12. The proposed side extension is therefore considered to be subservient to the existing property and there are no potential terracing impacts. The applicant intends to match the existing materials with that of the house, therefore it is considered that the proposal is acceptable in design terms and would not have any adverse impact on the character of the property or surrounding area.

Due to the above-mentioned reasons, the proposed extension is considered to be acceptable and in accordance with Saved UDP Policy DC1 and Core Strategy Policy DM1.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Officers have worked with the applicant/agent in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. In this instance, some negotiations were necessary but appropriate conditions have been attached to the end of this report. The scheme is considered to be in accordance with the guidance contained within saved policy DC1 of the Manchester Unitary Development Plan, policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

-Location Plan drawing ref. PL03 stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on 15 March 2021.

-Existing & Proposed Floor Plans and Elevations drawing ref. PL01 Rev E stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on 01 June 2021.

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans. Pursuant to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

3) The materials to be used on the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those of the existing building in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the extension, pursuant to saved policies DC1.1, DC1.2 and DC1.4 of the Unitary Development Plan for the City of Manchester and policy DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

4) Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification) no windows or doors shall be inserted into the elevations of the extension hereby approved other than those shown on the approved 'Existing & Proposed Floor Plans and Elevations drawing ref. PL01 Rev E' stamped as received by the City Council as Local Planning Authority on 01 June 2021.

Reason - In the interests of residential amenity pursuant to policy SP1 and DM1 Core Strategy for the City of Manchester.

5) Prior to the commencement of development appropriate and comprehensive construction designs for the installation of a proprietary gas membrane, in order to alleviate any possibility of landfill gas ingress to the building, shall be submitted for approval to the City Council, as Local Planning Authority. The approved details shall then be implemented as part of the development.

Reason - the development site lies within 250 metres of a registered landfill site or in close proximity to a potential historical source of landfill gas pursuant to policy EN18 of the Manchester Core Strategy (2012)

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

20 Cornwood Close, Manchester, M8 9DJ
10 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY
24 Cornwood Close, Manchester, M8 9DJ
22 Cornwood Close, Manchester, M8 9DJ
18 Cornwood Close, Manchester, M8 9DJ
4 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY
2 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY
14 Barry Lawson Close, Manchester, M8 9EY

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding - Not Affected
Coal Authority - Development Low Risk - Name: DEVELOPMENT_LOW_RISK_AREA
Area Team Boundary - Name: NORTH1