

Television Desk-Based Report - Renaker Developments in Manchester

Plot F

Renaker

October, 2021

PLANNING SOLUTIONS FOR:

- Solar
- Defence
- Airports
- Telecoms
- Buildings
- Radar
- Railways
- Wind
- Mitigation

www.pagerpower.com



ADMINISTRATION PAGE

Job Reference:	10603C
Date:	June, 2021
Author:	Andrea Mariano
Telephone:	01787 319001
Email:	kai@pagerpower.com

Reviewers:	Waqar Qureshi, Danny Scrivener
Date:	June, 2021
Telephone:	01787 319001
Email:	waqar@pagerpower.com, danny@pagerpower.com

Issue	Date	Detail of Changes
1	June, 2021	Initial issue
2	July, 2021	Second issue – minor amendments
3	October, 2021	Third issue – minor amendments

Confidential: The contents of this document may not be disclosed to others without permission.

Copyright © 2021 Pager Power Limited

Pager Power Limited, Stour Valley Business Centre, Brundon Lane, Sudbury, CO10 7GB

T:01787 319 001 E:info@pagerpower.com W:www.pagerpower.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report Purpose

The proposed building development known as “Plot F” is located in central Manchester near the existing development known as “Deansgate Square”. Renaker has requested a television desk-based report for Plot F to understand its potential impact upon terrestrial television services.

Process Followed

Large developments such as the Plot F impact the television signal for houses located within their immediate shadow zone (within 1km from the development).

The desk-based analysis showed that the development’s shadow zone closely matches with the shadow zone generated by the existing Deansgate Square development, increasing its width to the south-west and length of the obstruction relative to the transmitter.

In 2019 Pager Power carried out two television surveys to investigate the new baseline conditions post-construction for Deansgate Square. The results of the survey showed that the signal strength within the first¹ kilometre was of good quality while the signal further away was of moderate quality.

It is expected that additional impact caused by Plot F will not significantly exacerbate the current baseline scenario for dwellings located beyond 1km. However, further signal losses are possible for locations closer to Plot F.

No pre-emptive mitigation is required.

¹ The locations at the greatest risk are those that are in the shadow zone and closest to the development

LIST OF CONTENTS

Administration Page	2
Executive Summary	3
Report Purpose	3
Process Followed	3
List of Contents	4
List of Figures	6
List of Tables	7
About Pager Power	8
1 Introduction	9
1.1 Overview	9
2 Development Details	10
2.1 Description of Development	10
2.2 Proposed Development Red Line Boundary	10
2.3 Proposed Development – Tower Locations	11
3 Television Transmitter Details	12
3.1 Relevant Transmitter	12
3.2 Winter Hill Main Transmitter	12
3.3 Television Transmitter Location	13
4 Buildings and Interference Mechanisms	14
4.1 Technical Overview	14
4.2 Obstruction of the signal	14
4.3 Reflection of the Signal	16
5 Process Followed	18
5.1 Defining Potential Interference Areas	18
5.2 Potential Diffraction Issues – Terrestrial Television	19
5.3 Potential Reflection Issues – Terrestrial Television	20

6	Reception Surveys – Deansgate Square	21
6.1	Overview	21
6.2	Deansgate Square Development	21
6.3	Deansgate Square’s Potential Interference Areas	22
6.4	Surveys Undertaken.....	23
6.5	Survey Locations	23
6.6	Survey Results.....	24
6.7	Baseline and Post-Construction Results – Entire Shadow Zone	25
6.8	Deansgate Square Results – Specific to Plot F.....	28
6.9	High-Level Cumulative – Plot G.....	29
7	Conclusions.....	30
7.1	Findings	30
	Appendix A – Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Results.....	31
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 1.....	31
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 2.....	34
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 3.....	36
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 4.....	38
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 5.....	40
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 6.....	42
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 7.....	44
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 8.....	47
	Appendix B – Survey Results (Reported Interference, November 2019).....	49
	Humberstone Avenue	49
	Hunmanby Avenue	50
	Rockdove Avenue	51
	Appendix C – Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Photographs.....	52
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 1	52
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 2	55
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 3	58
	Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 4	61

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 5	64
Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 6	67
Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 7	70
Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 8	73
Appendix D – Survey Photographs (Interference Investigation).....	76
Humberstone Avenue	76
Hunmanby Avenue	77
Rockdove Avenue	78

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Proposed development red line boundary	10
Figure 2 – Proposed development – tower locations	11
Figure 3 – Television transmitter and development location	13
Figure 4 – Diffraction Loss Zones	15
Figure 5 – Reflection Zones.....	16
Figure 6 – Interference areas	18
Figure 7 – Interference zones – aerial image	19
Figure 8 – Location of Deansgate Square development (yellow) relative to the Plot F (green).....	21
Figure 9 – Interference zones (Deansgate Square and Plot F) – aerial image.....	22
Figure 10 – Survey locations	24
Figure 11 – Shadow areas near Plot F	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Winter Hill main transmissions	12
Table 2 – Winter Hill additional transmissions	12
Table 3 – Television signal strength categories	25
Table 4 – Baseline and post-construction survey results	27

ABOUT PAGER POWER

Pager Power is a dedicated consultancy company based in Suffolk, UK. The company has undertaken projects in 50 countries within Europe, Africa, America, Asia and Australasia.

The company comprises a team of experts to provide technical expertise and guidance on a range of planning issues for large and small developments.

Pager Power was established in 1997. Initially the company focus was on modelling the impact of wind turbines on radar systems. Over the years, the company has expanded into numerous fields including:

- Renewable energy projects.
- Building developments.
- Aviation and telecommunication systems.

Pager Power prides itself on providing comprehensive, understandable and accurate assessments of complex issues in line with national and international standards. This is underpinned by its custom software, longstanding relationships with stakeholders and active role in conferences and research efforts around the world.

Pager Power's assessments withstand legal scrutiny and the company can provide support for a project at any stage.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

The building development known as “Plot F” is located in central Manchester near the existing development known as “Deansgate Square”. Renaker has requested a television desk-based report for Plot F to understand its potential impact upon terrestrial television services. Pager Power will prepare a document that summarises all previous Renaker surveys completed in the vicinity of Plot F. The associated interference areas for Plot F will be modelled. The report includes:

- Executive summary, contents and introduction;
- Proposed development details;
- Overview of interference mechanisms;
- Discussion of actual interference compared to predicted interference;
- Modelling of interference areas for Plot F, including:
 - Diffraction zone; and
 - Reflection zone.
- Summary of pre-construction investigations/survey;
- Summary of post-construction investigations/surveys;
- Summary of mitigation actions taken;
- Overall risk and key issues;
- Conclusions and recommendations.

Following the technical analysis, the overall conclusions and recommendations are presented.

2 DEVELOPMENT DETAILS

2.1 Description of Development

The site description is as follows²:

The Application Site ("the Site") comprises Plot F of the Great Jackson Street (GJS) Framework area, and measures approximately 0.88 ha. The Site is bounded by GJS to the South, by Deansgate Square to the north beyond Pond Street, to the east by the Boatmans apartments, and industrial units to the west. It forms a major part of the Southern Gateway redevelopment initiative and is situated on a key gateway into Manchester City Centre.

The Site comprises areas of a hardstanding formerly used as Peugeot car compound. It is currently occupied by the site cabins and surface car parking associated with the construction of Deansgate Square. There is no recent planning history of relevance to the Site. Furthermore, there is also a low-rise industrial unit located on the Site.

The Site is currently accessed from Great Jackson Street via Deansgate Square which runs through the centre of the Site. This section of Deansgate Square is currently not accessible to general traffic.

2.2 Proposed Development Red Line Boundary

The red line boundary of the proposed development is shown in Figure 1² below.



Figure 1 – Proposed development red line boundary

² Source: Deloitte. Great Jackson Street Plot F, EIA Briefing Note, June 2021.

2.3 Proposed Development – Tower Locations

The locations of the proposed towers are shown in Figure 2³ below. The plan is to show location of buildings only and landscaping and floorplan included is indicative.



Figure 2 – Proposed development – tower locations

³ Source: Deloitte. Great Jackson Street Plot F, EIA Briefing Note, June 2021.

3 TELEVISION TRANSMITTER DETAILS

3.1 Relevant Transmitter

The Winter Hill transmitter serves the area around the development.

Digital television services are broadcast via Ultra High Frequency (UHF) signals; no analogue television signals are broadcast. The transmitter information is presented below⁴.

3.2 Winter Hill Main Transmitter

Winter Hill is one of the UK's main television transmitters located approximately 24.3km northwest of the development (published coordinates SD66051446). The main digital services provided at the time of the last survey⁵ are shown in Table 1 below.

	Public (PSB)			Commercial (COM)		
Service	BBC A	Digital 3&4	BBC B	SDN	Arqiva A	Arqiva B
Channel	32	34	35-	29	31	37
Power	100 kilo-Watts (kW)					

Table 1 – Winter Hill main transmissions

Additional Winter Hill transmissions provided at the time of the last survey are shown in Table 2 below.

	Local Multiplexes			
Service	COM 7	COM8	LM/LL/LPR	GIM
Channel	55	37	56	57
Power	25.7 kW	22.6kW	1-2 kW	1 kW

Table 2 – Winter Hill additional transmissions

⁴ Source: Ofcom

⁵ Transmissions at the time of writing are provided on different channels following spectrum clearing. This has no significant bearing on the results presented within this report.

3.3 Television Transmitter Location

Figure 3 below shows the relative location of the transmitter and the development.




Figure 3 – Television transmitter and development location

4 BUILDINGS AND INTERFERENCE MECHANISMS

4.1 Technical Overview

Terrestrial television services are provided by means of electromagnetic waves in the Ultra High Frequency (UHF) range which propagate from land-based transmitters to receiving aerials.

The quality of the image and sound on a television set is dependent on both the strength of the signal received directly from the transmitter (carrier signal) and the strength of any interference signals from other sources.

To achieve good quality reception an aerial must receive a strong carrier signal but weak interference signals.

There are two ways that buildings can cause interference to television reception, these are described below.

4.2 Obstruction of the signal

This is caused by the building physically blocking the transmitted signal, reducing the strength of the carrier signal in the shadow of the building. In the case of Winter Hill transmissions, this would affect residences to the south-southeast of the development. The following is true for television signals:

- The highest losses (greatest interference) will be located immediately behind the building relative to the transmitter i.e. in the shadow zone;
- Losses near the edge of the shadow zone will be less significant due to diffraction effects (bending of the signal).

Ofcom has produced a document⁶ offering guidance on this issue. Relevant extracts from the documents are presented below.

"In general, there will be three 'zones' behind the structure:

Zone A - close behind the structure (typically a few tens of metres) there may be a large reduction in signal level with a possible complete loss of reception

Zone B - further away (typically a few hundred metres) the signal reduction is less severe and the shadow will be smaller as diffraction effects partially fill in the shadow. Depending on the size of the structure, some locations could still lose reception completely

Zone C - some distance away (1-5km) the shadow will effectively have disappeared."

⁶ Ofcom (2009): Tall Structures and their impact on broadcast and other wireless services

The zones mentioned are illustrated in Figure 4⁷ below, taken from Section 3.2 of the Ofcom guidance regarding the impact of buildings on broadcast signals.




Figure 4 – Diffraction Loss Zones

⁷ Source: Ofcom publication

4.3 Reflection of the Signal

For large developments with straight edges, the transmitted signal can be reflected by the building itself. This causes an effect known as ‘multipath interference’ whereby the signal is received twice by an aerial at different times. The direct signal arrives first because it has a shorter distance to travel.

Figure 5⁸ below (taken from Section 3.3 of the Ofcom guidance referenced above) illustrates this effect.




Figure 5 – Reflection Zones

⁸ Source: Ofcom publication

In practice, it is considered highly unlikely that reflections will have an effect for receivers in front of the building or on either side when viewed from the location of the transmitter. For digital transmissions in particular, it is unlikely that issues will occur if the angle between the direct signal and the reflected signal is more than 30 degrees⁹.

The reflection zone has been constructed in accordance with the Ofcom approach, however the angle considered is 30 degrees rather than 60 degrees. This is in order to represent a more realistic scenario for digital transmissions.

Reflection issues are most likely to cause a noticeable impact if:

- The direct signal from transmitter to receiver is weak (e.g. obstructed by terrain or buildings);
- The reflected signal from the building is strong (e.g. clear radio line of sight from the transmitter to the building and the building to the receiver).

The worst-case scenario is for both of the above conditions to be satisfied. The best-case scenario is for neither of the above conditions to be satisfied.

⁹This is known to be the angle that Arqiva considers when safeguarding its Television Re-Broadcast Links with regard to reflections from wind turbines – whilst wind turbines cause issues that are different to buildings this particular issue is similar for the two.

5 PROCESS FOLLOWED

5.1 Defining Potential Interference Areas

Figure 6 below shows the potential interference areas that were modelled for the development.

These were defined based on the geometry of the potential interference zones as set out in the previous section. Both zones extend to a distance of 5km beyond the building in accordance with the Ofcom guidance.

In the case of the shadow zone, this has been modelled as having straight sides in order to be conservative. In actuality due to diffraction effects the zone would have a more triangular shape as shown in Figure 4 in the previous section.




Figure 6 – Interference areas

Figure 7¹⁰ below shows the interference zones overlaid onto aerial photography of the area.



Figure 7 – Interference zones – aerial image

5.2 Potential Diffraction Issues – Terrestrial Television

The shadow zone passes over both urban and industrial buildings as well as high and low-rise residential developments. Areas closest to the development are generally¹¹ at the highest risk of experiencing television interference.

¹⁰ Source: © 2021 Google.

¹¹ Under some circumstances, this is not the case e.g. if the pre-existing signal quality was exceptional at close range but borderline at longer range.

5.3 Potential Reflection Issues – Terrestrial Television

Reflection effects are most likely if the transmitter does not have direct radio line of sight to the receiving aerial.

Based on bare-earth terrain, the Winter Hill transmitter has coverage below ten metres for the populated areas within the reflection zone. This means that any receivers that have a low signal strength are likely to be affected by existing obstructions.

For interference to occur due to reflections, the following criteria would need to be met:

- The receiving aerial would not be already obstructed by a building or other existing obstacle;
- The reflected signal path via the development would have to be relatively unobstructed;
- The angle between the reflected signal via the development and the direct signal would have to be small.

In practice it is unlikely that all of the above criteria would be met. Impacts due to reflections are not predicted.

6 RECEPTION SURVEYS – DEANSGATE SQUARE

6.1 Overview

Pager Power has previously carried out both a pre- and post-construction television surveys for a development (Deansgate Square) located nearby Plot F.

6.2 Deansgate Square Development

The Deansgate Square development is composed of four towers located to the north of the proposed development (see Figure 8¹² below).




Figure 8 – Location of Deansgate Square development (yellow) relative to the Plot F (green)

¹² Source: © 2021 Google.

6.3 Deansgate Square's Potential Interference Areas

Figure 9¹³ below shows the potential interference areas that were modelled for the development Deansgate Square (green line) and the Plot F (blue line). Since both developments are close together and are on a similar bearing with Winter Hill both shadow areas overlap.




Figure 9 – Interference zones (Deansgate Square and Plot F) – aerial image

¹³ Source: © 2021 Google.

6.4 Surveys Undertaken

Both pre-construction and post-constructions surveys were undertaken by Pager Power for the Deansgate Square development. The baseline survey for Deansgate Square was undertaken in 2015, prior to the construction of the development, while the two post-construction surveys were undertaken in 2018 (one survey) and 2019 (two surveys¹⁴).

The purpose of a baseline survey is to establish current television signal strength and quality in the area. The baseline survey serves three purposes these are:

1. It confirms which transmitter is serving most homes in the areas surveyed.
2. It provides an indicator regarding the likelihood of interference. If current signals are of good quality, minor degradation is less likely to have a noticeable effect.
3. It allows a 'before and after' comparison to be made in the event that a complaint is received.

The purpose of a post-construction survey is as follows:

1. To establish the reception quality post-construction.
2. To determine the variation to television signal strength and quality from baseline to post-construction.
3. To determine whether significant interference (reported or otherwise) is occurring and to identify the specific development to which the interference is attributable.

6.5 Survey Locations

Figure 10¹⁵ on the following page shows the survey locations (2019 survey¹⁶) overlaid onto aerial photography with the icons showing where television measurements were taken. The Deansgate Square site outline is shown in the top left. The survey locations were chosen pre-survey as close to the previous survey locations as possible¹⁷.

The locations chosen for the survey undertaken in 2019 to assess the impact of Deansgate Square impact upon television signals also lie within the proposed development's shadow area (Plot F). Therefore, results from that survey provides a valid representation of the current baseline television signal¹⁸.

¹⁴ The reason for the first two post-construction survey was to record and evaluate the changes in signal quality as a result of the Deansgate Square construction – which was completed in phases which is one of the reasons surveys were repeated. The final survey in 2019 was undertaken to evaluate specific concerns relating to residences on Rockdove Avenue, Hunmanby Avenue and Humberstone Avenue.

¹⁵ Source: © 2021 Google.

¹⁶ Additional survey locations in around Location 1 above in order to investigate specific concerns at close range to the development.

¹⁷ Safe and available access influenced the specific position on the day.

¹⁸ This is correct provided that no new building has been built in the vicinity of the proposed development Plot F and on the same bearing with Winter Hill.



Figure 10 – Survey locations

6.6 Survey Results

Survey measurements were taken using Pager Power's custom survey vehicle with a wideband UHF aerial extended to a height of 10 metres above ground level. This was connected to television analyser to record the signal strength and stability.

The strength of the recorded television signals is categorised in accordance with Table 3 on the following page.

Average Signal Strength (dB)	Subjective Signal Strength Definition
<35	Low
35-45	Moderate
45-55	Good
55+	Very Good

Table 3 – *Television signal strength categories*

The baseline survey confirmed that the Winter Hill transmitter served the surveyed area within the shadow zone.

6.7 Baseline and Post-Construction Results – Entire Shadow Zone

Table 4 on the following page summarises the results and the comments of the baseline and post-construction reception surveys for the whole shadow zone¹⁹. The term 'MUX' refers to multiplex which is a group of television channels.

The average signal strength is given for the pre-construction baseline²⁰ and post-construction²¹ surveys to show the average change. A number of factors, including variable weather and foliage conditions, can lead to minor fluctuations of signal strength. It can be assumed that the findings of the survey are also valid for Plot F.

¹⁹ The full report completed by Pager Power is 8363M – *Television Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Report Issue 6* dated November 2019.

²⁰ The baseline survey was carried out in 2015 and the values are from the report 8363D.

²¹ The baseline survey was carried out in 2019 and the values are from the report 8363Mv6.

Location	Average Measured Signal Strength (dB)	Comment
1	Baseline Main TV MUX: 49.3 (Good) 2015 Pre-Construction Main TV MUX: 49.7 (Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 47.1 (Good)	The survey location was approximately 200m from the development. One local channel did not decode at this location during the most recent survey. Other channels were received with no discernible difference in picture or audio quality.
2	Baseline Main TV MUX: 45.5 (Good) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 55.5 (Very Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 42.4 (Moderate)	The survey location was approximately 410m from the development. Vegetation obscured the aerial during the baseline reading. One main and one local multiplex were not available during the most recent survey. All multiplexes had been available during the previous surveys.
3	Baseline Main TV MUX: 42.9 (Moderate) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 48.7 (Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 44.9 (Moderate)	The survey location was 880m-910m from the development. Only one local multiplex was unavailable at this location, this is in keeping with the 2018 survey and in fact shows an improvement compared to the original baseline.
4	Baseline Main TV MUX: 66.6 (Very Good) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 47.0 (Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 36.4 (Moderate)	The survey location was 1780m-1830m from the development. Due to access, minor variation in the survey location occurred. Two local and one main multiplex were not available during the most recent survey. All multiplexes had been available during the previous surveys.

Location	Average Measured Signal Strength (dB)	Comment
5	Baseline Main TV MUX: 50.0 (Good) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 43.2 (Moderate) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 36.7 (Moderate)	The survey location was 2,620m-2,640m from the development. Due to access, minor variation in the survey location occurred. A number of main and local multiplexes were not available during the most recent survey. The number of available multiplexes has apparently decreased at each successive survey.
6	Baseline Main TV MUX: 57.1 (Very Good) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 52.8 (Very Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 36.6 (Moderate)	The survey location was approximately 3,030m from the development. A number of main and local multiplexes were not available during the most recent survey. The number of available multiplexes has apparently decreased since the previous surveys.
7	Baseline Main TV MUX: 62.3 (Very Good) 2018 Post-Construction²² Main TV MUX: 67.7 (Very Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 37.1 (Moderate)	The survey location was more than 4 km from the development. A number of main and local multiplexes were not available during the most recent survey. The number of available multiplexes has apparently decreased since the previous surveys.
8	Baseline Main TV MUX: 65.8 (Very Good) 2018 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 56.1 (Very Good) 2019 Post-Construction Main TV MUX: 55 (Very Good)	The survey location was approximately 4,890m from the development. All multiplexes were received with clear picture and audio quality.

Table 4 – Baseline and post-construction survey results

²² The survey location was just outside the shadow zone due to access limitations.
Television Desk-Based Report

6.8 Deansgate Square Results – Specific to Plot F

The locations at greatest risk are those in the shadow zone and closest to the development (usually within 1km from the development). Therefore, impact due to Plot F at locations 1, 2 and 3 is likely. The addition of two new tower blocks will result in an increase in the shadow area width (yellow area in Figure 11²³).

It is therefore expected that since the television signal strength at location 3 is of moderate-good quality, any impact might not be perceived in practice. However, signal losses are possible for locations 1 and 2.



Figure 11 – Shadow areas near Plot F

Other locations are beyond the 1km distance from the proposed development. At this distance any impact is not expected to be significant and any reduction in signal strength is not expected to be perceived in practice.

²³ Source: © 2021 Google.

6.9 High-Level Cumulative – Plot G

Plot G is located to the south of Plot F on an area of land between Plot F and the A57(M). The development will consist of two towers measuring up to 55 storeys. There is the potential for cumulative effects due to Plot F and Plot G existing on the same shadow path. The main contributor to any impact will depend on which development is built first however typically, the obstruction closest to an affected dwelling (Plot G in this instance will be closer to the dwellings located near locations 1 and 2 as per Figure 11) is most likely to be the primary cause of interference where the dimensions of the developments are similar. If however Plot G is constructed first and there are no significant impacts, and subsequently Plot F is constructed and interference occurs, the cause of the interference would likely be due to Plot F.

7 CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Findings

The desk-based analysis showed that the proposed development's shadow zone partially overlaps with the shadow zone generated by the existing Deansgate Square development, increasing its width to the south-west and length of the obstruction relative to the transmitter.

It is expected that additional impact caused by Plot F will not significantly change the current baseline scenario for dwellings located beyond 1km. However, further signal losses are possible for locations closer to Plot F based on previous complaints from residents in the area.

Therefore further degradation to terrestrial television signal is deemed possible, however any impacts can be suitably managed.

No pre-emptive mitigation is required.

APPENDIX A – BASELINE AND POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEY RESULTS

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 1

Location B1 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 83498 97243					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	50.7	0.5	Good	Good
50	BBC A	51.1	0.1	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	50.5	0.2	DND ²⁴	DND
55	Arqiva B	47.4	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	48.1	0.2	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	48.0	0.3	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Aerials pointing towards Winter Hill

Location PC1 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83476 97247 +/- 22ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	50.0 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	53.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	52.7 ± 0.2	2x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
50	BBC A	54.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	50.0 ± 0.3	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	47.7 ± 0.7	0%	Good	Good

²⁴ Did not decode.

Location PC1 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83476 97247 +/- 22ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
56	LM/LL/LPR	38.3 ± 0.4	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	34.0 ± 0.5	2x10 ⁻⁵	Good	Good
58	SDN	46.9 ± 0.4	3x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	45.9 ± 0.2	2.8x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Visible aerals were directed towards Winter Hill, those in the immediate vicinity were at high elevation.

Television					
Location PC1 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83464 97271 +/- 25ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	41.6 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	55.8 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	51.6 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
50	BBC A	51.1 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	50.8 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	46.7 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	32.6 ± 0.1	5e-7	Good	Good
57	GIM	30.7 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	41.8 ± 0.2	3e-6	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	40.3 ± 0.1	1e-7	Good	Good

Notes: Aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed.

Radio			
Location PC1 2019			
Frequency (MHz)	Service	Signal Strength (dB μ V)	Audio Quality
88-106	FM (various)	Up to 51 ± 0.3	Fine
216.928	DAB Digital 2	29.7 ± 0.5	Fine
222.064	DAB Digital 1	43.7 ± 0.3	Fine
225.648	DAB National (12B)	47.4 ± 0.4	Fine
227.360	Manchester Multiplex	45.9 ± 0.3	Fine

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 2

Location B2 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 83621 97081					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	48.2	0.2	Good	Good
50	BBC A	46.8	0.5	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	46.2	0.7	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	44.8	0.5	Good	Good
58	SDN	43.5	1.0	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	43.5	0.5	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Note survey antenna obscured by trees in leaf.

Location PC2 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83636 97067 +/- 22ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	49.7 ± 0.3	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	59.3 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	57.2 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	57.8 ± 0.5	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	55.9 ± 0.3	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	53.9 ± 0.3	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	44.3 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	37.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
58	SDN	55.2 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	52.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC2 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83628 97069 +/- 29 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	39.1 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	48.7 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	43 ± 0.2	4e-7	Good	Good
50	BBC A	50.2 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	39.4 ± 0.1	-	-	-
55	Arqiva B	41.1 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	30.7 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
57	GIM	26.3 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	39.5 ± 0.2	4e-8	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	41.4 ± 0.1	7e-8	Good	Good

Radio			
Location PC2 2019			
Frequency (MHz)	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Audio Quality
88-106	FM (various	Up to 49 ± 0.5	Fine
216.928	DAB Digital 2	25.9 ± 0.1	Fine
222.064	DAB Digital 1	42.8 ± 0.5	Fine
225.648	DAB National (12B)	47.8 ± 0.3	Fine
227.360	Manchester Multiplex	47.7 ± 0.5	Fine

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 3

Location B3 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 83986 96780					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	41.7	0.2	n/a	n/a
50	BBC A	44.2	0.3	Pixelating/ freezing	Nil
54-	BBC B	44.4	0.2	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	42.0	0.2	Pixelating/ freezing	Nil
58	SDN	43.2	0.1	Broken	Broken
59	Digital 3&4	42.1	0.1	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill

Location PC3 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83993 96735 +/- 16ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	50.2 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	59.3 ± 0.3	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	54.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	55.1 ± 0.1	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	51.3 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	43.1 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	39.1 ± 0.1	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	33.3 ± 0.1	DND	DND	DND
58	SDN	44.4 ± 0.2	2x10 ⁻⁶	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	44.0 ± 1.0	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC3 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83990 96744 +/- 13 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	38.7 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	46.9 ± 0.1	4e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	51.4 ± 0.1	1e-7	Good	Good
50	BBC A	49.2 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	44.3 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	42.2 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	30.5 ± 0.1	5e-6	Good	Good
57	GIM	27.2 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	39.9 ± 0.1	2e-5	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	42.8 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good

Notes: Aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed.

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 4

Location B4 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 84626 96080					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	65.8	0.1	Good	Good
50	BBC A	66.1	0.1	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	67.2	0.1	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	66.9	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	67.0	0.1	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	66.8	0.1	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Satellite television antennae noted.

Location PC4 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 83993 96735 +/- 16ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	49.1 ± 0.1	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	52.0 ± 0.4	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	49.2 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	50.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	44.0 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	42.9 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	36.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	33.2 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
58	SDN	48.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	47.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC4 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 84590 96170 +/- 15ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	36.0 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	43.5 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	36.3 ± 0.1	3e-4	Good	Good
50	BBC A	42.2 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	37.8 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	37.6 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	32.6 ± 0.1	1e-7	Good	Good
57	GIM	36.6 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	33.4 ± 0.2	-	-	-
59	Digital 3&4	30.8 ± 0.2	-	-	-

Notes: Aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed.

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 5

Location B5 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 85188 95509					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	53.9	0.2	Good	Good
50	BBC A	53.5	0.2	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	50.9	0.1	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	48.9	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	47.1	0.1	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	45.6	0.2	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Local Satellite television antennae noted.

Location PC5 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 85206 95513 +/- 9ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	47.0 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	48.9 ± 0.3	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	50.9 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	48.8 ± 0.3	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	40.8 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	32.5 ± 0.2	DND	DND	DND
56	LM/LL/LPR	31.1 ± 0.2	3x10 ⁻⁶	Good	Good
57	GIM	31.2 ± 0.2	4x10 ⁻⁶	Good	Good
58	SDN	42.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	43.3 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC5 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 85253 95534 +/- 16 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	29.3 ± 0.2	-	-	-
37	COM8	31.2 ± 0.2	-	-	-
49	Arqiva A	39.5 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
50	BBC A	43.1 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	38.9 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	29.1 ± 0.3	-	-	-
56	LM/LL/LPR	28.9 ± 0.1	6e-7	Good	Good
57	GIM	26.2 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	34.7 ± 0.5	6e-4	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	30.0 ± 0.3	-	-	-

Notes: Aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed.

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 6

Location B6 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 85514 95268					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	57.7	0.1	Good	Good
50	BBC A	57.5	0.2	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	58.4	0.2	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	57.5	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	56.3	0.1	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	55.4	0.1	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Local Satellite television antennae noted.

Location PC6 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 85506 95265 +/- 21ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	54.0 ± 0.4	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	60.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	57.4 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	58.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	55.5 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	46.9 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	35.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	27.0 ± 0.1	DND	DND	DND
58	SDN	50.3 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	48.2 ± 0.1	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC6 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 85517 95288 +/- 9 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	40.3 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	45.0 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	40.4 ± 0.3	1e-6	Good	Good
50	BBC A	42.0 ± 0.4	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	41.9 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	34.5 ± 0.3	-	-	-
56	LM/LL/LPR	27.2 ± 0.1	-	-	-
57	GIM	26.1 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	30.5 ± 0.5	-	-	-
59	Digital 3&4	30.0 ± 0.1	-	-	-

Notes: Aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed. It is possible that the adjacent building caused local blocking.

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 7

Location B7 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 86393 94359					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	61.5	0.1	Good	Good
50	BBC A	61.7	0.2	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	63.1	0.1	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	62.0	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	62.9	0.1	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	62.3	0.1	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Local Satellite television antennae noted.

Location PC7 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 86450 94158 +/- 10ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	62.3 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	72.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	70.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	71.9 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	69.3 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	62.5 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	53.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	43.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
58	SDN	66.3 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	65.4 ± 0.3	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC7 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 86375 94427 +/- 12 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	41.2 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	49.3 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	47.0 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
50	BBC A	43.9 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	36.0 ± 0.5	-	-	-
55	Arqiva B	34.3 ± 0.2	-	-	-
56	LM/LL/LPR	27.5 ± 0.1	4e-4	Good	Good

Television					
Location PC7 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 86375 94427 +/- 12 ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
57	GIM	26.1 ± 0.1	-	-	-
58	SDN	30.0 ± 0.2	-	-	-
59	Digital 3&4	31.5 ± 0.5	-	-	-

Notes: Aerials were predominantly directed towards Winter Hill, however the specific directions varied.

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey Result – Location 8

Location B8 – GPS Coordinates: SJ 86807 93932					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Typical Fluctuation (dB)	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
49	Arqiva A	66.1	0.2	Good	Good
50	BBC A	67.3	0.2	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	65.6	0.2	DND	DND
55	Arqiva B	65.6	0.2	Good	Good
58	SDN	65.8	0.2	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	64.2	0.2	Good	Good

Notes (aerial direction / satellite dishes): Local aerials pointing towards Winter Hill. Local Satellite television antennae noted.

Location PC8 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 86814 93932 +/- 11ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	52.9 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
37	COM8	59.2 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	61.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
50	BBC A	61.8 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	56.8 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	48.6 ± 0.2	0%	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	37.6 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁷	Good	Good
57	GIM	35.1 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
58	SDN	56.0 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	51.9 ± 0.2	1x10 ⁻⁸	Good	Good

Aerials directed towards Winter Hill and satellite dishes were observed.

Television					
Location PC8 2019 (GPS Coordinates: SJ 86813 +/- 13ft)					
Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	BER	Picture Quality	Audio Quality
31	COM7	53.2 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
37	COM8	58.6 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
49	Arqiva A	59.3 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
50	BBC A	59.8 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
54-	BBC B	57.0 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good
55	Arqiva B	50.1 ± 0.1	1e-8	Good	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	36.5 ± 0.3	1e-7	Good	Good
57	GIM	32.2 ± 0.1	4.1e-8	Good	Good
58	SDN	53.0 ± 0.3	1e-8	Good	Good
59	Digital 3&4	50.8 ± 0.2	1e-8	Good	Good

Notes: HP UHF aerials directed towards Winter Hill were observed.

APPENDIX B – SURVEY RESULTS (REPORTED INTERFERENCE, NOVEMBER 2019)

Humberstone Avenue

Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Picture / Audio Quality
31	COM7	48	Good
37	COM8	49	Good
49	Arqiva A	49	Good
50	BBC A	48	Good
54-	BBC B	50	Good
55	Arqiva B	42	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	26	Not received
57	GIM	26	Not received
58	SDN	48	Good
59	Digital 3&4	48	Good

Hunmanby Avenue

Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Picture / Audio Quality
31	COM7	50	Good
37	COM8	52	Good
49	Arqiva A	54	Good
50	BBC A	57	Good
54-	BBC B	52	Good
55	Arqiva B	45	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	26	Not received
57	GIM	28	Not received
58	SDN	48	Good
59	Digital 3&4	46	Good

Rockdove Avenue

Channel	Service	Signal Strength (dBμV)	Picture / Audio Quality
31	COM7	48	Good
37	COM8	54	Good
49	Arqiva A	57	Good
50	BBC A	55	Good
54-	BBC B	53	Good
55	Arqiva B	42	Good
56	LM/LL/LPR	26	Not received
57	GIM	29	Not received
58	SDN	48	Good
59	Digital 3&4	42	Good

APPENDIX C – BASELINE AND POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEY PHOTOGRAPHS

Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 1

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 2

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 3

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 4

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 5

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 6

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 7

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



Baseline and Post-Construction Survey – Location 8

Baseline



Post-Construction (2018)



Post-Construction (2019)



APPENDIX D – SURVEY PHOTOGRAPHS (INTERFERENCE INVESTIGATION)

Humberstone Avenue



Hunmanby Avenue



Rockdove Avenue





Urban & Renewables

Pager Power Limited
Stour Valley Business Centre
Sudbury
Suffolk
CO10 7GB

Tel: +44 1787 319001 **Email:** info@pagerpower.com **Web:** www.pagerpower.com