

Planning

Growth & Development

Delegated officer report for planning application

Application no. 132504/FH/2021
Date registered valid: 15 December 2021
Proposal: Erection of a two storey side extension and installation of new roof to existing single storey rear extension following demolition of existing garage
Address: 71 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4HT
Ward(s): Northenden Ward

Applicant: Mr Rajwinder Singh Terry 71 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4HT
Agent (if any): Mr Fahad Patel 45 Woodvale Avenue, Bolton, BL3 3HF
Case officer: Tracie Simpson
Tel: 0161 234 4537
Email: tracie.simpson@manchester.gov.uk

Recommendation: Approve
Date of recommendation: 22nd March 2022



Application details

Type of application:	Full Householder Application
Development type:	Householder
Date of site visit:	8 February 2022
Standard consultations:	No consultations have been printed and sent.
Neighbour notifications	Printed: 21 December 2021 Expired: 11 January 2022
Site notice	No site notice has been produced
Press advert	No press advert had been published
Departure from Development Plan:	No
Environmental Statement:	Not required

Description of site/building

The site relates to a two storey semi-detached property in the Northenden ward area of Manchester. The property has fronted bay windows with recessed front porch. Gardens lie to the front and rear with access along the side. Off road car parking is available to the front. Boundary treatment is a low brick wall and hedging to the front with timber fencing to the rear. The site benefits from an attached garage to the side.



Listed building:	Not applicable
Conservation area:	Not applicable
Tree Preservation Order:	Not applicable

Description of area

The area is predominantly residential in nature surrounded by similar type properties

Description of development

Erection of a two storey side extension and installation of new roof to existing single storey rear extension following demolition of existing garage

Consultations & Notification Responses

Local residents - as per Appendix 1 - Occupiers of properties surrounding the application site were notified of the proposal. No comments or representations have been received in respect of this application.

Policy and Issues

The following policies are considered relevant in the consideration of this application.

- o Unitary Development Plan (UDP) - The UDP has been superseded by the Core Strategy Development Plan.

- o Policy DC1 for Residential Extensions is still considered of relevance, in particular policy DC1.5 - The Council will consider on their merits exemptions to the above policies in the case of applications from disabled people who may require particular adaptations to their homes.
- o The Manchester Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2012-2027 ("the Core Strategy") was adopted by the City Council on 11th July 2012.
- o Policy DM 1 - Development Management
- o Policy SP1 - Spatial Principles
- o Policy EN1 - Design Principles
- o Policy EN 19 - Waste Management
- o The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

For reasons to be outlined below, it is considered the proposal accords with the principles of this policy document.

Principle

The principle of allowing residents to extend their homes to provide enlarged or improved accommodation is generally acceptable provided that there is no overriding, undue impact upon either the character of the property or upon the residential and visual amenity of nearby residential occupiers.

In this instance the principle of the proposal is considered acceptable and it is not believed that there are any factors of sufficient weight in amenity terms that would warrant refusal of the application.

On balance, the proposed extension is deemed to accord with saved policy DC1 of the UDP and policies DM1 and SP1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

History

There have been no previous planning applications relating to this site.

Residential Amenity

The applicant is seeking planning permission for the erection of a two storey side extension which would provide additional living accommodation. The orientation of the property within the site is situated forward of no. 69 Longley Lane adjoining property no. 73 Longley Lane.

Concerns were initially raised in relation to the width of the proposal, however, following negotiations with the agent/applicant, the proposal was reduced in width.

The extension would be in line with the front wall of the house extending rearwards measuring 10.360m ending in line with the rear wall of the house forming a flush appearance. A gap of 2.2m would be retained to the shared boundary with the adjacent property no. 69.

The roof would be hipped reflecting the existing roof design and would be set in line with the existing roof ridge. Given the siting of the property it is considered that this would provide minimal interruption to the street scene and therefore is considered to be acceptable. The applicant is proposing bay windows to match the existing bay windows on the front. This is considered would provided a balanced view to the front of the property.

The proportions of the extension are acceptable and the footprint is not considered to create a bulky or disproportionate scale addition to the property especially given the relationship to the

adjacent property, it is considered that the harm caused by the introduction of a two storey side extension would be minimum and therefore would not warrant refusal of the application.

The siting of a two storey addition will mark a change in the appearance and style of this property and its relationship with those either side, however, would not be out of character with the original or extended properties in the area.

In terms of residential amenity, it is not considered that there will be any significant impact and the proposed development therefore accords with Core Strategy Policy DM1 and saved policy DC1 of the UDP.

Siting, scale and massing

The size and footprint of the proposed extension is comparable in size to many others found in the area and is not believed to provide an overbearing impact upon the residential amenities of surrounding properties. The appearance is acceptable and its impact upon visual amenity is considered negligible.

It is therefore considered that the scale and mass of the proposed extensions are satisfactory and are considered to accord with the principles for residential extensions set out in saved UDP policy DC1, DM1 and EN1 of the Core Strategy.

Design

The design of the proposal would be in keeping with the existing and surrounding properties in the area. In terms of the proposed materials it is intended to use matching bricks and tiles as specified in the application form. The design of the extension has been tailored to fit the shape of the existing property/plot and respect the relationship with the neighbouring property at the side and is considered acceptable and detailed as what is normally expected of such a structure.

On balance it is considered that the design and appearance of the proposed development accords with Core Strategy Policies DM1 and EN1 and in general the design is considered acceptable.

Bins

The storage of refuse bins are not affected by this proposal as access would still be available along the side and therefore would accord with policy EN19 of the Core Strategy.

Car Parking

Off street car parking would be retained with the addition of two further car parking spaces to the front. The applicant is proposing to remove the grassed area to the front garden area and replace with concrete surface with surface run off into a new gutter line connecting to existing drainage line as indicated on plans received 9th March 2022.

Conclusion

Although the proposal would be visible from the public highway and therefore would be a feature within the street scene, the character of the area is still retained. The proposal has been sited and designed to ensure that it is in keeping with the existing property and is considered acceptable in this location, in terms of design, scale and massing, allowing the occupiers to adapt their home to meet their needs, without unduly detrimentally affecting the amenities of the occupiers of surrounding properties, or the character and appearance of the surrounding street scene, and there are no material considerations of sufficient weight to indicate otherwise.

Human Rights Act 1998 considerations

This application needs to be considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998. Under Article 6, the applicants (and those third parties, including local residents, who have made representations) have a right to a fair hearing and to this end the local planning authority must give full consideration to their comments.

Protocol 1 Article 1, and Article 8 where appropriate, confer(s) a right of respect for a person's home, other land and business assets. In taking account of all material considerations, including Council policy as set out in the Core Strategy and saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan, the Director of Planning, Building Control & Licensing has concluded that some rights conferred by these articles on the applicant(s)/objector(s)/resident(s) and other occupiers and owners of nearby land that might be affected may be interfered with but that that interference is in accordance with the law and justified by being in the public interest and on the basis of the planning merits of the development proposal. She believes that any restriction on these rights posed by the recommendation given is proportionate to the wider benefits of and that such a decision falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Recommendation: Approve

Article 35 Declaration

Positive and proactive working - Officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with the planning application. The application has been determined in a timely manner and in accordance with the guidance contained within saved policy DC1 'Residential Extensions' of the Manchester Unitary Development Plan and to policy DM1 of the Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Condition(s) to be attached to decision for approval OR Reasons for recommendation to refuse

1) The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason - Required to be imposed pursuant to Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following drawings and documents:

Received on 9th March 2022 numbered 01 Revision 2 Proposed Plans and Elevations

Reason - To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans. Pursuant to policies SP1 and DM1 of the Core Strategy.

3) The materials to be used on the external surfaces hereby permitted shall match those as specified on the application form/drawings in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason - To ensure the appearance of the building to be extended is not adversely affected by the materials to be used in the construction of the structure, pursuant to policy DM1 of the Manchester Core Strategy.

Appendix 1 – List of consultees & neighbours notified

2A Elmfield Avenue, Manchester, M22 4JA
73 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4HT
69 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4HT
98 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4JF
96 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4JF
2 Elmfield Avenue, Manchester, M22 4JA
94 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4JF
92 Longley Lane, Manchester, M22 4JF

Appendix 2 – Planning constraints

Article 4 Direction - Removal Of PD Rights Change Of Use From Class C3 To Class C4
Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - Aircraft Safeguarding Zone - All Exceeding 45M
Susceptible to Surf Water Flood (Less) -
Area Team Boundary - Name: SOUTH